

**NEW GENERATION SUBMARINE BATTERY ELECTRODES USING A
TIN-LEAD GRID ALLOY**

Brenton Travis Swansson

Thesis submitted for the degree of

Master of Engineering Science

School of Chemical Engineering

University of Adelaide

June 2015

ABSTRACT

The life of lead-acid batteries is typically governed by corrosion of the positive grid. The grid experiences highly oxidising environments which, in turn, corrodes the grid material. However, the resulting corrosion layer is essential to create an electrically conductive bond between the grid and active material. Additionally, alloying elements are necessary to allow low-cost production techniques to be used. The selection of grid material is therefore a compromise between function, life and ease-of-manufacture. Tubular plate lead-acid batteries have historically used antimonial-lead alloys as the grid alloy. These alloys impart mechanical strength within a die-cast grid and provide a suitably conductive oxide within the corrosion layer. The use of tin in many lead alloy blends has resulted in a beneficial effect on the corrosion rate of these alloys. Tin-lead alloys are used in thin plate lead-acid batteries. However there is no published data on their use for grids of tubular plates in deep-cycling applications.

A simple binary, low-tin lead alloy has been tested and found to exhibit a significantly lower corrosion rate during periods of heavy cycling when compared to a traditional low-antimony based alloy. However, it was shown that under severe over-charge conditions, the tin-lead alloy corroded at a significantly faster rate than that of an antimonial alloy. The lead-tin alloy performed well as a positive grid material throughout 500 cycles. No indications of premature capacity loss were observed and the ability of the alloy to be recharged was excellent.

Electron microprobe analysis of sections of cycled positive plates showed the doping effect of tin within the corrosion layer of the grid material. This effect led to an increase in the conductivity of the layer, resulting in an increased ion-conductivity of the corrosion layer.

The corrosion layer of each alloy type was found to be fine, densely packed and uniformly structured under cycling conditions, but it became more porous during periods of severe over-charge. The tin-based alloy was shown to produce a thinner corrosion layer at completion of 500 cycles when compared to that of the antimonial alloy. Further, the corrosion layer of the tin based alloy was found to be less cracked than that of the antimonial based alloy.

Finally, this investigation could not identify any reasons why a tin-based grid alloy has not been identified for use in tubular plate deep-cycle batteries previously. The alloy was shown to perform well under both charge and discharge conditions and was not found to suffer premature capacity loss.

DECLARATION

I, Brenton Travis Swansson, certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name, in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name, for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library Search and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Brenton T. Swansson

11/06/2015

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Without the help, assistance and encouragement of a group of people in my life, this Thesis would have seen the light of day!

Firstly, I would like to thank PMB Defence and in particular Peter Chaplin and Steve Faulkner. Gents, thank you very much for allowing me to undertake these studies! Peter, thank you for your guidance, discussions, supervision and feedback. Steve, thank you for allowing me the time to undertake some of this project at work, for encouraging me to push harder and delve deeper.

To my principal supervisor Associate Professor Brian O'Neill, a major Thank You. Your supervision, knowledge, feedback and guidance has been greatly appreciated throughout the duration of this project. Your enthusiasm toward this project has been greatly appreciated, as has your visits to my workplace so we can catch up and keep on track with this project.

I would like to thank all the organisations who have supplied me with the resources and materials needed to undertake this study. Without your assistance, this project would have been a lot more difficult and time consuming. To Aiofe McFadden, Angus Netting and Dr. Benjamin Wade of Adelaide Microscopy, your time, patience and assistance was invaluable!

To all the guys out at Exide Technologies, particularly Rocky Caruso and Matt Palmer, thank you greatly for allowing me to use your negative plates and containers for this study. To George Morgan and Peter Ruge of Adelaide Petrographic Laboratories, I thank you greatly for your patience in sectioning and polishing these difficult samples! I know it provided you some grief (and interest!) at times, but your assistance is greatly appreciated.

By no means least, I would like to say a major thank you to my family. Mum and Dad, thank you for always encouraging me to always push myself further and for supporting and encouraging me throughout this project (and those before it). Amy and Caleb, my endless thanks for supporting and encouraging me through this project and for putting up with too many weekdays, weeknights and weekends tapping away on the computer! I know time was difficult to find in my life for some of the things we wanted to do (especially while writing this Thesis); however we got there in the end! Caleb, I am so sorry I missed some of your 'firsts' in life, but I will be there for you in your future experiences – I promise!

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	III
Declaration	V
Acknowledgements.....	VI
Table of Contents	VIII
List of Figures	X
List of Tables.....	13
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
Chapter 2 Project Details.....	12
2.1 Introductory Background	12
2.1.1 Lead-Acid Battery Design Differences – A Brief Summary	12
2.1.2 Lead Alloy Development – The History of Lead Alloys	15
2.1.3 Lead Alloy Investigations – The Current State of Knowledge....	20
2.2 Objectives of this project	25
Chapter 3 Method	29
3.1 Materials and Cell Design.....	29
3.1.1 Positive Plate Design	29
3.1.2 Negative Plates and Supporting Componentry	35
3.1.3 Cell Construction	38
3.1.4 Plate Formation	39
3.2 Cell Capacity Determination	40
3.3 Charge / Discharge Cycle Testing.....	45
3.3.1 Equipment Setup	45
3.3.2 Charging, Discharging and Monitoring Equipment	45
3.3.3 Cycling Setup	49
3.4 Grid and Plate Analysis.....	51
3.4.1 Corrosion Rate Analysis	52
3.4.2 Corrosion Layer Analysis.....	52

3.4.3	Grid Grain Morphology Analysis	55
Chapter 4	Results and Discussion.....	56
4.1	Cycling Performance.....	56
4.1.1	Formation	58
4.1.2	Charge / Discharge Cycling	59
4.2	Corrosion Rate.....	64
4.3	Grid Alloy Optical Microscopy	77
4.4	Corrosion Layer Investigation.....	79
4.5	Final Discussions.....	89
Chapter 5	Conclusions and Further Work	92
Chapter 6	References	94

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Simplified schematic of Volta’s original Voltaic Pile (Borbrav 2008)	1
Figure 2: Planté’s original lead-acid cell: (a) completed cell; (b) spirally wound electrodes; (c) lead electrodes with rubber strips prior to being wound (Planté 1879)	2
Figure 3: A typical lead-acid battery grid	3
Figure 4: Schematic of a typical Submarine and its battery placement	4
Figure 5: Cut-away of a typical submarine lead-acid battery’s construction	5
Figure 6: Positive grid corrosion	7
Figure 7: Water electrolysis potentials within a lead-acid battery (O'Donnell & Schiemann 2008)	9
Figure 8: Flat-pasted plate design	13
Figure 9: Tubular plate design	14
Figure 10: Lead-antimony alloy phase diagram (Guruswamy, 2000)	16
Figure 11: Lead alloy after extrusion and hot-rolling	31
Figure 12: Positive plate grid profile	32
Figure 13: Positive grid with lug attachment	32
Figure 14: Positive grid stamped with identification number	33
Figure 15: Positive plate bottom bar	34
Figure 16: Positive plate grid with top seals in place	34
Figure 17: Positive plate with top seals and bottom bar in place	34
Figure 18: Positive plate gauntlet	35
Figure 19: Folded negative plate	36
Figure 20: Profile view of folded negative plate	37

Figure 21: Separators used during testing; (a) negative plate side, (b) positive plate side .38

Figure 22: Formation charge profile for trial cells41

Figure 23: Cell capacity determination discharge voltage trends.....43

Figure 24: Cell capacity determination discharge voltage trends, without Cadmium reference potentials.....44

Figure 25: Test cell container location within test chamber45

Figure 26: Formation average cell voltages49

Figure 27: Formation voltages without erroneous data50

Figure 28: Resin mounted and polished plate sample.....54

Figure 29: Formation charge cell voltage for pilot cells58

Figure 30: Typical discharge voltage plot for pilot cells60

Figure 31: Average end of discharge voltage for pilot cells61

Figure 32: Average end of recharge voltage for pilot cells62

Figure 33: Rest period voltage trend for pilot cells.....63

Figure 34: Grid mass loss against number of cycles65

Figure 35: Grid mass loss as a percentage against number of cycles66

Figure 36: Corrosion rate comparison (mass loss basis).....68

Figure 37: Corrosion rate comparison (percentage grid loss basis).....68

Figure 38: Corrosion rate difference between alloy types at various stages.....69

Figure 39: Uncracked corrosion layer of Sb-12 after 42 cycles.....70

Figure 40: Uncracked corrosion layer of Sn-19 after 42 cycles.....70

Figure 41: Cracked corrosion layer of Sb-21 after 130 cycles71

Figure 42: Cracked corrosion layer of Sn-09 after 130 cycles71

Figure 43: Sn-21 after 221 cycles showing no radial cracks and uniform corrosion.....	72
Figure 44: Sn-11 after 302 cycles showing radial cracking and uniform	73
Figure 45: BSE image of Sb-18 corrosion layer	74
Figure 46: BSE image of Sn-05 corrosion layer	75
Figure 47: SEM montage of Sb-18 showing corrosion layer structure	76
Figure 48: SEM montage of Sn-05 showing corrosion layer structure	77
Figure 49: Optical micrograph of antimonial grid, shown at 40x magnification	78
Figure 50: Optical micrograph of tin-based grid, shown at 40x magnification	78
Figure 51: Sb-18 antimony concentration map	79
Figure 52: Sn-05 tin concentration map	81
Figure 53: Sb-18 corrosion layer analysis spot locations	82
Figure 54: Sb-18 corrosion layer antimony concentration	84
Figure 55: Oxygen concentration to number of equivalent molecules correlation graph ..	85
Figure 56: Sb-18 corrosion layer oxygen concentration	85
Figure 57: Sn-05 corrosion layer analysis spot locations	86
Figure 58: Sn-05 corrosion layer tin concentration	87
Figure 59: Sn-05 corrosion layer oxygen concentration	87
Figure 60: Tin price over the past 5 years (MetalPrices.com, 2014)	90
Figure 61: Antimony price over the past 5 years (MetalPrices.com, 2014).....	91
Figure 62: Lead price over the past 5 years (MetalPrices.com, 2014).....	91

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Comparison of lead-acid and lithium-ion technologies 11

Table 2: Mechanical property comparison of lead alloys 15

Table 3: Chemical composition of alloys examined in this project 29

Table 4: Plate location within test containers 39

Table 5: Cells placed in each cycling string 48