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Abstract

Having been unexpectedly and comprehensively defeated at the 1945 general election, the Conservative Party returned to office just six years later. While the Tories languished in the wilderness, Labour enacted a series of sweeping changes, nationalising large swathes of the economy, establishing a National Health Service and implementing many of Beveridge’s social insurance recommendations. When the Tories returned to office, they pledged to leave most of their predecessors’ changes intact, including many of those which they had vehemently opposed in Opposition. This has led some historians and some Conservatives themselves variously to celebrate the advent of ‘new Conservatism’, lament Conservatism’s descent into watered-down socialism, or conclude there was not really much change at all, with some further claiming that the 1950s was characterised by a cross-party ‘consensus’. This thesis explores whether there really was a shift in the Conservatives’ attitude to the role of the state. On the basis of extensive archival research it examines Conservative policy development in three major areas: nationalisation, the creation of the National Health Service (NHS) and social insurance.

Using these policy areas, this thesis argues that while the Party made pragmatic accommodations to measures once they were enacted, there was no underlying shift in its broad conception of the role of state. Where the Conservatives supported measures before their introduction, they did so in part for electoral reasons, but also because they were reconcilable with the Conservative tradition as interpreted in the context of the time. Where measures went beyond what they were prepared to accept, the Conservatives opposed them, even where that opposition proved electorally damaging. The mere fact that the Conservatives subsequently resigned themselves to accept measures to which they were previously hostile should not in itself be read as a shift in, nor a deviation from, pre-war Conservatism. The Party had a long history of working with changes created by rivals where it was felt those changes were irreversible. Minor exceptions aside, major alterations to the post-war settlement were rejected mostly on the pragmatic grounds that doing so was both impractical and would hinder the Conservatives’ chances of blocking further, more radical, change. This thesis concludes that, in an era of apparent popular demand for increased state intervention, most of the party could tolerate Labour’s changes, even if private doubts remained, as the alternative appeared even worse.
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## List of Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACP</td>
<td>Advisory Committee on Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACPPE</td>
<td>Advisory Committee on Policy and Political Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMA</td>
<td>British Medical Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCO</td>
<td>Conservative Central Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPA</td>
<td>Conservative Party Archive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPC</td>
<td>Conservative Political Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRD</td>
<td>Conservative Research Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUUCO</td>
<td>Conservative and Unionist Central Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCC</td>
<td>Leader’s Consultative Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHS</td>
<td>National Health Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NU</td>
<td>National Union of Conservative and Unionist Associations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWPCC</td>
<td>Post-War Problems Central Committee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A Note on Titles and Spelling

A number of the figures mentioned in this thesis changed their names as they inherited or were elevated to titles. For example, Edward Wood was elevated to Baron Irwin in 1926, inherited his father’s viscountcy in 1934 and then elevated to First Earl of Halifax in 1944. For the sake of convenience, when a figure was known by more than one name at different periods, the name or title at the period being discussed is used unless otherwise stated.

Although the Party was known as the Unionist Party from the late nineteenth century until the early twentieth century, for the sake of convenience the name ‘Conservative Party’ is used throughout this thesis. Reflecting common practice, the words ‘Tory’ and ‘Conservative’ are used interchangeably. Where reference is made to Conservatism as relating to the philosophy of the Conservative Party, capital letters are used.