

AN INTERDISCIPLINARY STUDY OF MATERNAL PRODUCTIVITY IN BEEF CATTLE

Stephen John Lee
BAgSc (Hons)

October, 2011

This thesis is presented for the degree of Doctor of
Philosophy in the School of Animal and Veterinary Sciences of
The University of Adelaide

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Contents	
ABSTRACT	xiii
DECLARATION	xvi
LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
LIST OF TABLES	xx
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	xxv
PUBLICATIONS	xxvii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxviii
CHAPTER 1: LITERATURE REVIEW	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Maternal Productivity	1
1.2.1 Historical selection emphasis	2
1.2.2 Genetic gain: historical and recent advances	2
1.2.3 Industry development and modern breeding objectives	3
1.2.4 Rate of genetic gain	3
1.3 Genetic model for maternal productivity	4
1.4 The need for efficient beef production	5
1.4.1 The influence of genetic merit and nutrition on energy requirements	5
1.4.2 Effect of heifer growth rate on reproductive performance	6
1.4.3 Effect of heterosis and crossbreeding on maternal productivity	7
1.4.4 The role of cow longevity in maternal productivity	7
1.5 Effect of selection for output and feed efficiency on maternal productivity	9
1.5.1 Effects of selection for milk yield on maternal productivity	9
1.5.2 Effects of selection for growth on maternal productivity	11
1.5.3 Effects of selection for post weaning feed efficiency	12
1.5.4 Effects of growth selection on rate of maturation	13
1.6 Experience from other species	14
1.6.1 Experience in dairy	14
1.6.1.1 Selection for increased milk yield in the dairy sector	14
1.6.1.2 Profit in the dairy sector	15
1.6.1.3 Dairy cow fertility	15
1.6.1.4 Conclusions on dairy fertility, selection and management	17
1.6.2 Experience in pigs	17
1.6.2.1 Genetic gain in pigs	17
1.6.2.2 Genetic correlations between production and fertility	17
1.6.2.3 Balanced breeding objective and selection in pigs	19
1.6.2.4 Threshold production levels	20
1.6.2.5 Summary	20
1.7 Factors affecting reproductive rate beef cattle	21
1.7.1 Factors affecting reproductive rate beef cattle: postpartum infertility	21

1.7.2 Oestrus initiation and ovulation	21
1.8 Body condition score and maternal productivity	22
1.8.1 Assessing body condition score	22
1.8.2 Genetics of cow body condition.....	23
1.8.3 Association between cow body condition and reproductive rate.....	24
1.8.4 Association between cow body condition and reproductive rate in primiparous cows	26
1.8.5 Summary of association between cow body condition and reproductive rate.....	26
1.9 Factors affecting reproductive rate: energy balance and nutrient demand.....	27
1.10 Ability to select for maternal productivity.....	28
1.11 Conclusion	29
CHAPTER 2: INTERDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	31
2.1 Introduction	31
2.2 Interdisciplinary research definition, aim, purpose and attitudes	32
2.2.1 IDR defined.....	32
2.2.2 Aims of IDR	33
2.2.3 IDR purpose.....	34
2.2.4 Attitudes towards IDR.....	35
2.3 History of IDR and use in agriculture.....	36
2.3.1 History of IDR.....	36
2.3.2 IDR in agricultural research.....	37
2.4 Paradigms and epistemology in IDR	38
2.4.1 IDR: combining multiple approaches to a common problem.....	38
2.4.2 Paradigms and epistemology	39
2.4.2.1 Positivism	40
2.4.2.2 Constructivism	40
2.4.2.3 Advocacy	40
2.4.2.4 Pragmatism	41
2.5 Paradigm and stance used for this research	42
2.6 Barriers and obstacles to IDR (theoretical, conceptual and practical).....	43
2.6.1 Epistemological barriers	43
2.6.2 Practical barriers to interdisciplinary research	44
2.6.2.1 Communication	44
2.6.2.2 Human researcher (individual) factors	44
2.6.2.3 Integrating different forms of knowledge.....	45
2.6.2.4 Time and effort requirements	46
2.6.2.5 Assessing IDR	47
2.7 Research design to be used in this project	48
2.8 Conducting IDR.....	51
2.8.1 Conducting IDR: the need for robust qualitative methods.....	51
2.8.2 Collecting the data.....	52
2.8.2.1 Qualitative sampling	52
2.8.2.2 Interview structure.....	53

2.8.2.3 Pilot Study	54
2.8.3 Data analysis and display	55
2.8.3.1 Analysing qualitative data	55
2.8.3.2 Use of software for qualitative analysis	56
2.8.3.3 Data display	56
2.8.3.4 Analysis validity in qualitative research	57
2.9 Requirements for successful IDR	59
2.10 Conclusion	60
CHAPTER 3: QUALITATIVE METHODS	61
3.1 Overall research design.....	61
3.2 Conducting IDR research.....	61
3.2.1 Interview structure	61
3.2.2 Pilot study of qualitative research approach	62
3.2.2.1 Pilot interviews, transcribing and analysis	63
3.2.2.2 Participant feedback on pilot study interview schedule.....	63
3.2.2.3 Assessing the interview schedule.....	63
3.2.2.4 Changes to the interview schedule	64
3.3 Major field study: introduction	65
3.3.1 Major field study: qualitative sampling.....	65
3.3.2 Conducting the interview	65
3.4 Analysing qualitative data	66
3.4.1 Analysing qualitative data: content analysis	66
3.4.1.1 Initial coding of data	66
3.4.1.2 Secondary coding	67
3.4.2 Memos	67
3.4.3 Comparing of data within and between interview	68
3.4.4 Ensuring findings are representative of the data collected.....	68
3.5 Degree of saturation.....	69
3.6 Member checking	70
CHAPTER 4: SEEDSTOCK BREEDER'S PERSPECTIVES ON MANAGEMENT AND GENETIC FACTORS AFFECTING MATERNAL PRODUCTIVITY IN SOUTHERN BEEF PRODUCTION SYSTEMS.....	71
4.1 Abstract	71
4.2 Introduction	72
4.3 Breeding objectives.....	73
4.4 Approach to selection	73
4.5 Diverse approaches to animal management	74
4.6 Breeders perceptions of maternal productivity and its importance in beef production.....	75
4.6.1 Maternal productivity as a selection criteria	76
4.6.2 Breeder's perceived reproductive rate as the major component of maternal productivity	76
4.6.3 The need to withstand variation in feed by aligning genetics with production environment.....	77
4.7 Common and contrasting perceptions about factors influencing maternal productivity	78
4.7.1 The importance of cow body condition for maternal productivity	79
4.7.2 Time of calving, pasture growth and body condition effects on reproductive rate.....	80

4.8 The energy and economic costs and benefits of body weight fluctuation	81
4.9 Associations between genotype (EBV), cow energy reserves and maternal productivity: introduction	82
4.9.1 Associations between fat genotype, body condition and fertility	83
4.9.1.1 Associations between fat genotype, body condition and fertility: Positive fat is required for maternal productivity	83
4.9.1.2 Associations between fat genotype, body condition and fertility: Positive fat EBVs are not required for fertility and selection on positive fat impacts yield.....	86
4.9.1.3 Commercial bull buying trends for positive fat.....	88
4.9.2 Associations between Milk EBV, body condition and fertility: introduction.....	88
4.9.2.1 Milk EBV associations with cow body condition and reproductive rate	89
4.9.3 Perspectives on the associations between frame and maternal productivity: introduction	91
4.9.3.1 Commercial bull buyers buying preferences with regard to frame size	92
4.9.4 Association between maturity pattern and maternal productivity: introduction.....	93
4.9.4.1 Breeder perspectives and logic on association of maturity pattern with cow body condition and maternal productivity	93
4.9.5 The role of the Mature Cow Weight EBV	95
4.9.5.1 Suggested changes to Mature Cow Weight EBV recording	96
4.9.5.2 Use of Mature Cow Weight EBV	97
4.9.6 Relationships between genetic merit for 600 day weight EBV, cow body condition and maternal productivity	98
4.9.6.1 Optimum 600d weight EBV.....	100
4.9.6.2 Summary of 600d weight EBV association to maternal productivity.....	101
4.9.7 Associations between yield, muscle and fat and maternal productivity.....	102
4.9.8 IMF EBV association to maternal productivity	104
4.10 Phenotypic capacity is a contributing factor for an animal to gain and maintain body condition	104
4.11 Combination risk factors and association with body condition and maternal productivity	105
4.12 Optimal trait combination.....	105
4.13 Conclusion	106
CHAPTER 5: REPEATABILITY OF COW BODY COMPOSITION AND ASSOCIATION BETWEEN GENETIC MERIT AND COW ENERGY RESERVES	109
5.1 Introduction	109
5.2 Describing the data	109
5.2.1 Describing the data collected.....	109
5.2.2 Breedplan EBVs and maturity pattern EBV	111
5.2.3 Body composition measurements	114
5.3 Suitability of BCS for assessing differences in animal energy reserves	116
5.3.1 Introduction.....	116
5.3.2 BCS variation in herds and correlation with ultrasound P8 and rib fat.....	117
5.3.3 Repeatability and components of BCS	120
5.3.3.1 Method and model to assess BCS repeatability	120
5.3.3.2 Results and discussion.....	121
5.4 Understanding repeatability of weight and association between ultrasound scan measures and weight	123
5.4.1 Analysing weight	123
5.4.2 Results and discussion for repeatability of weight and association to tissue reserves	124

5.5 Repeatability of ultrasound scan traits	126
5.5.1 Background	126
5.5.2 Methods and models for repeatability	128
5.5.3 Results and discussion of ultrasound tissue repeatability across time	129
5.6 Association of cow body composition with EBVs	132
5.6.1 Introduction.....	132
5.6.2 Models fitted to understand association of phenotypic display of cow energy reserves with EBVs	132
5.6.3 Results of body composition models	133
5.6.4 Discussion of association of EBVs with cow body composition	140
5.6.4.1 Forming the models for body composition and model justification	140
5.6.4.2 Discussion of association of EBVs with cow body composition: subcutaneous fat models.....	140
5.6.4.3 Discussion of association of EBVs with cow body composition: EMA	142
5.6.4.4 Discussion of association of EBVs with cow body composition: IMF	144
5.6.4.5 General association of EBVs with cow body composition	145
5.7 Association of EBVs with cow height	148
5.7.1 Background to analysing association of EBVs with cow height	148
5.7.2 Method and model to quantify association of EBVs with cow height	149
5.7.3 Results and discussion of association of EBVs with cow height.....	150
5.8 Summary and conclusion.....	155
CHAPTER 6: GENETICS OF COW BODY COMPOSITION.....	157
6.1 Introduction	157
6.2 Materials and method	157
6.2.1 Describing the data.....	157
6.2.2 Models fitted to estimated genetic parameters	160
6.3 Results: trait heritability, variance and environmental and genetic correlations	161
6.4 Discussion	165
6.4.1 Heritability of traits	165
6.4.1.1 Heritability of cow weight and height	165
6.4.1.2 Heritability of ultrasound traits and body condition score	167
6.4.2 Genetic correlations between cow weight, hip height and condition	171
6.4.2.1 Genetic correlations between cow weight and hip height.....	171
6.4.2.2 Genetic correlations between cow weight and cow body condition.....	171
6.4.2.3 Genetic correlations between cow condition and hip height	173
6.4.3 Genetic correlations between ultrasound traits and cow weight analysis	173
6.4.3.1 Genetic correlations between ultrasound traits and cow weight analysis approach.....	173
6.4.3.2 Genetic correlations between weight and ultrasound traits with a weight covariate.....	174
6.4.3.3 Genetic correlations between ultrasound trait and weight with no weight covariate	175
6.4.3.4 Effect of weight covariate on genetic correlations between weight and ultrasound subcutaneous fat depth.....	177
6.4.4 Genetic correlations between cow height and ultrasound scans	178
6.4.5 Genetic correlations between cow BCS and ultrasound scans	180
6.4.6 Genetic correlations between composition traits	180
6.4.6.1 Genetic correlations between composition traits: introduction	180

6.4.6.2 Genetic correlations between rib and P8	181
6.4.6.3 Genetic correlations between subcutaneous fat and IMF	181
6.4.6.4 Genetic correlations between subcutaneous fat and EMA	183
6.4.6.5 Genetic correlation between cow ultrasound IMF and EMA.....	184
6.4.7 Genetic correlation of the same trait between time points (size traits).....	185
6.4.7.1 Genetic correlation of same trait between time: cow weight.....	185
6.4.7.2 Genetic correlation of height between pre-calving 1 and 2	186
6.4.7.3 Genetic correlation between body condition from PC1 – W2	187
6.4.8 Genetic correlation of the same trait between time points (composition traits)	188
6.4.8.1 Genetic correlation of the same trait between time points: ultrasound scans.....	188
6.4.8.2 Genetic correlation of subcutaneous fat depth between time points.....	188
6.4.8.3 Genetic correlation of IMF between time points.....	190
6.4.8.4 Genetic correlation of EMA between time points	191
6.5 Conclusion	191
CHAPTER 7: HERITABILITY OF OUTPUT AND BODY COMPOSITION CHANGE AND ASSOCIATION OF COW EBV WITH CALF WEANING WEIGHT AND COW WEIGHT AND COMPOSITION CHANGE FROM PRE-CALVING TO WEANING	193
7.1 Introduction	193
7.2 Materials and methods	194
7.2.1 Describing the data	194
7.2.2 Describing the analyses	196
7.2.3 Models to estimate heritability of weight and body composition change traits	196
7.2.4 Models to assess association of EBVs with output traits studied	198
7.3 Results and Discussion	200
7.3.1 Heritability and variance components	200
7.3.1.1 Heritability and variance components: output traits	200
7.3.1.2 Heritability of calf weaning weight.....	200
7.3.1.3 Heritability of cow weight and body composition change traits from PC to W	201
7.3.1.4 Potential to use cow body weight change during lactation as an indicator trait for body composition change.....	202
7.3.1.5 Determining economic importance of cow weight and body composition change traits	202
7.3.2 Effect of fixed effects and genetic merit on output traits: results	203
7.3.2.1 Association of EBVs and fixed effects to calf weaning weight	207
7.3.2.2 Association of fixed effects with cow weight and body composition change from PC to W.....	209
7.3.2.3 Association of Milk EBV with cow weight and body composition change traits	209
7.3.2.4 Association of Mature cow weight EBV with cow body composition change traits	211
7.3.2.5 Role of EBVs in cow-calf gain to weaning.....	212
7.3.2.6 Role of carcass EBVs in output traits	212
7.4 Conclusion	212
CHAPTER 8: ASSOCIATION OF ENERGY RESERVES AND GENETIC MERIT WITH REPRODUCTIVE RATE	215
8.1 Introduction	215
8.2 Methods	216

8.2.1 Describing the data.....	216
8.2.1.1 Describing the data: introduction.....	216
8.2.1.2 Industry herd data set	216
8.2.1.3 Research herd cow reproduction data set	218
8.2.2 Analysis of industry herd data.....	221
8.2.2.1 Effect of EBVs on adjusted DTC records across parity	222
8.2.2.2 Association of pre-calving energy reserves with observed DTC (industry herds)	223
8.2.2.3 Association of DTC with Rib EBV after adjusting for pre-calving energy reserves	224
8.2.3 Research herds: association between observed DTC at first and second parity with EBVs, nutrition and pre-joining energy reserves	225
8.2.3.1 Association of DTC at first parity with EBVs and pre-joining energy reserves	225
8.2.3.2 Association of DTC at second parity with EBVs and pre-joining energy reserves	226
8.2.3.3 Research herds: Association between conception rate at first and second parity and EBVs, nutrition and pre-joining energy reserves	227
8.3 Reproductive rate results	229
8.3.1 Association of pre-adjusted DTC across parity with pre-calving energy reserves and genetic merit (EBVs)	229
8.3.2 Association of DTC in first and second parity for research herds with pre-joining fat depth and genetic merit (EBVs)	232
8.3.3 Results: association of EBVs and pre-joining energy reserves with conception rate.....	234
8.4 Discussion	237
8.4.1 Introduction.....	237
8.4.2 Agreement of results across data set.....	237
8.4.3 Alignment of results with breeder's observations	238
8.4.4 Partitioning industry DTC effect into conception rate and time to conceive via modelling.....	239
8.4.4.1 Description of method	239
8.4.4.2 Accuracy of model	240
8.4.4.3 Inferring calving rate from mean DTC for industry herd data.....	241
8.4.5 Understanding association of EBVs and reproductive rate	241
8.4.5.1 Understanding the association of Rib EBVs and rib fat depth with reproductive rate.....	241
8.4.5.2 Understanding the effect of DTC EBV on DTC observed.....	244
8.4.5.3 Understanding associations between reproductive rate and 600 day weight EBV	244
8.4.5.4 Understanding lack of association of Milk EBV and reproduction.....	246
8.4.6 Understanding the independence of DTC observed and pre-calving BCS	247
8.4.7 Constraints and potential to select directly and indirectly for fertility	248
8.5 Conclusion.....	251
CHAPTER 9: MEMBER CHECKING – BREEDER'S PERSPECTIVES ON RESULTS FROM SOCIAL SCIENCE AND ANIMAL PERFORMANCE	253
9.1 Introduction	253
9.2 Member checking research approach, data collection and analysis	253
9.3 Results and discussion	254
9.3.1 Assist in validating social science results.....	254
9.3.2 Facilitate feedback on social results	255
9.3.2.1 Level of contrast in animal management approach	255

9.3.2.2 Emerging reasons for the differing animal management approach and selection direction	256
9.3.2.3 Association of differing animal management approach and genetic selection with rainfall reliability	258
9.3.2.4 Questioning whether the current management approach employed is optimal	258
9.3.2.5 Difference in selection direction and herd genetic merit.....	259
9.3.3 Interpretation of animal performance results.....	260
9.3.3.1 Interpretation of animal performance results: introduction	260
9.3.3.2 EBV associations to cow body composition	260
9.3.3.3 EBV associations and cow body composition association to reproductive rate	262
9.3.3.4 Practice change based on results.....	264
9.4 Technical extension and implementation of outcomes from Maternal Productivity Project	265
9.5 Conclusion and future research required.....	266
CHAPTER 10: GENERAL DISCUSSION	267
10.1 Introduction	267
10.2 Synopsis of findings from data chapter	267
10.2.1 Synopsis of findings from qualitative social research chapter	267
10.2.2 Synopsis of findings from animal science	268
10.2.3 Synopsis of member checking and questions to address in final discussion	269
10.3 Addressing further questions within maternal productivity	270
10.3.1 Herd selection direction for long fed \$index and individual EBVs.....	270
10.3.2 Multi-trait herd selection direction.....	273
10.3.3 Investigating strength of association for herds cluster for current genetic merit: cluster analysis	276
10.3.4 Investigating strength of association for herds cluster for current genetic merit: principal component analysis.....	278
10.4 Changing selection index weighting with varied production conditions.....	281
10.4.1 Introduction	281
10.4.2 Method of estimating EBV selection weights for Long Fed / CAAB \$index	283
10.4.3 Results and discussion of EBV selection index weightings	283
10.5 Integrating the knowledge of genetic merit, selection emphasis and different EBV weighting for breeding objectives	286
10.6 Weight and energy fluctuation and production system approach.....	287
10.7 Further considerations for maternal productivity, selection pressure, breeding objectives and animal management	289
10.8 Review of the research approach and experimental design	294
10.9 Utilising an interdisciplinary research approach in animal production research	296
Appendix 4.1 Traits recorded for Breedplan evaluation	301
Appendix 4.2 The use of Independent Culling Levels	301
Appendix 4.3 Selection criteria for EBVs and phenotype	304
Appendix 4.4 Association between Independent Culling Level and selection of cows using phenotype and EBVs	308
Appendix 4.5 Cows must gain BCS when feed availability is high	309
Appendix 4.6 Ability to maintain BCS is associated with capacity to gain BCS	310
Appendix 4.7a High frame effects fertility via a reduction in ability to successfully fluctuate in BCS ...	312
Appendix 4.7b High frame is unrelated to fertility and ability to successfully fluctuate in BCS	314

Appendix 4.7c Frame size must be relevant to market requirements.....	315
Appendix 4.8 Selection approach and breeder logic associated with maturity pattern	316
Appendix 4.9 Breeder perspectives on selection for IMF	318
Appendix 4.10 Role of phenotypic capacity in maternal productivity	320
Appendix 4.11 Combination genetic risk factors for reduced ability to maintain an annual calving cycle	321
Appendix 8.1 Calving rate, mean DTC, variance and slope on heifer rib	325
Appendix 10.1 Change in genetic merit for individual EBVs for 2000-2009 for 10 primary herds contributing to Angus data.....	326
Appendix 10.2 G matrix from EBVs for selection direction	335
Appendix 10.3 G ⁻¹ Matrix for selection direction	336
Appendix 10.4 Herd beta for multi-trait selection direction.....	337
Appendix 10.5 Herd genetic merit for each EBV relative to breed average on a standard deviation basis	338
Appendix 10.6 Meyer <i>et al.</i> (2004) parameters	339
REFERENCES	340

ABSTRACT

Maternal productivity, defined as weight of cow-calf output per unit of feed consumed on an annual basis is complex with many component traits interacting with on-farm management to determine overall production efficiency. This thesis implemented an interdisciplinary research approach that integrated qualitative social science and quantitative animal science to examine the output components of maternal productivity. Such an approach generated an understanding about factors associated with maternal productivity from both an animal science perspective and also as perceived by seedstock breeders.

The initial qualitative research revealed divergence in seedstock breeder's perspectives on topics associated with maternal productivity. Specifically, attitudes to animal management with regard to grazing management, cow energy reserve fluctuation and the utilisation of fat reserves were varied. Breeder's attitudes on these topics were associated with divergence in perspectives on the importance of selection for production traits including yield, growth and milk compared with selection for perceived resilience traits including subcutaneous fat and earlier maturity pattern.

The outcomes from the initial qualitative research drove the analysis of animal performance data collected through the Cooperative Research Centre for Beef Genetic Technologies Maternal Productivity Project. These analyses included understanding

- the repeatability of cow body composition traits
- associations of Breedplan EBVs with cow energy reserves
- genetic correlations between cow body composition traits,
- associations between genetic merit, nutrition and cow body energy reserves with reproductive rate

Objectively measured body composition traits had moderate to high between time repeatability. In addition, Breedplan EBVs for EMA, Rib, Rump (P8) and IMF were closely related to the equivalent

ultrasound measure in Angus and Hereford cows at pre-calving and weaning in the first two parities. Breedplan carcass EBVs were not associated with cow body composition change during lactation. Together these results indicate that currently available Breedplan carcass EBVs change cow body composition, and that selection for increased fatness at a young age will result in cows that are fatter.

Cow body composition traits were moderately heritable and had high to very high between time genetic correlations indicating that at the genetic level, cow body composition traits are genetically very similar across time (pre-calving and weaning) and age (first and second parity).

P8 and Rib EBV and pre-calving P8 and rib fat depth were associated with several components of reproductive rate with varying effects depending on parity, calving season and nutrition. The importance of genetic and phenotypic fatness on reproductive rate was larger in autumn calving and low nutrition production systems.

Breeders viewed results presented on associations between reproductive rate and EBVs and energy reserves as unsurprising and also as supporting their varied perspectives and current selection direction. In addition, weighting of EBVs in a selection index was associated with variance in calving rate. The implications of these results are that the varied selection emphasis by breeders involved in this project may be appropriate.

Relationships between lifetime cow maternal productivity, supply chain profitability, on-farm production system and genetic merit need to be addressed to enable beef breeders to make informed animal selection and management decisions.

By working closely with livestock breeders, and listening to, and understanding their observations, perspectives and beliefs on maternal productivity, and also in analysing animal performance data, there has been considerable benefit in generating a greater understanding of the production system and the

interactions that occur within it. The outcome of this approach has been to firstly demonstrate and explain the varied perspectives held by seedstock breeders on associations between genetic merit and maternal productivity; and secondly, using animal performance data demonstrate how and why many of the observations and contrasting perspectives of breeders appear justified.

DECLARATION

I, Stephen Lee certify that this work contains no material that has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent for this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Stephen John Lee
Date:

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Sites to assess body condition (Source: Kunkle <i>et al.</i> 1994)	22
Figure 2.1 Sequential research design for this project (adapted from Tashakkori and Teddlie 2003; Johnson and Onwuegbuzie 2004)	51
Figure 4.1: Logic pattern associated with on-farm animal management approach and subsequent selection emphasis and perceived system benefits	75
Figure 4.2: Breeder perception on major components of maternal productivity	77
Figure 4.3: Breeder perception of relationships that positive fat EBVs are associated with ability to gain and maintain body condition, attain BCS 3 pre-calving and thus maintain a consistent annual production cycle	83
Figure 4.4: 'Control input' breeder logic that negative fat genotype is not associated with fertility but is associated with improved yield, and thus supply chain profitability	87
Figure 4.5: Overall breeder logic of effect of Milk on ability to maintain an annual calving cycle in current and subsequent seasons	90
Figure 4.6: Earlier maturity pattern as described by 600d – MCWt EBV relationship is associated with BCS 3 pre-calving and ability to maintain an annual production cycle	94
Figure 4.7: Increased 600d weight EBV is associated with reduced ability for cows to gain and maintain body condition such that they are at risk of having lower than BCS 3 pre-calving and subsequently reduced ability to maintain an annual production cycle	100
Figure 4.8: Breeders perceived that direct selection for increased yield reduced on-farm reproductive rate but created significant benefit for the meat packer	103
Figure 4.9: Simultaneous selection on increased muscle and fatness was perceived as optimal to balance the needs of cow-calf breeders and the meat sector	103
Figure 4.10: Role of variable nutrition on cow body condition, reproductive rate and maternal productivity	106
Figure 5.1: Across herd average BCS (SD) for each herd at discrete observation times	118
Figure 5.2: Box plot of P8 fat depth vs. BCS at PC1	120
Figure 5.3: Box P8 fatness vs. BCS at PC1	120
Figure 5.4a non log transformed data	129
Figure 5.4b Log transformed data for P8 observations	129
Figure 5.5 EMA data (did not require log transformation)	129
Figure 6.1 Proportion of genetic variance in trait of interest that is independent of genetic variation in weight at each time point	163

Figure 6.2 Proportion* of genetic variance in traits of interest that is independent of genetic variance for height at PC1 and PC2.....	164
Figure 8.1 Relationship between conception rate and Rib EBV before (red line) and after adjusting for pre-joining rib fat depth (green line).....	236
Figure 8.2 Relationship between first and second parity conception rate and pre-joining rib fat depth	237
Figure 8.3 Association between DTC incorporating non calving cows over a 3 joining period and calving rate	240
Figure 8.4 Variance in DTC with changing mean DTC (no calving cows assigned a 21 days penalty after last calving cow)	240
Figure 8.5 Relationship between heifer Rib EBV and DTC with constant correlation but changing variance associated with changing calving rate.....	242
Figure 10.1 Change in 600d weight EBV and gain in Long Fed / CAAB \$index 2000-2009 for 10 primary contributors of Angus data	273
Figure 10.2 Change in P8 EBV and gain in Long Fed / CAAB \$index 2000-2009 for 10 primary contributors of Angus data	273
Figure 10.3 Multi direction selection direction and level of gain across all 17 Breedplan traits on an SD basis for the 10 primary contributors of Angus data form 2000-2009	275
Figure 10.4 Figure 10.4 Gain in Long Fed / CAAB \$index and across 17 Breedplan traits for the 10 primary contributing Angus herds to the Beef CRC Maternal Productivity Project.....	276
Figure 10.5 Cluster analysis of 2009 average herd genetic merit for the 10 primary contributing Angus herds to the Beef CRC Maternal Productivity Project.....	277
Figure 10.6 Association between principal component 1 and principal component 2 for the 10 primary contributing Angus herds to the Beef CRC Maternal Productivity Project	281
Figure 10.7: Sensitivity to environmental variation for animals bred for and selected in a control vs. variable input production system. Source: van der Veen et al. 2009 adapted from Kitano (2007)	293
Figure A10.1: Change in Rib EBV and gain in Long Fed / CAAB \$index 2000-2009 for 10 primary contributors of Angus data	326
Figure A10.2: Change in Birth weight EBV and gain in Long Fed / CAAB \$index 2000-2009 for 10 primary contributors of Angus data.....	326
Figure A10.3: Change in 200 day weight EBV and gain in Long Fed / CAAB \$index 2000-2009 for 10 primary contributors of Angus data.....	327
Figure A10.4: Change in 400 day weight EBV and gain in Long Fed / CAAB \$index 2000-2009 for 10 primary contributors of Angus data.....	327
Figure A10.5: Change in scrotal size EBV and gain in Long Fed / CAAB \$index 2000-2009 for 10 primary contributors of Angus data.....	328

Figure A10.6: Change in Days to Calving EBV and gain in Long Fed / CAAB \$index 2000-2009 for 10 primary contributors of Angus data	328
Figure A10.7: Change in Calving Ease (direct) EBV and gain in Long Fed / CAAB \$index 2000-2009 for 10 primary contributors of Angus data	329
Figure A10.8: Change in Calving Ease (maternal) EBV and gain in Long Fed / CAAB \$index 2000-2009 for 10 primary contributors of Angus data	329
Figure A10.9: Change in Carcass weight EBV and gain in Long Fed / CAAB \$index 2000-2009 for 10 primary contributors of Angus data	330
Figure A10.10: Change in Gestation length EBV and gain in Long Fed / CAAB \$index 2000-2009 for 10 primary contributors of Angus data	330
Figure A10.11 Change in MCWt EBV and gain in Long Fed / CAAB \$index 2000-2009 for 10 primary contributors of Angus data	331
Figure A10.12 Change in EMA EBV and gain in Long Fed / CAAB \$index 2000-2009 for 10 primary contributors of Angus data	331
Figure A10.13 Change in IMF EBV and gain in Long Fed / CAAB \$index 2000-2009 for 10 primary contributors of Angus data	332
Figure A10.14 Change in Milk EBV and gain in Long Fed / CAAB \$index 2000-2009 for 10 primary contributors of Angus data	332
Figure A10.15 Change in RBV EBV and gain in Long Fed / CAAB \$index 2000-2009 for 10 primary contributors of Angus data	333

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1 Heritability, genetic and phenotypic correlations between body condition score and fertility traits in Holstein dairy cattle (source De Haas <i>et al.</i> (2007)).....	23
Table 2.1: Categorisation and summary of the four major scientific paradigms (adapted from Creswell 2003).....	38
Table 5.1: Details of Maternal Productivity Industry Data traits and timing of recording	110
Table 5.2: Participating herds in Maternal Productivity Project.....	110
Table 5.3: Number of observations recorded at each time point on a breed basis	111
Table 5.4: Average EBVs for each breed sourced from special Breedplan run (August 2010)	113
Table 5.5: Age of cows at time of recording	114
Table 5.6: Trait measurement means for Angus by calving season and time point.....	115
Table 5.7: Trait measurement means for Hereford by calving season and time point.....	116
Table 5.8: Correlations between assigned condition and P8 fat depth, P8 fatness, rib fat depth and rib fatness at each time point (PC1, W1, PC2, W2).....	119
Table 5.9: Number of animals in assigned condition category and the range of P8 fat depth and P8 fatness represented within each condition category for each assessment time (PC1 –W2).....	119
Table 5.10: Models fitted to estimate repeatability of BCS and association of P8 and EMA with BCS	121
Table 5.11: Variance components of Model 5.1 and Model 5.2.....	122
Table 5.12: Tests of significance for Model 5.2	122
Table 5.13: Regression coefficients of fixed effect with BCS (from Model 5.2)	122
Table 5.14: Models fitted to estimate repeatability of weight and association of P8 and EMA with BCS	124
Table 5.15: Variance components of weight Model 5.3 and Model 5.4	125
Table 5.16: Tests of significance for Model 5.4	125
Table 5.17: Table of fixed effects for Model 5.4.....	126
Table 5.18: Models 5.5 to 5.8 fitted to estimate repeatability of P8, rib, EMA and IMF	128
Table 5.19: Variance components of Models 5.5 - 5.12	130
Table 5.20: Models 5.13 to 5.20 fitted to understand association between cow genetic merit and observed ultrasound scan	133
Table 5.21: Variance components of Models 5.13 – 5.20	134

Table 5.22: Tests of significance for terms in fixed effects for Models 5.13 – 5.20	134
Table 5.23: Parameter estimates (deviations) for observation time, season and breed on ultrasound trait reported as percentage change relative to Angus autumn PC1 with approximate SE	135
Table 5.24a: Regression coefficients for fixed terms for weight, age and EBV terms reported as percentage of change observed in body composition traits per genetic SD increase (change) in term with SE reported for IMF and EMA and approximate SE's reported for P8 and Rib for Angus cows from Model 5.13 – 5.16	136
Table 5.24b: Regression coefficients for fixed terms for weight, age and EBV terms reported as percentage of change observed in body composition traits per genetic SD increase (change) in term with SE reported for IMF and EMA and approximate SE's reported for P8 and Rib for Hereford cows from Model 5.13 – 5.16	137
Table 5.25a: Regression coefficients of fixed terms for age and EBV terms reported as percentage of change observed in body composition trait per genetic SD increase (change) in term with SE reported for IMF and EMA and approximate SE's reported for P8 and Rib for Angus cows for Model 5.17 – 5.20 (no weight covariate)	138
Table 5.25b: Regression coefficients of fixed terms for age and EBV terms reported as percentage of change observed in body composition trait per genetic SD increase (change) in term with SE reported for IMF and EMA and approximate SE's reported for P8 and Rib for Hereford cows for Models 5.17 – 5.20 (no weight covariate).....	139
Table 5.26: Models 5.21 – 5.24 fitted to understand association between height and cow genetic merit at pre-calving first and second parity	149
Table 5.27: Variance components for Models 5.21 – 5.24.....	150
Table 5.28: Tests of significance for terms in fixed effects for Models 5.22 – 5.24	151
Table 5.29: Parameter estimates (deviations) for observation time, season and breed and regression coefficients for height on EBVs reported as change (cm) relative to Angus autumn PC1 with SE (Models 5.22 – 5.24).....	152
Table 6.1: Animals with records at two specific time points	158
Table 6.2: Descriptive statistics of body composition measurements at PC1, W1, PC2 and W2.....	159
Table 6.3: Number and size of contemporary group at each time point.....	159
Table 6.4: Descriptive statistics for adjusted P8, rib and weight measurements adjusted to 500 days	159
Table 6.5: Models to estimate genetic parameters	160
Table 6.6: Genetic parameters between traits within time with genetic correlations (below diagonal) and environmental correlations (above diagonal)	162
Table 6.7: Genetic and environmental correlations between weight and ultrasound traits without weight fitted as covariate.....	163

Table 6.8: Genetic and environmental correlations between height and ultrasound trait.....	164
Table 6.9: Genetic correlations between time points for same trait.....	165
Table 6.10: Genetic and environmental correlations between P8 and rib fat depth measured at 500 day and PC1 – W2 time points.....	165
Table 6.11: Published estimates of cow weight heritability.....	167
Table 6.12: Published estimates of heritability of ultrasound scan traits at yearling and 500 day age groups.....	169
Table 6.13: Published genetic correlations between subcutaneous fat to a weight constant end point.....	175
Table 7.1: Summary statistics for calf weaning weight and cow weight change from PC to W detailed by parity and season.....	195
Table 7.2: Models fitted to estimate heritability of calf weaning weight, cow weight change from PC to W, and cow-calf gain from PC to W.....	198
Table 7.3: Models fitted to determine regression coefficients for calf weaning weight, cow weight change form PC to W, and cow-calf gain from PC to W.....	199
Table 7.4: Variance components and heritability for calf weaning weight, cow weight change, cow-calf gain and cow body composition change traits from PC to W (from Model 7.1 – 7.3).....	200
Table 7.5: Variance components for calf weaning weight, cow weight change, cow-calf gain and cow body composition change traits from PC to W for EBV regression models.....	204
Table 7.6: Significance of fixed effects for calf weaning weight, cow weight change, cow gain and cow body composition change traits from PC to W for EBV regression models.....	205
Table 7.7: Means and regression coefficients for calf weaning weight, cow-calf gain and cow body composition change traits from PC to W on fixed effects.....	206
Table 7.8: Means and regression coefficients of cow body composition change traits from PC to W on fixed effects.....	206
Table 7.9: Tests of significance (P values) for EBVs that were not associated with output or change trait reported.....	207
Table 8.1: Number of observations, maximum, minimum, mean, SD and variance for each parity for Angus by season for pre-adjusted DTC and predicted Least Square Means.....	217
Table 8.2: Summary statistics of EBVs.....	220
Table 8.3: DTC and conception rate at first parity.....	220
Table: 8.4 DTC and conception rate at second parity.....	220
Table 8.5: Cow pre-joining body composition data first parity.....	221
Table 8.6: Cow pre-joining body composition data second parity.....	221

Table 8.7: Models fitted to determine association pre-adjusted DTC across parity with EBVs	223
Table 8.8: Models fitted to determine association of pre-adjusted DTC in second and third parity with pre-calving rib fat depth	224
Table 8.9: Models fitted to determine association of pre-adjusted DTC in second and third parity with pre-calving rib fat depth and Rib EBV	224
Table 8.10: Models fitted to determine association of observed DTC in first parity with EBVs and pre-joining rib fat depth	226
Table 8.11: Models fitted to determine association of observed DTC in second parity with nutrition, EBVs and pre-joining rib fat depth	227
Table 8.12: Models fitted to determine association of conception rate in first and second parity with nutrition, EBVs and pre-joining rib fat depth.....	228
Table 8.13: Variance components of models fitted for industry herd pre-adjusted DTC data	230
Table 8.14: Test of significance of fixed model terms for Models 8.1-8.6	230
Table 8.15: Regression coefficients of fixed effects from Model 8.1-8.6.....	231
Table 8.16: Variance components for models 8.7-13.....	232
Table 8.17: Test of significance of fixed effects for Models 8.7-8.13	233
Table 8.18: Association of observed DTC for second parity with fixed effects with predicted means for site by year by nutrition and regressions of fixed effects (Models 8.10 – 8.13).....	234
Table 8.19: Tests of significance between conception rate with EBV and pre-joining energy reserves	236
Table 10.1: Herd index initial value (2000) and final value (2009), average rate of improvement and total dollar gain for the 10 primary contributors of Angus data.....	271
Table 10.2: Summary statistics for EBV change across 10 herds for 2000-2009	272
Table 10.3: Degrees difference for each combination of herds for angle of selection for 10 primary contributors of Angus data for period 2000-2009.....	275
Table 10.4: Eigenvalues and proportions of variance in genetic differences accounted for by each principal component.....	279
Table 10.5: Correlations between Principal component 1 and 2 and EBVs.....	280
Table 10.6: Index weightings for different calving rates	284
Table A4.1: Selection approach of different herds	309
Table A8.1: Calving rate, mean DTC, variance and slope on heifer rib	325
Table A8.2: Genetic parameters reported by Meyer et al. (2004)	325

Table A10.1: Herd 10 year EBV change in SD terms (EBV SD is based on the data)	334
Table A10.2: G matrix from EBVs for selection direction	335
Table A10.3: G-1 Matrix for selection direction.....	336
Table A10.4: Herd beta for multi-trait selection direction	337
Table A10.5: Herd genetic merit for each EBV relative to breed average on a standard deviation basis	338
Table A10.6: Meyer et al. (2004) parameters	339

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

When commencing this study I read about the substantially increased time and resource requirements of interdisciplinary research. It is a project I could not have done alone and I am grateful to the numerous people who contributed significant time and resources to assist in this research.

I would like to acknowledge and thank the providers of the Commonwealth Hill Scholarship and Cooperative Research Centre for Beef Genetic Technologies for the considerable financial support I received to undertake this project.

To my principal supervisor Associate Professor Wayne Pitchford, thank you. Your effort as primary supervisor is beyond all expectations and has been exceptionally valuable in developing my research skills. As a supervisor you have always inspired me to 'ask the next question'. Your unstinting example and approach to life have provided me with valued guidance across several spheres of my life from professional development to general outlook.

To Dr Ian Nuberg, my social science supervisor, thank you for showing the strong commitment to this project in its early stages and for supporting the social science work throughout the project. Your advice on presenting and discussing results has been particularly valuable.

A significant thank you must go to Dr Cindy Bottema, the unofficial 'mum' of the animal genetics group at the University of Adelaide. In particular many thanks for your assistance in addressing administrative needs and providing constructive feedback on my written and oral work.

This project has drawn on numerous data sources and I am very grateful to have had access to such a wide array of data. In particular, thanks to Carel Teseling and Dr Peter Parnell of Angus Australia, Herefords Australia and Dr Brad Crook of ABRI for significant efforts in facilitating access to animal performance records.

I would like to acknowledge the seedstock breeders involved in this project. The success of this research depended very much of the willingness of 25 collaborating breeders and a core of 15 to contribute time, data and most importantly share their experience and perspectives in an open way. I enjoyed each and every interview. The material for analysis generated from each interview is a testament of the deep understanding dedicated seedstock breeders have of their production system and their preparedness to participate in applied livestock research.

To researchers within the Beef CRC Maternal Productivity Project, thank you very much for all your help in data provision, analysis approach and discussions on the implications of results at team meetings. A particular thanks to Dr Michelle Hebart for her assistance in patiently formatting data in a user friendly format and advice on data analysis.

To my post grad colleagues – thanks for all the discussions, particularly those when I was struggling and needed a lift. They are the kind of times where people in a similar position can truly help. To Andrew and Dave – you were great at multiple levels; your help in discussing results and proof reading documents was greatly appreciated. However, most important was your strong friendship.

I owe a huge gratitude to my family; Alan, Pat, David, Ben and Emily. You all provided significant material and emotional support throughout the PhD and particularly in the final stretch. To Alan and Pat, thanks for living in the mountains of paper and files that formed the ‘second office’ at the far end of the lounge room. Emily, I can’t recall a single occasion where you asked when ‘it’ was going to be ‘finished’. You listened intently to literally hundreds of hours of drivel on the ‘new’ daily result. Thank you for being a great listener and a source of ongoing encouragement. Your positive and caring support is the kind that one can only wish for from a partner.

PUBLICATIONS

Lee S, Donoghue K, Hebart M, Pitchford W. (2011) Milk and weight EBVs are associated with cow and calf gain during lactation but carcass EBVs are not. Proceedings of 19th AAABG conference, Perth, 127-130.

Lee S, Nuberg I, Pitchford W. (2010) Interdisciplinary research raises concerns about selection for production on energy reserves in beef cows. Proceedings of 9th World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production, Leipzig.

Hancock B. and Lee S. (2010) Beef CRC Maternal Project Communications Workshop final report, Albury.

Lee S, Nuberg I, Pitchford W. (2009) Breeder perspectives on fat and female management. Proceedings of 18th AAABG, Adelaide, 600-603.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABRI	Agricultural Business Research Institute
AGBU	Animal Genetics and Breeding Unit
AI	artificial insemination
BCS	body condition score
BWt	birth weight
CG	contemporary group
CI	calving interval
CRC	Cooperative Research Centre
CV	coefficient of variation
CWt	carcass weight
d	days
DTC	days to calving
EBV	estimated breeding value
EMA	eye muscle area
EPD	expected progeny difference
GL	gestation length
Hip Ht	hip height (cm)
ICL	independent culling level
IDR	interdisciplinary research
IMF	intramuscular fat
LL	lactation length
LMC	lean meat content
LSM	least square mean
M	male
Mat Pat	maturity pattern
Max	maximum
MCWt	mature cow weight
ME	metabolisable energy
mgt	management
Milk	Milk EBV
Min	minimum
Max	maximum
n.s.	not significant
NBA	number born alive

NFI	net feed intake
nut	nutrition
Obs	observation
obstime	observation time
P	P value (usually from F test)
P8	rump fat depth measured at P8 site
P8 EBV	Rump Fat EBV
PC	pre-calving
RBY	retail beef yield
RFI	residual feed intake
Rib EBV	Rib Fat EBV
r_G	genetic correlation
rib	rib fat depth
SD	standard deviation
se	standard error
V_p	phenotypic variance
W	weaning
Wt	weight
WTS	Interval from weaning to first service
*	P < 0.05
**	P < 0.01
***	P < 0.001
