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The Arunta region, central Australia, is interpreted to record evidence for the complex evolution and growth of the Australian continent during the Paleoproterozoic and Mesoproterozoic. The Warumpi Province, in the southern Arunta region, has been proposed to be an exotic terrain that has accreted to the more northerly Aileron Province in the North Australian Craton during the ca1640 Ma Liebig Orogeny.

The Casey Inlier has been identified to contain the boundary between the Aileron and Warumpi Provinces. U-Pb dating indicates ages of ca1652-1670 Ma granites to be the Warumpi Province and the ca1756-1774 Ma granitic to be the Aileron Province. New Lu-Hf zircon analysis undertaken in this study revealed that the source regions of both provinces are isotopically indistinguishable. U-Pb and Lu-Hf analysis of detrital zircon in a quartzite cover sequence provides a maximum depositional age of ca1311 Ma and an isotopic signature that is characteristic of the Musgrave Province. This suggests that the Arunta region was proximal at this time. Field observation indicate a pervasive NNW-SSE strike fabric with east side up shear dated at ca 1730 Ma age, with a later west side up shear fabric attributed to be ca 1140 Ma shear fabric. The data obtained in this study combined within previous evidence for shared histories indicate the Warumpi Province was not exotic to the Aileron Province and it is most unlikely that a suturing event occurred at ca 1640 Ma.
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INTRODUCTION

The Casey Inlier in central Australia is thought to preserve a ca 1640 Ma suture (Close et al. 2004) between the ca 1830-1780 Ma Aileron Province, southern Northern Australian Craton (NAC), and the 1690-1600 Ma Warumpi Province, which is potentially an exotic terrane (Close et al. 2004, Scrimgeour et al. 2005). The suture has been proposed to have been a smaller continental fragment that would have accreted on to the NAC (Selway et al. 2006) (Figure 1). Little work has been done on the boundary between the two provinces despite its implied importance in the development of central Australia. This is largely due to overprinting events and restricted land access due to native title landholdings. The Casey Inlier is an ideal location to study the proposed suture as it is in an easily accessible study area located on pastoral lands and the western domain is determined to be the Warumpi Province and the Eastern and Central Domains to be the Aileron Province by Carson et al. (2009).

Figure 1: Proposed suturing during the 1800-1500 Ma where there is a proposed north dipping subduction under the North Australian Craton (NAC) and the merger of the South Australian Craton (SAC) and the Western Australian Craton (WAC). Followed by the merger of the further addition of the SAC to create the Musgrave Province during 1300-1100 Ma. The corresponding orogenesis during 1800-1600 Ma in the dark grey, 1700-1600 Ma in the grey and 1300-1100 Ma in the light grey. Adapted from Giles et al. (2004).
The suture of the Warumpi Provence and the Aileron Provence has been hypothesised to have occurred during the ca 1640 Ma Liebig Orogeny (Scrimgeour et al. 2005). The evidence for this proposed suture has been; 1. two distinctive protolith ages of different provinces, 2. distinctive Sm-Nd isotopic signature of the two different provinces (Close et al. 2004), 3. magnetotelluric data with interpreted fossil subduction-style system (Selway et al. 2009), 4. a hair pin bend in the apparent polar wander path (Idnurm 2000) and 5. moderate to high pressure metamorphism with associated voluminous magmatism at ca 1640-1635 Ma (Scrimgeour et al. 2005).

This study aims to identify if a suture event occurred during the Liebig Orogeny or at some stage during the Paleoproterozoic or Mesoproterozoic. This will be done by identifying the relationship between the rocks of the Western, Eastern and Central Domains of the Casey Inlier which are proposed to be the Warumpi Province juxtaposing the Aileron Province in the Casey Inlier. To do this, investigating the age and inherited zircon ages of rocks from the Warumpi Province in the Casey Inlier, coupled with Lu-Hf isotope analysis will characterise the protolith age of the rocks. If the Warumpi and Aileron Provinces have significantly differing Hf isotopic characteristics then it will support the notion that the Warumpi Province is an exotic terrain that has accreted on to the Aileron Province. If the isotopic compositions are similar it suggests that the granitic rocks simple indicate remelting of the Aileron Province. Field investigation, monazite geochronology and zircon geochronology will be used in determining the age of metamorphism in the region.
Overlying the Paleoproterozoic rocks of the central Casey Inlier is a muscovite-bearing quartzite deposited \( \leq 1235 \pm 74 \) Ma, with a NNW-SSE fabric (Close et al. 2007). The detrital zircons within this sedimentary unit yield ages ranging from ca 1230-1850 Ma, with age peaks at ca1350 Ma, 1550 Ma, 1660 Ma, 1700 Ma and 1750 Ma. These zircon ages are restricted to within the NAC and also common in the Musgrave Province (Wade et al. 2006, Carson et al. 2009, Kirkland et al. 2012). U-Pb geochronology coupled with Lu-Hf isotope data, will potentially reveal the relationship between the Warumpi, Aileron and Musgrave Province, and provide insight in to the poorly understood development in central Australia during the Mesoproterozoic.

**GEOLOGICAL SETTING**

**Formation of the Arunta region**

The Arunta region has been divided into the Aileron and Warumpi Provinces which have complex tectonic histories (Table 1). The Arunta region is a polymetamorphic region that is found in the south of the NAC (Collins & Shaw 1995, Scrimgeour 2003) (Figure 2), and is evidently in a pivotal position to investigate the growth of northern Australia. The Arunta region has been divided into the Aileron Province and the Warumpi Province.
The Aileron Province has undergone multiple deformation events (Pietsch 2001, Claoué-Long 2003, Scrimgeour 2003). The Aileron Province outcrops consist of low upper greenschist facies rocks juxtaposed to high grade granulite facies rocks (Claoué-Long et al. 2008). During ca 1865-1820 Ma the Aileron Province has been interpreted to be a broad basin with no moving depocenters, that latter has been proposed to move gradually moved southwards into which sediment from the NAC was deposited (Scrimgeour et al. 2005), which is now identified predominantly as the Lander Package (Claoué-Long & Hoatson 2005). These basins have a proposed Archean basement (Scrimgeour et al. 2005). During ca 1840-1810 Ma the proposed basin had a deep marine setting that developed into a shallow marine system by ca 1810-1800 Ma. Between ca 1805-1800 Ma felsic and mafic intrusions were widespread throughout the area which developed into clastic and volcaniclastic sedimentation with marine transgression between ca 1790-1780 Ma. In the eastern and southern part of the Arunta between ca 1760-1740 Ma felsic and minor mafic magmatism occurred, which has been
proposed to have formed in the back-arc environment before the Strangways Event (Scrimgeour et al. 2005)

The Warumpi Province is situated on the southern margin of the NAC neighbouring the Aileron Province (Shaw et al. 1984), but it is separated by the Charles River Thrust, Redbank Thrust and Desert Bore Shear Zone which are consider to be part of the Central Australian Suture (Scrimgeour et al. 2005). It consists of two domains the Haast Bluff Domain deposited characterised by sequences felsic intrusive volcanics between ca 1690-1660 Ma and ca 1630-1610 Ma and the Yaya domain which contains sequences deposited between ca 1660-1640 Ma (Scrimgeour 2003, Scrimgeour et al. 2005) (Figure 2).

The Arunta region is a complex geological area with an igneous, metamorphic and tectonic history. This has been outlined in table 2.

Table 1: Summary of Palaeo-Mesoproterozoic tectonic events in central Australia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Regional Distribution</th>
<th>Magmatism</th>
<th>Metamorphic Character</th>
<th>Deformation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stafford Event</td>
<td>1810-1800 Ma</td>
<td>Central and northern Aileron Province</td>
<td>Granitic and mafic</td>
<td>Granulite facies with low P high T with a max PT 850°C and 3 kbar</td>
<td>Low strain mainly thermal event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yambah Event</td>
<td>1780-1760 Ma</td>
<td>Central and southern Aileron Province</td>
<td>Granitic and mafic</td>
<td>Granulite grade with low-medium P, high T and an unknown max PT</td>
<td>Compression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inkamulla Igneous Event</td>
<td>1760-1740 Ma</td>
<td>South-eastern Aileron Province</td>
<td>Granitic and mafic includes apparent arc related with A-type magmatism</td>
<td>Metamorphic character not known</td>
<td>Not yet obtained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Strangways Orogeny</td>
<td>1730-1715 Ma</td>
<td>South-eastern and southern Aileron Province</td>
<td>Minor felsic magmatism</td>
<td>Granulite grade with low-medium P, high T with a max PT poorly defined</td>
<td>Regional high-grade deformation event predominantly compression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Strangways Orogeny</td>
<td>1700-1670 Ma</td>
<td>South-eastern and southern Aileron Province</td>
<td>Abundant mafic dykes</td>
<td>Granulite grade with a medium PT up to with a max PT 800°C and 7kbar</td>
<td>Mylonitic regions and large scale folds associated with east-west extension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event</td>
<td>Age (Ma)</td>
<td>Province/Region</td>
<td>Metamorphic Character</td>
<td>Pressure-Temperature</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argilke Igneous Event</td>
<td>1680-1670</td>
<td>Southern Warumpi Province</td>
<td>Upper amphibolite grade with a metamorphic character poorly defined, but up to</td>
<td></td>
<td>Not yet obtained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leibig Orogeny</td>
<td>1640-1630</td>
<td>Central Warumpi Province and southern Aileron Province</td>
<td>Granulite grade with a metamorphic grade poorly defined, but locally up to high PT granulite with a max PT 900°C and 9kbar</td>
<td></td>
<td>Not yet obtained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orniston event</td>
<td>1620-1600</td>
<td>Southern Warumpi Province</td>
<td>Metamorphic character unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td>Not yet obtained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chewings Orogeny</td>
<td>1600-1550</td>
<td>Central Warumpi Province and southern Aileron Province</td>
<td>Granulite grade with a max PT 850°C and 6 kbar</td>
<td></td>
<td>Compression with regions associated with top to the south transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teapot Event</td>
<td>1160-1130</td>
<td>Warumpi Province and southern Aileron Province</td>
<td>Amphibolite to granulite with a max PT 800°C and 6 kbar</td>
<td></td>
<td>Compression with E-W trending isoclinal folding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During the Liebig Orogeny ca 1640-1635 Ma the Warumpi Provence is proposed to have been an exotic terrain accreted on to the Aileron Provence (Scrimgeour et al. 2005). Interpretation has been based on evidence of high-temperature, moderate to high pressure metamorphism which is associated with voluminous magmatism and isothermal decompression (Scrimgeour et al. 2005). Arc like geochemical signatures of granites found in the Arunta region (Zhao & Bennett 1995, Zhao & McCulloch 1995) suggests that the NAC was the overriding plate in a north- dipping subduction system during the Paleoproterozoic (Karlstrom et al. 2001, Giles et al. 2002, Giles et al. 2004, Betts & Giles 2006). Further evidence Warumpi Province is exotic to the NAC is the apparent lack of Archean inheritance in granites and a less evolved isotopic signature (Close et al. 2004). This feature has been interpreted to represent a fossil subduction-style system that may have been involved in the suture between the Aileron and Warumpi Province (Selway et al. 2009). Magnetotelluric data provides evidence of a lithospheric scale south dipping feature which has been interpreted to have been
initially a north dipping subduction feature, until ca 1700 Ma when it changed orientation to become a south dipping structure. The timing of the Leibig Orogeny also corresponds with a hair pin bend in the apparent polar wander path and this change in the polar wander path has been interpreted as a change in the direction of plate movement (Idnurm 2000), potentially related to a collisional orogenic event (Scrimgeour et al. 2005).

Evidence suggesting that the Warumpi Province is exotic to the NAC appears convincing. Evidence suggests it could alternatively be an extended region of the Aileron Province supported by, detrital zircon ages obtained from the Warumpi Province are similar to those found in the Aileron Province (Claoué-Long et al. 2008) and by granites of Leibig orogeny age intrude the Aileron Province (Wong 2011), suggesting that the Warumpi age magmatism is not constrained to the Warumpi Province (Claoué-Long & Hoatson 2005).

This project aims to examine the relationship between the Warumpi Province and the Aileron Province in the Casey Inlier, as well as its association with the cover sequence.

**Geological setting and field observations of this study area**

The Casey Inlier is an isolated part of the Arunta Region located to the south east of the Arunta Region on the N-E side of the Hale River in the Amadeus Basin (Close et al. 2006). The Casey Inlier consists of three domains which are categorised by Close et al. (2006) with regards to structural boundaries, metamorphic grade and protolith. There is also a muscovite-bearing quartzite cover sequence (Figure 3).
Figure 3: Simplified geology of the Casey Inlier indicating the Warumpi Provence in the west and the Aileron Province in the east. Locations of samples are marked.

**Eastern Domain**

This domain contains by upper amphibolite facies rocks that are characterised by quartz, plagioclase and biotite. Minor metasedimentary lithologies contain garnet, biotite and sillimanite. The felsic lithologies may be meta-igneous, and in places more than 100m wide metagabbros have intruded the felsic lithologies. These have been reworked to form gneiss with a variation in intensity and in some areas boudinaged melt veins (Figure 4a, 4b). In places it is evident that this foliation has overprinted an earlier high-grade metamorphic fabric. This is best seen by the partial preservation of garnet bearing leucosomes in biotite- sillimanite bearing metasediments (Figure 4c).
This domain contains a pervasive NNW-SSE trending foliation with high angle SW dip. The foliation has been locally reworked by layered parallel phyllonitic ≤5m wide shear zones that generally have a dip slip east-side up movement (Figure 5a).

The fabric contains 2 generations of pegmatite veins (Figure 5b). The first is a highly deformed layer parallel to the foliation and the second intrudes at a high angle into the fabric and is cross cut by the phyllonitic shear zones.

Figure 4: The gneissic fabric with increasing strain evident in a, and boudinaged melt veins created from the strain in a and increasing strain evident in b, and c) shows a leucosome with a biotite-sillimanite bearing metasediment which a NNW-SSE fabric overprint.

Figure 5: East-side up sigma clasts a, and 2 generations of pegmatite veins which cross cut each other.
There are also series of different veins that cross cut the fabric in this domain such as Carbonate veins which are up to 7m wide. Ultra-mafic intrusions of Gabbro are found in elongate boudinaged up to 10m wide, which could be the earliest veining in the area.

Detrital zircon ages in metasediments are similar to those recorded from the Lander Rock Formation (Carson et al. 2009), with a maximum deposition age of ca 1845 Ma. The felsic gneisses are interpreted to have igneous protoliths which give an age of 1817±4 Ma.

Central Domain

The central domain of the Casey Inlier is characterised by a highly migmatised granulite facies metasediments that have been intruded by mafic rocks which for bodies up to 1.5 km by 1 km. The migmatites are garnet-sillimanite-biotite bearing assemblages and are in places diatexitic. They contain a weakly defined approximately E-W to NNE-SSW, steeply S–dipping layering which is defined by felsic veins, with remnants of the primary lithological layering as rough elongations. Contact between the mafic rock and the migmatised metasediments is found, the mafic rock has mixed with the diatexite forming textures reminiscent of magma mingling.

The metasedimentary rocks have been intruded by megacrystic granites indicating that they postdate the partial melting of the metasediments. This indicates that there has not been mixing between the granites and metasediments (Figure 6a). The boundaries of the Central Domain have high grade metasediments and igneous protoliths which have been overprinted by a pervasive NNW-SSE trending foliation. The megacrystic granites as a result of this have formed domains of highly strained augen gneiss up to 700m
wide, which are defined by biotite, quartz ribbons and elongation of the K-feldspars (Figure 6b). This fabric is most evident along the eastern side of the Central Domain and has an east-side up movement indicator along a steeply plunging lineation. This is parallel to a well developed amphibolites-grade foliation which has been overprinted by an earlier high-grade mineral assemblage in the Eastern Domain.

![Figure 6: Metasedimentary rock which has been intruded by megacrystic melt fragments, surrounded by melt halos, in image a and image b an east side up sigma clasts of K-feldspar, of highly strained augen gneiss defined by biotite and quartz ribbons.](image)

The east side up foliations in places has been reworked by micaeous shear zones, which are defined by muscovite-biotite foliations, as well as been retrogressed in areas up to 20m wide possessing opposite shear sense along a steeply plunging lineation. The younger shear zones are associated with the retrograde assemblage from ~25% of the foliation system that overprints the earlier high-grade metamorphic rocks and granites.

The detrital zircon age spectra from the migmatised metasediments are similar to the sedimentary derived lithologies in the Aileron Province, giben a maximum depositional
age of around 1865±5 Ma (Carson et al. 2009). The high-grade metamorphism in the Central Domain overlapped with the 1770.6±4.4 Ma of the gabbro’s, which display a syn-metamorphic relationship with the enclosing migmatites, speculated to be a result of the of their intrusions (Carson et al. 2009).

The boundary between the Central and Eastern Domains is a sequence of quartzite that forms a prominent set of steeply dipping NNW-SEE trending strike ridges. The quartzite package is ~150 m thick, containing individual quartzite units which are several meters thick and separated by impure quartzite. This sequence is associated with fine-grained muscovite, garnet and staurolite-bearing porphyroblastic metapelite. The intense recrystallisation has preserved sedimentary structures, but in some places there is still graded bedding indicating an upright stratigraphy.

The quartzite cover defines an upright macroscopic, gently north-plunging isoclinal syn-form with preserved limb lengths of around 8km. Within muscovite-bearing quartzite, the upright NNW-SS trending foliation is axial surface to the macroscopic fold, overprints an earlier cleavage indicating that the regional scale fold is an F2 structure (Figure 7a). This simple F2 folds geometry suggests that F1 structures were either small scale or that S1 was overall layer parallel (Figure 7b).
Detrital zircon ages indicate that the quartzite has a maximum depositional age of ca 1270 Ma (Carson et al. 2009). The eastern limb of the fold is unconformably overlies the basement of the Amadeus Basin (ca 830 Ma), indicating that deformation occurred between the late Mesoproterozoic-early Neoproterozoic.

**Western Domain**

This domain is dominated by granite and granitic gneiss. Contact with the Central Domain is along a zone of variably foliated greenschist facies retrogression which is several hundred meters wide. It has overprinted an earlier shear fabric that is developed across the domain > 2km wide along the eastern margin of the western domain.

The shear fabric is sub-vertical and trends NNW-SSE. In areas of low deformation intensity, the granites are weakly foliated with large K-feldspar phenocrysts, or are even
grained. These granites are converted into augen gneiss or pervasively foliated granitic gneiss with increasing strain. At high strains the rocks have an intense foliation defined by biotite, ribbons of quartz and feldspar which envelop K-feldspar porphyroclasts. The fabric increases in intensity in non Augen gneiss areas which is characterised by the development of a coarse-grained (1-3 mm) muscovite-biotite bearing foliation. In muscovite rich shear zones, mylonitised quartz veins are common. Kinematic indicators (S-C fabrics, sigma and delta clasts) all present a west-side-up movement along a steeply plunging lineation (Figure 8).

U-Pb zircon geochronology of the Western Domain granitic protoliths give ages between ca 1650-1640 Ma (Carson et al. 2009), which are identical to the ages of granitic magmatism and metamorphism in the Warumpi Province (Scrimgeour et al., 2005), to the west of the Arunta region. This is dissimilar to the older ages in the adjacent Central Domain which are of depositional, igneous and metamorphic ages found in the Aileron Province, to the north to the Arunta region. This contrast ages, and
their similarity to the juxtaposition of the Warumpi and Aileron Provinces to the north and west, have been used to suggest that the western and Central Casey Inlier may contain a continuation of the suture, which has been proposed to separate the Aileron and Warumpi Provinces.

**SAMPLE DESCRIPTION**

The samples were taken from the Eastern, Central and Western Domains of the Casey Inlier. The bulk of samples were taken in close proximity to a sample set taken by Carson *et al.* (2009). This was done in order to ensure that the age group identified by Carson could be targeted for subsequent Lu-Hf analysis. The locations of samples are shown in figure 3.

**Eastern Domain petrological and monazite sample**

NAC 2012-11- GARNET BIOTITE SCHIST

Garnets up to 1 cm are enclosed by a medium grained biotite foliation. Quartz is up to 8mm in size and has been elongate with the fabric. The sample contains deformed quartzofeldspahic segregation that is boudinaged within the foliation.

**Central Domain metasediments**

NAC 2011-076-QUARTZITE

Potassium feldspar elongate porphyry clasts up to 8mm (35%), subhedral quartz clasts up to 6mm (35%). Surrounded by anhedral plagioclase up to 2mm (5%). Retrogressed biotite grains fill the matrix (20%) along with muscovite (5%).
NAC 2011-079- BANDED K FELDSPAR MIGMATITE
Fine grained gneiss with slightly elongated grains of quartz grain up to 3mm and potassium feldspar up to 1mm in size, make up 60% of the rock in bands. Platy biotite grains up to 1mm long make up 40% of the rock.

NAC 2011-081-FINE GRAINED MUSCOVITE BEARING QUARTZITE WITH FE-STAINING
Orange fine equal sized grained schist sample, dominated by quartz grains ~80% and muscovite grains (20%) and (~1%) biotite.

Central Domain granites

NAC 2011-077-AMPHIBOLE PYROXENE RICH METAGABBRO
Medium grained gabbro containing surrounded elongate crystals up to 1cm of orthopyroxene (20%), 1mm size plagioclase grains (10%) and euhedral hornblende grains up to 2mm in size (5%).

NAC 2012-18-WEAKLY GNEISSIC GRANITE
Elongate grains with the slightly linear fabric contains potassium feldspar euhedral clasts up to 5 cm in size (20%), anhedral elongate clasts of quartz up to 0.5 mm (30%) and biotite rich retrogressed matrix (50%).

NAC 2012-21- AUGEN GNEISS
Highly strained and contains elongate potassium feldspar anhedral to subhedral porphyroblasts that are up to 3 cm long (40%). Plagioclase elongate quartz clasts of
0.8mm long grains (25%) and quartz elongate sub rounded grains up to 0.6mm (25%).
lasts wrapped by biotite forming less 10% of the lithology.

**Central Domain petrological samples**

NAC 2012-37- GARNET MUSCOVITE SCHIST
This sample is derived from a retrogression of migmatitic metasediment. It was taken from a 3m wide shear one trending in a (Figure 15a). NNW-SSE and displaying west-up shear sense.
Garnet poiquioblasts of 1cm in size has been elongate with the fabric. Biotite lenses rap the garnet (30%) with a retrogressed plagioclase matrix (60%).

NAC 2012-46- BIOTITE MYLONITE
Subvertical NNW trending shear fabric that deforms migmatised metasediments.
Movement sense was west-up along a steeply plunging-lineation. Quartz clasts up to 6mm in size are elongate with the fabric. Small muscovite grains have formed veins wrapping the quartz clasts (20%). Biotite rich retrogressed mylonite (30%) and plagioclase matrix (50%).

**Western Domain granites**

NAC 2011-072-GRANITIC GNEISS BANDED METAGRANITE WITH 1 CM K-FELDSPAR GRAINS
Light grey granite containing sub to anhedral feldspar grains (30%) up to 1cm size that are elongate and a line with the fabric. Quartz grains (20%) are sub to anhedral and 5mm in size. The fabric is defined by biotite and elongate feldspar crystals up to 1mm making up 45% of the composition as well as muscovite (5%).
NAC 2011-073-MUSCOVITE RICH QUARTZ-FELDSPAR RICH LEUCOGRANITE
Fine grained foliated granitic gneiss predominantly anhedral quartz and K-feldspar grains (55%) and euhedral muscovite grains up to 1mm (39%). Biotite grains 1mm size is predominantly associated with muscovite rich areas.

NAC 2011-074- PORPHYRITIC BIOTITE RICH FOLIATED GRANITE WITH 2CM LONG K-FELDSPAR
Porphyritic biotite rich foliated granite with 2cm long K-feldspar aligned with the fabric (20%). Quartz has a similar orientation and shape to the feldspar with 8mm size grains (10%). The larger phenocrysts are surrounded by a matrix of plagioclase which are up to 6mm in size (35%), K feldspar making up to 2cm (30%) and biotite grains up to 1mm long (5%).

PETROLOGICAL DESCRIPTIONS

SAMPLE NAC 2012-11- GARNET BIOTITE SCHIST
In thin section garnet, biotite, sillimanite, quartz, plagioclase feldspar, muscovite, hornblende, and monazite can be seen. Sub rounded to slightly elongate garnet porphyroblasts (up to 6m in diameter) contain small inclusions of anhedral biotite, quartz, and euhedral hornblende has formed on the margin of garnets (Figure 9a), replacing the garnet grain. Coarse biotite grains dominated fabric wraps the garnets and dominates the matrix. The lenses contain predominantly biotite and small amounts muscovite and sillimanite, in the retrogressed plagioclase porphyroblasts (up to 2mm in diameter). The sillimanite in the biotite has formed vines which have formed clusters (Figure 9b). Anhedral quartz grains are predominantly associated with the plagioclase
and form veins aligned with the fabric, with anhedral and euhedral tourmaline small
0.01 mm grains (Figure 9c).

SAMPLE NAC 2012-37- GARNET MUSCOVITE SCHIST
This sample contains garnet, plagioclase feldspar, biotite, quartz, muscovite, apatite and
monazite. Anhedral garnet porphyroblasts (up to 5mm) replaced with quartz, sillimanite
and biotite in a retrogressing plagioclase matrix which is breaking down into sillimanite
and quartz (Figure 9d). The fabric that wraps the garnet grains is predominantly fine
grained muscovite and quartz. This is intermixed with elongate biotite gains, which
create lenses (up to 7mm) within the matrix (Figure 9e). Monazites are anhedral with
grains sizes up to 50µm and which are altered by the feldspar matrix and have
developed apatite rich halos (Figure 9f).

SAMPLE NAC 2012-46- BIOTITE MYLONITE
This sample contains plagioclase feldspar, quartz, biotite, muscovite and garnet. The
retrogresses plagioclase feldspar porphyroblasts (up to 1mm) are euhedral in shape and
are being replaced by predominantly quartz clasts and anhedral biotite grains. There are
lenses of anhedral biotite grains (up to 0.08mm) with semi-rounded, small anhedral
grains of quartz grains (up to 0.3 mm) anhedral muscovite grains (up to 0.02mm) within
the matrix. (Figure 9g) Monazites are anhedral with grains sizes up to 50µm and which
are altered by the feldspar matrix and have developed apatite rich halos (Figure 9h).
Figure 9: Mineral abbreviations used: gt= garnet, bi= biotite, sil=sillimanite, qtz= quartz, pl= plagioclase feldspar, mu= muscovite, hbl=hornblende, ap= apatite tur=tourmaline and mnz=monazite. a) Photomicrographs of sample NAC 2012-11 elongate garnet porphyroblasts with inclusions of biotite, plagioclase euhedral hornblende on the margin of garnets. Biotite, muscovite and sillimanite wrap the garnets and lenses of biotite retrogresses plagioclase and quartz clasts surrounding them. b) Photomicrographs of sample NAC 2012-11 showing a sillimanite cluster of grains in the lens biotite muscovite rich plagioclase matrix c) Photomicrographs of sample NAC 2012-11 shows veins of quartz elongate with the fabric d) Photomicrographs of sample NAC 2012-37 showing a garnet replaced by quartz and sillimanite in a sillimanite plagioclase matrix e) Photomicrographs of sample NAC 2012-37 shows a garnet that is being wrapped by muscovite and quartz and biotite lenses in eth retrogressing plagioclase matrix breaking down to sillimanite. f) Photomicrographs of sample NAC 2012-37 shows an appetite alteration halo around a monazite grain in a retrogressed plagioclase and sillimanite matrix with zones of quartz and biotite. g) Photomicrographs of sample NAC 2012-46 shows retrogressed plagioclase with a biotite muscovite domain and quartz inclusions h) Photomicrographs of sample NAC 2012-46 shows an alteration halo of apatite around a monazite grain with a retrogressed plagioclase with quartz and sillimanite matrix with lenses of biotite.
METHODS

U-Pb LA-ICP-MS geochronology

Single-grain U–Pb zircon dating was undertaken using Laser Ablation – Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry LA-ICPMS at the University of Adelaide following a similar method to Payne et al. (2010). Zircon grains were extracted from the rock samples using routine crushing, sieving, panning, Frantz Isodynamic separation and heavy-liquid separation. The zircons were then handpicked and mounted in a 2.5cm diameter circular epoxy grain. Each mount was polished to expose the approximate centre of the zircon grain. The mounted zircon grains were imaged using backscatter electron (BSE) and cathodoluminescence (CL) imaging on a Phillips XL-20 Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM).

U–Pb isotopic analyses was done using the New Wave 213 nm Nd-YAG laser coupled to an Agilent 7500cs ICP-MS at the University of Adelaide. The ablation was performed in a He atmosphere with a 30µm spot size, repetition rate of 5Hz and a laser intensity of 9-10 J/cm². The total analysis time is 120 seconds which consists of 30 seconds of background measurements, 10 s of laser stabilisation with the shutter closed and 80 s of sample ablation. The isotopes measured were $^{204}\text{Pb}$, $^{206}\text{Pb}$, $^{207}\text{Pb}$ and $^{238}\text{U}$ for 10, 15, 30 and 15 ms, respectively.

Corrections were made for U-Pb mass bias and instrument drift with the external zircon standard GJ (TIMS normalisation data: $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age= 607.7 ± 4.3 Ma; $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ age= 600.7 ± 1.1 Ma; $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{235}\text{U}$ age= 602.0 +/- 1.0 Ma: (Jackson et al. 2004). The Plešovice standard was also run to monitor the accuracy of the method (ID-TIMS
normalisation data: \(^{207}\text{Pb} / ^{206}\text{Pb}\) age = 337.13 ± 0.37 Ma (Slama et al. 2008b). The data was processed using the real-time processing program ‘Glitter’, developed at Macquarie University, Sydney (Jackson et al. 2004). Common Pb anomalous was discarded. Isoplot v4.11 (Ludwig 2003) is used to calculate conventional concordia and weighted average plots were created. Concordancy of the data was calculated using the ratio of \(^{206}\text{Pb} / ^{238}\text{U}\) / \(^{207}\text{Pb} / ^{206}\text{Pb}\) ages. All ages are reported at 1σ uncertainty. The standard age obtained during this study was GJ \(^{207}\text{Pb} / ^{206}\text{Pb}\) = 610 ± 3.8 Ma (n=329, MSWD=0.44), \(^{206}\text{Pb} / ^{238}\text{U}\) = 601.05 ± 0.89 Ma (n=327, MSWD=1.07) and \(^{207}\text{Pb} / ^{235}\text{Pb}\) = 602.69 ± 0.91 Ma (n=313, MSWD=0.58) and Plešovice are \(^{207}\text{Pb} / ^{206}\text{Pb}\) = 345.6.3 ± 6.3 Ma (n=114, MSWD=0.57), \(^{206}\text{Pb} / ^{238}\text{U}\) = 336.5 ± 1.1 Ma (n=115, MSWD=1.9) and \(^{207}\text{Pb} / ^{235}\text{Pb}\) = 337.9 ± 1.1 Ma (n=113, MSWD=1.4).

Monazite U-Pb dating was done on in-situ monazite grains within thin sections. Thin sections and monazites were imaged using a Phillips XL40 Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) on backscatter electron (BSE) settings to locate the monazites. U-Pb isotopic analyse was undertaken using a New Wave 213 nm Nd-YAG laser together with an Agilent 7500cs ICP-MS at the University of Adelaide. The ablations were performed in a helium atmosphere, with a 15 µm beam diameter on the sample surface, with a laser intensity of 9·10 J/cm² at a repetition rate of 5 Hz. Total acquisition time for each sample was 90 s, consisting of 20 s of background measurement, 10 s of shutter closed laser firing to allow for beam stabilisation and 60 s of sample ablation. Isotopic masses were measured from \(^{204}\text{Pb}\), \(^{206}\text{Pb}\), \(^{207}\text{Pb}\) and \(^{238}\text{U}\) for 10 ms, 15 ms, 30 ms and 15 ms respectively (Payne et al. 2008). GLITTER was used to process the raw LA-ICP-MS data which is a reduction program developed at Macquarie University.
Sydney (Griffin et al. 2008).

Corrections were made using the monazite standard MAdel (TIMS normalisation data:
\(^{207}\text{Pb} / ^{206}\text{Pb}\) age= 491.0± 2.7 Ma; \(^{206}\text{Pb} / ^{238}\text{U}\) age= 518.37±0.99 Ma; \(^{207}\text{Pb} / ^{235}\text{U}\) age=
513.13± 0.19 Ma: Payne et al. 2008; updated with additional TIMS data), the external monazite standard 44069 was also used (TIMS normalisation data: \(^{206}\text{Pb} / ^{238}\text{U}\) = 426 ±3 Ma: Aleinikoff et al. 2006). Data accuracy and correction was monitored by repeated analysis of the in-house monazite standard 94-222/Bruna NW (c. 450 Ma)(Payne et al. 2008). Throughout this study, the weighted averages obtained for 222 are \(^{207}\text{Pb} / ^{206}\text{Pb}\)= 455 ± 16 Ma (n=23, MSWD=1.2), \(^{206}\text{Pb} / ^{238}\text{U}\)= 456.3 ± 5.4 Ma (n=22, MSWD=3.7) and \(^{207}\text{Pb} / ^{235}\text{Pb}\)= 453.2±5.9 Ma (n=22, MSWD=4.3), MADEL are \(^{207}\text{Pb} / ^{206}\text{Pb}\)= 489 ±10 Ma (n=39, MSWD=1.12), \(^{206}\text{Pb} / ^{238}\text{U}\)= 519 ±3 Ma (n=37, MSWD=1.6) and \(^{207}\text{Pb} / ^{235}\text{Pb}\)= 513±2.6 Ma (n=36, MSWD=1.3) and 44069 are \(^{207}\text{Pb} / ^{206}\text{Pb}\)= 395±21 Ma (n=7, MSWD=0.73), \(^{206}\text{Pb} / ^{238}\text{U}\)= 423.8±6.3 Ma (n=7, MSWD=1.4) and \(^{207}\text{Pb} / ^{235}\text{Pb}\)= 418±6.6 Ma (n=7, MSWD=1.7).

**Lu-Hf LA-MC-ICP-MS zircon isotope analysis**

Thermo-Scientific Neptune Multi Collector ICP-MS equipped with Faraday detectors and \(10^{-11}\)Ω amplifiers was used to make measurements. Analyses where made using a dynamic measurement routine with: Ten 0.524 s integrations on \(^{171}\text{Yb}, ^{173}\text{Yb}, ^{175}\text{Lu}, ^{176}\text{Hf} (+\text{Lu+Yb}), ^{177}\text{Hf}, ^{178}\text{Hf}, ^{179}\text{Hf} \text{and} ^{180}\text{Hf}; \text{one} 0.524 \text{s integration on} ^{160}\text{Gd}, ^{163}\text{Dy}, ^{164}\text{Dy}, ^{165}\text{Ho}, ^{166}\text{Er}, ^{167}\text{Er}, ^{168}\text{Er}, ^{170}\text{Yb} \text{and} ^{171}\text{Yb}, \text{and one} 0.524 \text{second integration of} \text{Hf oxides with masses ranging from} 187 \text{to} 196 \text{amu}. \text{Between each mass change there was an idle time of} 1.5 \text{seconds which allow for magnet settling and to negate any}
potential effects of signal decay. 15 repetitions of this measurement cycle were made to provide a total maximum measurement time of 3.75 minutes including an off-peak baseline measurement. This measurement routine has been used to allow the monitoring of oxide formation rates and REE content with in the zircon and provide an option to correct for REE-oxide interferences if necessary.

An exponential fractionation law stable $^{179}\text{Hf}^{177}\text{Hf}$ ratio of 0.7325 was used to correct for Hf mass bias. Yb and Lu isobaric interferences on $^{176}\text{Hf}$ were corrected for following the methods of Woodhead et al. (2004). $^{176}\text{Yb}$ interference on $^{176}\text{Hf}$ was corrected for by measurement of Yb fractionation using the measured $^{171}\text{Yb}^{173}\text{Yb}$ ratio with the Yb isotopic values from(Segal et al. 2003). The Lu isobaric interference on $^{176}\text{Hf}$ was corrected using a $^{176}\text{Lu}^{175}\text{Lu}$ ratio of 0.02655 (Vervoort et al. 2004), assuming the same mass bias behaviour of as Yb. Set up of the MC-ICP-MS prior to laser ablation setup was done using analysis of JMC475 Hf solution. Accuracy of technique used for zircon analysis was determined by monitoring the use a combination of the Plešovice and Mudtank standards. The average value for Plešovice for the analytical session was 0.2824678±0.0000081 (2σ, n=5). This compares to the published value of 0.282482 ± 0.000013 (2σ) (Slama et al. 2008a, Slama et al. 2008b). The average value for Mudtank for the analytical session was 0.2825005±0.0000051 (2σ, n=11).

TDM and TDM crustal age was calculated using the $^{176}\text{Lu}$ decay constant (Scherer et al. 2001). The average crustal composition of $^{176}\text{Lu}/^{177}\text{Hf}=0.015$ was used to calculate TDM crustal age following the methods of Griffin et al. (2002).
RESULTS

U-Pb zircon LA-ICP-MS geochronology

The fully tabulated results are shown in appendix a, representative cathodoluminescence (CL) images of each sample in figure 10, probability density plots for metasedimentary data in figure 11 and concordia data plots for the igneous samples in figure 12.

Central domain metasediments

SAMPLE NAC 2011-076

Forty four analyses were obtained from 44 oscillatory zoned zircons grains, with distinctive cores and rims which both were analysed (Figure 10a). Seventeen analyses were rejected due to falling outside of 90-110% concordance. U-Pb concordia plot were used to plot these analysis, which revealed four populations (Figure 11a). The $^{207}$Pb/$^{206}$Pb ages oldest grains are ca 2517 and 2151 Ma. The weighted average age of the second oldest population is a mean of 2517±25 Ma (n=4, MSWD=0.54) Second oldest population had only one grain that had a weighted average age of ca 2151 Ma. The second youngest and youngest population have a weighted average of $^{207}$Pb/$^{206}$Pb age 1909±13 Ma (n=12, MSWD=0.51) and 1886±17 Ma (n=13, MSWD=0.30) (Figure 11a).

SAMPLE NAC 2011-079

Forty analyses were obtained from 39 oscillatory zircon grains, with dominating rims compared to cores, which both were analysed (Figure 10b). Fourteen analyses were rejected due to falling outside of 90-110% concordance. U-Pb concordia plot were used to plot these analysis, which revealed five populations (Figure 11b). The $^{207}$Pb/$^{206}$Pb
ages of the oldest grains are ca 2590, 2511 and 2246 Ma. The second youngest and
youngest population have a weighted average of $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age 1970±23Ma (n=8,
MSWD=0.51) and 1882±18 Ma (n=9, MSWD=0.30) (Figure 11b).

**SAMPLE NAC 2011-081**

Eighty five analyses were obtained from 85 rounded oscillatory zoned zircon grains
with rims and core were analysed (Figure 10c). Four analyses were rejected due to
falling outside of 90-110% concordance. U-Pb concordia plot were used to plot these
analysis, which revealed three populations (Figure 11c). The $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ weighted
average age of the oldest population is a mean of 1735±14 Ma (N=18, MSWD=0.74).
Second oldest and youngest population has a weighted average age of 1577±17 Ma
(n=15, MSWD= 0.93) and 1330±28 Ma (n=6, MSWD= 0.4) (Figure 11c).

**Central Domain granites**

**SAMPLE NAC 2011-077**

Sixty one analyses were obtained from 61 oscillatory sector zircon grains, with rims and
cores which were analysed (Figure 10d). One analysis was rejected due to falling
outside of 90-110% concordance and three have been removed due to being dark grains.
U-Pb concordia plot were used to plot these analysis, which revealed one population
data set (Figure 12a). The $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ weighted average age population is a mean of
1774±8 Ma (n=57, MSWD=0.60) (Figure 12a).

**SAMPLE NAC 2012-18**

Seventy two analyses were obtained from 72 oscillatory zircon grains with rims and
cores were analysed (Figure 10e). Forty seven analyses were rejected due to falling
outside of 90-110% concordance and two have been removed are inherited grains with the ages of ca 1876.8 and 1877.8 Ma. U-Pb concordia plot were used to plot these analysis (Figure 12b). The $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ weighted average age of the youngest population which only contains rims has a mean of 1756±13 Ma (n=14, MSWD=0.64) and the older populations which contains rims and cores, with a $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ weighted average population ages has a mean of 1813±17 Ma (n=9, MSWD=0.6) (Figure 12b).

SAMPLE NAC 2012-21

Seventy seven analyses were obtained from 74 oscillatory broken zircon grains, with both rims and cores were analysed (Figure 10f). Forty-two analyses were rejected due to falling outside of 90-110% concordance and one for having high $^{207}\text{Pb}$. U-Pb concordia plot were used to plot these analysis, which revealed four population data set (Figure 12c). The $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ weighted average age of the youngest population is a mean of 1729±17 Ma (n=9, MSWD=0.34). The oldest population has a weighted average of $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age 1779±10 Ma (n=24, MSWD=0.67) which concludes the one age peak. The older population contains all the cores analysed in the sample (Figure 12c).

**Western domain granites**

SAMPLE NAC 2011-072

Sixty analyses were obtained from 51 zoned zircons grains with smaller cores than rims, which were both, were used for analysis (Figure 10g). Twenty seven were rejected due to falling outside of 90-110% concordance and five for having migmitic cores. U-Pb concordia plot were used to plot these analysis, which revealed one population data set
(Figure 12d). The $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ weighted average age population is a mean of 1660±19 Ma (n=24, MSWD=0.29) (Figure 12d).

SAMPLE NAC 2011-073
Eighty two analyses were obtained from 79 oscillatory zoned zircons grains with smaller cores than rims, which both were analysis (Figure 10h). Forty nine analyses were rejected due to falling outside of 90-110% concordance and three were not used in calculating the weighted average due to high common lead. U-Pb concordia plot were used to plot these analysis, which revealed one population data set (Figure 12e). The $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ weighted average age population is a mean of 1660±9 Ma (n=33, MSWD=0.66) (Figure 12e).

SAMPLE NAC 2011-074
Twenty seven analyses were obtained from 26 oscillatory zoned zircons grains with smaller cores than rims, which both were analysed (Figure 10i). Two analyses were rejected due to falling outside of 90-110% concordance and three have been removed due to having high common lead. U-Pb concordia plot were used to plot these analysis, which revealed one population data set (Figure 12f). The $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ weighted average age population is a mean of 1652±9 Ma (n=20, MSWD=0.25) (Figure 12f).
Figure 10: Cathodoluminescence images of representative zircon grains for each analysed sample. Grains are labelled with their sample number, U-Pb laser analysis spot and age (small white circle) with the age of location and Lu-Hf. Image a) NAC 2011-076, b) NAC 2011-079, c) NAC 2011-081, d) NAC 2011-077, e) NAC 2012-18, f) NAC 2012-21, g) NAC 2011-072, h) NAC 2011-073 and i) NAC 2011-73
Figure 11: Results of LA-ICPMS zircon U-Pb geochronology of metasedimentary rocks. Probability density plots of 90-110% of concordant data of a) NAC 2011-076, b) NAC 2011-079 and c) NAC 2011-081. The peak ages are indicated.
Figure 12: Results of LA-ICPMS zircon U-Pb geochronology of igneous lithologies. Concordia plots for: a) NAC 2011-077, b) NAC 2012-18, c) NAC 2012-21 from the central domain and d) NAC 2011-072, e) NAC 2012-073 and f) NAC 2012-074of the western domain. All ages are weighted averages of data within 90-110% concordancy. Soiled ellipses are data used in age calculations and dotted ellipses represent data points discarded from age calculations due to high \(^{204}\text{Pb}\). For sample b) NAC 2012-18 the shaded ellipses represent the older population obtained from analyses of zircon cores and rims with the unshaded ellipses represent younger population obtained from rim analysis. These two age packages were identified and determined using Isoplot v4.11.
**Monazite analysis**

U-Pb monazite data results are provided in supplementary appendix b. Up-Right NNW-SSE trending fabric that dominates the eastern domain and similar to the foliation that reworks the granulites in the central domain monazite geochronology was undertaken on sample, NAC 2012-11 containing the pervasive fabric within the area. In-situ monazite geochronology was conducted on grains in thin section allowing monazites with constrained microstructural locations, where the monazite aligned with the fabric (Kelsey et al. 2007, Payne et al. 2008) (Figure 13a) Sample NAC 2012-11 twenty four analysis were done on 20 grains located in the matrix (Fig 14a) Five were rejected as they fell outside the 95-105% concordance range. A concordia plot was calculated and yield an age of 1732±11 Ma (n= 15, MSWD= 0.67) (Figure 13b)

Figure 13: Results of LA-ICPMS monazite U-Pb geochronology of sample NAC 2012-11, with CL images of selected grains showing age and spot of analysis indicated in image a. Twenty four analysis were done on 20 grains located in the matrix. Nine analyses were rejected as they fell outside the 95-105% concordance range. A concordia plot was calculated and yield an age of 1732±11 Ma (n=15, MSWD= 0.67) indicated in image b.
**Zircon Lu-Hf isotopic data results**

Zircon Hf isotopic results are provided in figure 14a and 14b and the fully tabulated results are shown in appendix c. Data were obtained from three metasedimentary samples; NAC 2011-076, NAC 2011-79 and NAC 2011-081; and from six metaigneous samples; NAC 2011-077, NAC 2012-18, NAC 2012-21 NAC 2011-072, NAC 2011-073 and NAC 2011-074.

Representative grains chosen from detrital populations in the Central and Eastern Domains samples, NAC 2011-076 and NAC 2011-079 at ca 1880, 1910, 1970, 2150, 2250, 2510, 2520 and 2590 Ma. Present-day Hf isotopic compositions range from 0.281190 to 0.28145 for the grains, corresponding to predominantly evolved epsilon hafnium ($\varepsilon_{Hf}$) values that range from -9.7 to +8.8 (Figure 14a, b). The $\varepsilon_{Hf}$ values of the detrital zircon peaks are variable. The $^{207}$Pb/$^{206}$Pb analysis of the eldest four zircon grains crystallisation ages are ca 2303, 2511, 2543 and 2601 Ma, and yield $\varepsilon_{Hf}$ values between 2.5 and 8.8 ($T_{DM}$ of 2.3, 2.7, 2.75 and 2.8 Ga, respectively). Two grains at ca 2100 and ca 2166 Ma yield $\varepsilon_{Hf}$ values of 1.5 and 5.4 ($T_{DM}$ of 2.41 and 2.32 Ga, respectively). Zircon grains aged between ca 2055-2008 Ma record highly variable $\varepsilon_{Hf}$ values between -2 and +3.5 ($T_{DM}$ of 2.23-2.55 Ga), grains ranging over peak ca1909-1970 Ma yield $\varepsilon_{Hf}$ values between -4.4 and 1.7 ($T_{DM}$ between 2.24 and 2.9 Ga). Zircon grains between ca1882-1886 Ma ranging over the grain analysis from ca1849 to 1892 Ma yields zircons that record $\varepsilon_{Hf}$ values between -7.9 and +1.12 ($T_{DM}$ between 2.31 and 2.57 Ga). Zircon grains in the same age range ca172-1779 Ma encompassed the grain analysis ca 1705-1815 Ma yield $\varepsilon_{Hf}$ values between -9.7 and 3.22 ($T_{DM}$ between 2.25 and 2.62 Ga) (Figure 14a).
The metasedimentary rock cover sequence that overlays the Central and Eastern Domains, NAC 2011-081 has zircon grains that have peaks of ca 1311.95, 1544.4 and 1712.17 Ma. The grain from the peak ca 1311 Ma yields $\varepsilon_{Hf}$ values between 3.3 and 6.4 ($T_{DM}$ between 1.58 and 1.84 Ga). The grain from the peak ca 1544.4 Ma yields $\varepsilon_{Hf}$ values between -14.6 and 6.5 ($T_{DM}$ between 1.72 and 2.62 Ga). The grain from the peak ca 1712.17 Ma yields $\varepsilon_{Hf}$ values between -4.9 and 6.2 ($T_{DM}$ between 1.9 and 2.31 Ga) (Figure 14a).

Igneous zircon grains in the Eastern and Central Domain sample NAC 2011-077, NAC 2012-18 and NAC 2012-21 are between ca 1705.9-2053.5 Ma yielding a U-Pb mean ages of 1774±7, 1756±13 and 1766±1 Ma present day Hf isotopic compositions of 0.281568 to 0.281759. Corresponding $\varepsilon_{Hf}$ values range from -4.4 to 3.2, and $T_{DM}$ varied between 2.08 and 2.36 Ga (Figure 15a and 15b).

Sample NAC 2011-077 zircon grains are between ca 1709.9-1812.8 Ma (mean=1774.1±7.7 Ma) and yield consistent $\varepsilon_{Hf}$ values -4.38 to -1.82 ($T_{DM}$ between 2.27 and 2.35 Ga) (Figure 14a).. NAC 2012-18 zircon grains yield a U-Pb ages between ca 1791.5-18176.8 Ma (mean= 1756±13 Ma), yielding $\varepsilon_{Hf}$ values between -1.46 and 1.23 ($T_{DM}$ between 2.22 and 2.26 Ga) (Figure 14a).. NAC 2012-21 has zircon grain between ca 1705.9 -2053.5 Ma (mean= 1766±1 Ma), yielding $\varepsilon_{Hf}$ values between -3.46 and 3.22 ($T_{DM}$ between 2.08-2.36 Ga) (Figure 14a).

Igneous zircon grain in the Western Domain samples NAC 2011-072, NAC 2011-073 and NAC 2011-074 have U-Pb ages between 1652±13 Ma and 1670±18 Ma, with a
present day Hf isotopic of 0.281734 to 0.281602, which has a corresponding $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}$ values range from 0.63 to -4.76, and $T_{\text{DM}}$ varied between 2.09 and 2.27 Ga (Figure 14a, b).

Sample NAC 2011-072 zircon grains are between ca 1603-1770 Ma (mean=1670±18 Ma) and yield consistent $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}$ values -0.4 to -4.14 ($T_{\text{DM}}$ between 2.18 and 2.27 Ga) (Figure 14a).. NAC 2011-073 zircon grains yield a U-Pb ages between ca 1585 Ma and 1810 Ma (mean= 1660± 9 Ma), yielding $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}$ values between -4.14 and 0.63 ($T_{\text{DM}}$ between 2.09 and 2.25 Ga) (Figure 14a).. NAC 2011-074 has zircon grain between ca 1629 -1776 Ma (mean= 1652± 13 Ma), yielding $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}$ values between -4.76 and -1.34 ($T_{\text{DM}}$ between 2.2-2.27 Ga) (Figure 14a).
Figure 14: Plot of epsilon Hf against zircon grains $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age in a, and in b the $^{176}\text{Hf}/^{177}\text{Hf}$ vs. zircon grains $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age. The blue line indicates CHUR and the red indicates the depleted mantel. Samples are indicated by their denotation in the legend.
DISCUSSION

The Casey Inlier has been interpreted to preserve a ca 1640 Ma suture (Close et al. 2004) between the ca 1780-1830 Ma Aileron Province, thought to be represented by the Eastern and Central Domains of the Casey Inlier, and the ca 1640-1690 Ma Warumpi Province, the western side of the Casey Inlier (Scrimgeour et al. 2005). The collected results and observations in this study are able to assist in determining the palaeogeography of the region and in the interpretation of the bulk crustal composition on either side of the proposed boundary. The collection of results has been summarised in and clearly indicates that two magmatic ages and which correspond to the spatially to the locations to the rocks that are found either side of the proposed NS-oriented suture (Table 2).

Interpretation of U-Pb zircon age from basement metasedimentary units

The detrital zircon age spectrums of samples NAC 2012-076 and NAC 2012-079 have ages that range between ca 1882 -2590 Ma. These both reflect youngest population peaks at ca 1886 -1882 Ma respectively and tail off in to a cluster at ca2150 -2517 Ma, which is common for NAC detrital samples (Carson et al. 2009) (Table 2, Figure 11a ,b). These samples were taken from concentric oscillatory zoned magmatic rims, and rims and cores respectively (Figure 10a,b). These samples indicate magmatic events that are older than the Aileron Province outlining the maximum deposition age of the Aileron Provence. These age distributions are comparable with the Lander Rock Formation (Claué-Long et al. 2008), which covers most of the Arunta Region (Claué-Long et al. 2008)
The detrital sample NAC-2011-081 which is the cover sequence covers the Central and Eastern Domains has detrital zircon ages ranging from ca 1311- 1712 Ma, with peaks at ca 1311, 1564 and 1712 Ma. The peak at ca1311-1564 Ma are similar to ages found in the Musgrave’s located the south of the Casey Inlier, and this seems a likely source or the younger zircons (Wade et al. 2008, Kirkland et al. 2012). The peak at ca1712 Ma found in the cover does not correspond to the known ages of the Musgrave’s, which is from magmatic rims and cores could be inheritance mixed in from the Aileron Province where it was deposited (Figure 11c). This constrains the Musgrave Province to being proximal to the Arunta region prior to the ca 1300Ma.

**U-Pb zircon ages from granitic rocks**

The magmatic rocks in the Eastern and Central Domain the Casey Inlier range between ca 1756-1776 Ma are represented by NAC 2011-077, NAC 2012-18 and NAC 2012-21. The ages are indicated in table 2. The weighted average zircon ages of NAC 2011-077 is 1774±7.7 Ma. This sample is an amphibole-pyroxene-rich metagabbro that has characteristic broad oscillatory and common sector zoned zoning zircons. Sample NAC 2021-18 and NAC 2012-21 have oscillatory zoned zircons with rims and cores. There magmatic ages correspond to the range of magmatic ages that define the Aileron Province in the west of the Arunta region.

The Western Casey Inlier has ages obtained from granites that range between ca1652-1670 Ma, which are slightly older than the comparable samples analysed by Carson et al. (2009). The ages are younger than the magmatic ages in the Central Casey Inlier. There magmatic age dose correspond to the range of magmatic ages that define the Warumpi Province in the west of the Arunta region.
Table 2: Summary of U-Pb ages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Easting And Nothings</th>
<th>U-Pb isotopic ages</th>
<th>Inheritance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>East and central Casey Inlier</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAC 2011-076 Metapelite</td>
<td>0540990 7333247</td>
<td>1886.85 Ma, (n=8) 1909.8 Ma, (n=11) 2151.9 Ma, (n=1) 2517.3 Ma (n=2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAC 2011-077 Amphibole pyroxene rich metagabbro</td>
<td>0540797 7332235</td>
<td>1774.1±7.7Ma (n=57)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAC 2011-079 Biotite K feldspar Migmatite</td>
<td>0537521 7332366</td>
<td>1882 Ma, (n=4) 1970 Ma, (n=8) 2246 Ma, (n=1) 2500 Ma, (n=1) 2511 Ma (n=1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAC 2012-18 Weakly gneissic granite</td>
<td>0538943 7336103</td>
<td>1756±13 Ma (n=14) 1813±17 Ma (n=1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAC 2012-21 Augen gneiss</td>
<td>0536998 7332009</td>
<td>1766±10 Ma (n=33)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAC 2011-081 Fine grained muscovite bearing quartzite</td>
<td>0537314 7343111</td>
<td>1311.95 Ma (n=3) 1544.4 Ma (n=12) 1712.17 Ma (n=16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>West of the Casey Inlier</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAC 2011-072 Granitic gneiss</td>
<td>0524285 7342874</td>
<td>1670±18 Ma (n=25)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAC 2011-073 Muscovite rich leucogranite</td>
<td>0531317 7343637</td>
<td>1660.1± 9.4 Ma (n=33)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAC2011-074 Porphyritic biotite rich granite</td>
<td>0534791 7335421</td>
<td>1652± 13 Ma (n=20)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Interpretation of Monazite data**

Monazites aligned with the upright pervasive NNW-SEE trending fabric, predominantly with the biotite in the matrix; in the Eastern Casey Inlier recording an age of ca1732 Ma. This indicates that deformation occurred during the early Strangways Orogeny. In the Aileron Province, in the east of the Arunta this event is characterised by granulite facies metamorphism. The NNW-SSE trending fabric overprints an earlier high grade metamorphic assemblage. In the Western Domain of the Casey Inlier an identical fabric overprints the ca 1652- 1670 Ma Warumpi Province granites and also the ca 1770 Ma granites in the central domain as well as the granites where there is top to the west shear
sense. This indicates that the Casey Inlier was affected by the ca 1770 Ma Yamba even, which is also supported by the presence of ca 1770 Ma metagabbro’s in the Central and Eastern Domain, which show the mixing with the ca 1770 Ma granulite facies migmatites. This also suggests that the Eastern and Central Domain of the Casey Inlier is the same domain, and that the difference in the grade of facies is a result of in the varying intensity of the ca 1730 Ma reworking.

Interpretation of Lu-Hf isotopic data

The metasedimentary rocks NAC 2011-076 and NAC 2011-079 in the Aileron Province range between the source ages of ca 2080-2810 Ma (appendix c). These samples have U-Pb age evident of inheritance ranging from ca 2230-2300 Ma. This signature may be isotopically identical but research has suggested that lithospheric melts producing basaltic rocks can be enriched in composition creating a source region signature that would be identified as the crust. Enrichments of this nature often occur in subduction zones by magmas produced in partial melting (Hergt et al. 1989, Hergt et al. 1991).

The cover sequence NAC 2011-081 has U-Pb zircon ages that include those of the Warumpi and Aileron Province as well as the Musgrave Province (Figure 12c). The Lu-Hf data is also consistent with derivation of material from the Warumpi and Aileron Province. The increasingly juvenile nature of the younger zircons is also consistent with the higher proportion of young juvenile magmatic rocks in the Musgrave Province (Kirkland et al. 2012). The Musgrave Province source range is a younger juvenile source which ranges between the ca 1580-2620 Ma (Figure 14a). This is a similar source region to that found by Kirkland et al. (2012) (Figure 15) and indicates that the
Musgrave orogeny has exhumed the Musgrave block creating a source region for the muscovite-bearing quartzite sequence found in the Casey Inlier.

![Graph showing Epsilon Hf against zircon grains and 207Pb/206 Pb age. The grey data is Hf compositions from the Musgrave Block (Kirkland et al. 2012). The blue dots are eth cover sequence in the Casey Inlier with a maximum deposition of 1220 Ma, multiply deformed at greenschist (garnet-andalusite) at ca 1150 Ma.](image)

The Aileron Province granites NAC 2012-18 and NAC 2011-077 and the Warumpi Province granites NAC 2011-072, NAC 2011-73 and NAC 200-074 are isotopically indistinguishable and there U-Pb ages are similar (Figure 12d,e and f). The isotopic signature of the mixed juvenile and crustal source of the Warumpi and Aileron Provinces granites, which ranges between ca 2090-2350 Ma (Figure 14a).

**Tectonic events that have affect the Casey Inlier**

The ca 1756 -1776 Ma granites of the Eastern and Central Domain of the Casey Inlier have been identified as the Aileron Province. There is an increase in age of the granites
from east to west and a decrease in facies grade from high amphibolite to granulite. This indicates an increase in strain and reworking in the Eastern Domain, which has a fabric that has a NNW-SSE fabric with a ca1732 Ma late Strangways event, with east side up kinematic movement. There is an E-W trending fabric which is made up of gentle in the Central Domain, with a retrogressed fabric which is as a result of heating and cooling of the mafic gabbros intruding the domain. There is also combination of east and west side up kinematic movement.

The ca1652-1670 Ma Western Domains identified to be the Warumpi Province, posses the same oriented pervasive NNW-SSE fabric, suggests that it is the same ca 1730 Ma fabric age. There is an invasive west side up kinematic movement indicating that the west is lifting up the further west and increasing in facies grade.

The NNW-SSE fabric in both the Eastern and Western Domain is parallel to the limbs of the macro- isoclinaly folder which is capped by the greenschist, quartzite cover sequence and lies on top of the Aileron Province. Fields pers.comm (2012) Morrissey et al. (2011) and Wong (2011) have identified medium to high-grade strain deformation has occurred in the Warumpi Province south of the Aileron Province at a ca 1140Ma. Since the east and west side up shear sense is parallel and the east side up has an age of ca 1730 Ma, this indicates that the west side up shear sense could be the same ca 1140 Ma seen south of the Aileron Province. Further work should be done on constraining the NNW-SSE fabric dates and ages.
**Suture model**

The magmatic zircon ages in the Eastern and Central Domains of the Casey Inlier, not including the cover sequence, span between ca 1774-1810 Ma. These are common ages in the Aileron Province (Scrimgeour *et al.* 2005). On the western of the Casey Inlier the magmatic ages are younger and span between ca 1650-1670 Ma which correspond magmatic age of the Warumpi Province (Scrimgeour *et al.* 2005). The Aileron and Warumpi Provinces have been proposed to have juxtaposed each other during the ca 1640 Ma Leibig Orogeny (Scrimgeour *et al.* 2005). It has been suggested the Warumpi Province is exotic to the NAC which has been supported by isotopic data indicating that the Warumpi Province had a more juvenile signature (Close *et al.* 2004).

Opposing this zircon Lu-Hf data indicates that the Aileron and Warumpi Provence are isotopically indistinguishable and likely to share the same or similar source region, indicating that the granites in the Wester Domain in the Casey Inlier are not part of an exotic terrain. This supported by the presents of ca 1626- 1663 Ma granites found in the Aileron Province (Fields pers. comm.2012; Lawson-Wyatt pers.comm. 2012; Wong. 2011). The Hf isotopic data indicates that source region of the younger granites is from melts from the Aileron Province, suggesting that the Warumpi Province is a piece of the Aileron Province that has undergone basin development and magmatism between ca 1690-1600 Ma. There is no evidence to suggest that there has been a suture between the Warumpi and Aileron Provinces.
CONCLUSIONS
The ca 1652-1670 Ma Warumpi Province rocks on the west of the Casey Inlier and the
ca 1756-17769 Ma Aileron Province granites in the east of the Casey Inlier are
isotopically indistinguishable. Coupled with new evidence for shared magmatic events
suggest the two provinces have a shared history. The region has cover sequence with a
maximum deposition age of ca 1311 Ma from the Musgrave Province. Pervasive NNW-
SSE fabric indicated two deformation events one at ca1730 Ma expressed as east side
up shear senses and ca1140 Ma west side up shear sense. There is poor evidence field or
source region related data to support that there is a ca 1640 Ma suture between the
Warumpi and Aileron Provence.
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