



THE UNIVERSITY
of ADELAIDE

Mitigating Particle Deposition on the Glass Window of a Fluidised Bed
Solar Receiver

Md Shahabuddin Ahmmad

School of Mechanical Engineering
The University of Adelaide
South Australia, 5005
Australia

*A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the degree of M.Phil. in
Engineering on the 20th of November 2015.*

M. Phil. Thesis

Final version
20th November 2015

School of Mechanical Engineering
The University of Adelaide
South Australia, 5005
Australia

Typeset by the author using Microsoft word 2015
Printed in Australia

Copyright © 2015, The University of Adelaide, South Australia.
*All right reserved. No part of this report may be used or reproduced
in any form or by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval
system without prior written permission of the university except in
the case of brief quotations embodied in critical articles and reviews.*

*I would like to dedicate my thesis to my beloved parents
Sahera Begum & Abu Jafor Molla, and my wife Sadia Ahmed.*

Summary

Concentrated solar energy can be utilised for many thermal processes that require high temperatures. A solar receiver is one such device that receives concentrated solar radiation. Different types of solar receivers such as fluidised beds, packed beds and solid particle solar receivers are used in different thermal applications. The focus of this study is to investigate the flow behaviour in a Fluidised Bed Solar Receiver (FBSR). One of the major limitations in the use of an FBSR under direct irradiation is particle deposition on the receiver glass window. This has two consequences: it reduces solar radiation transmission into the receiver and results in the failure of the glass window.

There have been a number of investigations on mitigating particle depositions onto the glass windows of solar receivers. However, the aerodynamics of solar receivers using particles is still not well understood. The aim of this project is to mitigate particle deposition on the glass window of an FBSR by developing a better understanding of the flow patterns under different operating conditions, which can assist in the development of an aerodynamic seal. Continuous operation of the FBSR can result in particle deposition on the glass window, which is directly related to the flow behaviour of the receiver. Therefore, it is essential that the flow pattern in an FBSR is investigated under single and two-phase flow conditions. Analysing the flow behaviours under various conditions, enables the mechanisms of particle deposition on a glass window to be understood.

Due to the complexity of the actual FBSR, a scaled FBSR was selected for this study. A well-defined and uniform in-flow condition was introduced below the aperture of the receiver. Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) were utilised to model the flow under gaseous and particle-laden conditions. The Renormalised Group Theory (*RNG*)-based $k-\varepsilon$ turbulence model was used to capture the flow pattern at steady conditions. The Discrete Particle Model (DPM) was used to investigate the two-phase flow behaviour.

The single phase flow results were validated against experimental data collected inside a similar device operating under the same conditions. The turbulent flow velocity was measured using a Turbulent Flow Instrumentation (TFI) cobra probe and a Pitot tube. The three-dimensional velocity components were measured at different radial and axial positions in the receiver for different Reynolds numbers.

The FBSR was oriented vertically; consisting of a cylindrical cavity, above which were located a converging-diverging secondary cavity and a window glass. The bottom of the FBSR was considered as an inlet, with two tangential outlets placed closer to the secondary cavity. The results of this investigation revealed that mass flow into the secondary concentrator of the receiver was reduced significantly when the ratio between the outlets and inlet areas was 0.5, and the ratio between the aperture and receiver diameter was 0.41. Since the glass window was located at the top of the secondary concentrator, the lower circulation of the inlet flow into the secondary concentrator resulted in lower particle deposition on the glass window. When using window shielding jets, the number of jets were found to be critical for preventing particle deposition. At a constant mass flow rate, increasing the number of window shielding jets reduced the suction pressure from the core to the aperture. Consequently, the outward axial velocity towards the glass window was reduced.

It was found that the introduction of particles into the flow influenced the flow pattern inside the receiver and affected the flow velocity on the glass window. In a gas-particle flow analysis, gravity was found to be important for capturing the flow patterns in the receiver accurately. When assessing the effect of particles on flow patterns under the same operating conditions, it was found that the average outward axial velocity, the maximum velocity and the aperture area with outward axial velocity were higher than for a single-phase flow. Apart from the aperture section, the slip velocity was found to be negligible in the receiver cavity, as is evident from the comparison of the fluid and particles' velocity profiles.

The findings of this investigation could potentially provide insights into the industrial application of FBSR, where the particles damage the glass window of the receiver during long-term operation.

Declarations

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due citation has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968. I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue and also through web search engines.

Md Shahabuddin Ahmmad

Acknowledgements

This research work has been completed with the guidance and immense support of many individuals. I would like to express my thanks to all those who have spent their valuable time throughout its completion.

Of course it goes without saying that, my first acknowledgement goes to my supervisors, Dr Maziar Arjomandi, Professor Gus Nathan and Professor Bassam Dally. Throughout my candidature, you have boosted my knowledge and skills in a wide range of aspects related to engineering and in particular in the field of Fluid Mechanics.

I would like to thank all other academic and administrative staff from the School of Mechanical Engineering. Sincere thanks go to Mr Garry Clarke, Mr Richard Pateman and Mr Philip Schmidt for their support in manufacturing the experimental rig. Without their technical support and advice, it would have been difficult for me to carry out the experiments. In addition, thanks go to all my friends who have supported me throughout my research endeavours.

I am really grateful to Miss Alison-Jane Hunter for her proof reading service with my thesis.

Throughout my research, the love, care and encouragement from my parents stimulated me to achieve my goal. I am really grateful to them and in particular to my beloved mother, Sahera Begum.

Finally, I reserve special thanks to my wife Sadia Ahmed for her unconditional love and spiritual support during this hard time. She was always with me throughout my journey. The support and care I received from her cannot be expressed in words.

Table of contents

Summary	iii
Declarations	v
Acknowledgements.....	vii
Table of contents.....	ix
List of Figures	xi
Nomenclature.....	xv
Chapter 1: Introduction.....	1
1.1 The conversion efficiency and cost effectiveness	2
1.2 Aim & objectives.....	4
1.3 Thesis structure.....	6
Chapter 2: Literature Review.....	8
2.1 Solar receiver.....	9
2.1.1 Cavity receiver	9
2.1.2 Volumetric solar receiver.....	11
2.1.3 Solid particle solar receiver.....	12
2.1.4 Packed bed and fluidised bed solar receiver	12
2.1.5 Glass window of a solar receiver.....	15
2.2 Flow behaviour in a solar receiver	16
2.2.1 Gas-particle flow with vortex/cyclone flow configuration.....	17
2.2.2 Window shielding jet to mitigate particle deposition	19
2.3 Summary of the literature review	25
Chapter 3: Analysis of the flow behaviour & problem description	27
3.1 Forces acting on the particles	27
3.1.1 Drag force	29
3.1.2 Saffman lift force	30
3.1.3 Brownian force.....	31
3.1.4 Virtual mass and Basset forces.....	31
3.2 Stokes Numbers.....	33
3.3 Problem description.....	34
3.4 Hypothesis to solve the problem	34
3.5 Description of the receiver for current study.....	34
Chapter 4: Experimental apparatus	38
4.1 Cobra probe analysis	38
4.2 Pitot tube coupled with a capacitance manometer	40
4.3 Cobra probe calibration.....	42
4.4 Summary.....	43
Chapter 5: Numerical model description and validation	44
5.1 Mesh dependency test.....	44
5.2 Gas phase modelling	45
5.2.1 Governing equation for gas phase modelling	45
5.2.2 Turbulence models.....	46
5.3 Gas-particle model.....	48

5.4	Phase coupling.....	49
5.5	Boundary conditions and convergence criteria	50
5.6	Numerical model validation.....	52
5.6.1	Turbulence model validation without window shielding jets.....	52
5.6.2	Numerical model validation with shielding jets	56
5.7	Summary.....	57
Chapter 6: Single-phase flow analysis		59
6.1	Single-phase flow analysis for the prototype geometry and low Reynolds numbers.....	59
6.1.1	The effect of aperture positions on the axial velocity and mass flow into the CPC.....	59
6.1.2	Effectiveness of window shielding jets	62
6.1.3	Inlet mass flow required from window shielding jets	63
6.2	Single-phase flow analysis for large geometry and high Reynolds numbers flow	64
6.2.1	Effect of window shielding jets on the axial velocity	67
6.2.2	Interdependency of receiver geometrical parameters	69
6.2.3	Flow pattern analysis using vectors.....	76
Chapter 7: Two-phase flow analysis.....		80
7.1	Two-phase flow analysis with prototype geometry and low Reynolds numbers	80
7.2	Two-phase flow analysis with large geometry and high Reynolds numbers.....	81
7.3	The effect of particle diameter on turbulent flow properties	86
7.4	Flow pattern analysis using vectors for different mass loading conditions	87
7.5	Effect of particle diameter on the flow pattern	88
Chapter 8: Conclusions and future work		91
8.1	Conclusions	91
8.2	Suggested future work.....	94
References.....		96

List of Figures

1.1: Concentration of sunlight using different reflectors	3
2.1: Design parameters for (a) a heliostat field position; (b) a solar receiver.....	8
2.2: Construction of a cylindrical cavity receiver (Steinfeld & Weimer, 2010).....	9
2.3: The geometry of a double cavity solar receiver (Piatkowski et al., 2009).	10
2.4: A configuration of a tubular reactor for the production of syngas from a continuous flow of carbonaceous particles and water (Piatkowski et al., 2011).	11
2.5: A top view of a dual volumetric-tubular receiver (Behar, et al., 2013).....	11
2.6: Schematic view of a solid particle solar receiver	12
2.7: Comparison of the CO production rate and light-to-chemical energy conversion efficiency of an ICFB reactor and conventional fluidised bed reactor	14
2.8: Gas-particle vortex flow receiver for the steam gasification of coke	17
2.9: Particle trajectories with lines coloured by particle diameters (μm)	19
2.10: Calculated contour of the axial velocity component in the receiver.....	20
2.11: Reactor model studied by Kogan & Kogan (2002).	21
2.12: (a) Modelled geometry of the receiver, (b) Axial velocity pattern for different inlet flow angles: 30° is the most swirling flow while 90° is the radial flow	22
2.13: Particle deposition on the reactor window calculated by a Discrete Particle Model for (a) 3 m/s (b) 6 m/s, and (c) 9 m/s.....	23
2.14: Window shielding jet parallel to the main flow direction	24
2.15: An internally circulating fluidised bed reactor for CO_2 gasification	24
3.1: Vertical forces on the particle.....	27
3.2: Motion of the particle in a curvature path.....	28
3.3: Physical interpretation of entrance length and fully developed flow	29
3.4: Schematics of a particle in a shear flow	31
3.5: The geometry and dimensions of the CFD model for the preliminary study.	35
3.6: Cross-section of the modified geometry for numerical modelling	36
4.1: Experimental set up.	38
4.2: Experimental arrangement for measuring flow properties	39
4.3: Experimental flow diagram.	40
4.4: Typical total velocity measurement using a cobra probe.	40
4.5: A Pitot tube coupled with a Baratron pressure transducer.....	41
4.6: Calibration of the cobra probe, using a Pitot tube.	42
5.1: Solution procedures for (a) single and (b) two-phase flow (Tian, 2006).	49
5.2: Axial velocity versus radial position at axial location $y = 1766$ mm.....	53
5.3: Axial velocity versus radial position at axial location $y = 1826$ mm.....	54
5.4: Axial velocity versus radial position at axial location $y = 1886$ mm.....	54
5.5: Mean velocity versus radial position using different Reynolds numbers.....	56

5.6: Axial velocity versus radial position at axial location $y = 1826$ mm, using the window shielding jets for $Re = 26,000$	57
6.1: Dimensions of the receiver for different CPC geometries.....	60
6.2: Time steps of a swirling flow structure (Shilapuram, et al., 2011).....	60
6.3: Colour map of the axial velocities at the aperture plane with respect to the CPC.....	61
6.4: Percentages of inlet mass entering into the CPC, with respect to the aperture cone angle.	62
6.5: Axial velocity distributions at the aperture section when the aperture is placed at a 40 degree cone angle	63
6.6: Percentage of inlet mass required to balance the inlet mass flow at the aperture section for different cases.	64
6.7: Axial velocity versus radial position at different axial positions in the receiver, for different Reynolds numbers	65
6.8: Colour map of the axial velocity at aperture section	66
6.9: Colour map of the radial velocity at aperture section.....	66
6.10: Colour map of the tangential velocity at aperture section	67
6.11: Axial velocity versus radial position with window shielding jet at the XY plane of the aperture section for $Re = 22,000$	68
6.12: Radial velocity profiles for the axial velocity with window shielding jets at the XY plane of the aperture section for $Re = 26,000$	68
6.13: Schematic diagram of the changes of (a) outlet positions	69
6.14: Effect of area ratio between the outlet and inlet on the axial velocity.....	70
6.15: Axial velocity at the aperture section for different conditions	71
6.16: Effect of outlet diameters on the normalised mass flow rate.....	72
6.17: Axial velocity at the aperture section when the outlets are placed at $y/d = 15$	72
6.18: Normalised mass flow rate at the aperture section	73
6.19: The axial velocity at the aperture section when the outlets are placed at $y/d = 15$	74
6.20: Normalised mass flow rate in the CPC with respect to different A_{out}/A_{in} ratios and the number of jets.	74
6.21: Axial velocity at the aperture section when the outlets are placed at $y/d = 15$	75
6.22: Normalised mass flow in the CPC and the mean velocity at the aperture with respect to the aperture diameter.	76
6.23: The mean velocity vectors at without jet condition: a) the bottom part, b) the middle part and c) the CPC.....	77
6.24: The total velocity vector using an outlet diameter of 30 mm, a) 5% of the inlet mass using 2 jets, b) 5% of the inlet mass using 4 jets.....	78
7.1: Colour map and the direction of the predicted axial velocities at the aperture section: (a) without window shielding jet and (b) with window shielding jet (0.5% of the inlet mass flow).....	81
7.2: Gravitational effects on predicted axial velocity and DPM particle concentration for the condition of 12% mass loading and mean particle diameter of 100 μm	82

7.3: Predicted colour map of the axial velocity pattern at the aperture section for a mass loading of a) 0%; b) 2.5%, c) 5%; and d) 10%, and mean particle diameter of 100 μm .	83
7.4: Predicted particle concentration on the aperture section for a mass loading of a) 2.5%, b) 5% c) and 10% and a mean particle diameter of 100 μm .	84
7.5: The predicted axial velocity for fluid and particles versus the receiver's radial position with a mass loading of 12%.	85
7.6: Predicted colour map of the axial velocity patterns at a) the aperture section; mass loading: 10% and mean particle diameter: a) 100 μm , b) 250 μm and c) 500 μm .	86
7.7: Effect of mass loading on the predicted mean velocity pattern at the cylindrical bottom section of the receiver for the following mass loadings: a) 0%, b) 2.5% c) 5% and d) 10% and a mean particle diameter of 100 μm .	88
7.8: The effect of particle diameter on predicted mean velocity patterns at the cylindrical bottom section of the receiver: a) 100 μm , b) 250 μm and c) 500 μm .	89
7.9: Effect of particle diameter on predicted mean velocity patterns at the top section of the receiver: a) 100 μm , b) 250 μm and c) 500 μm .	90

List of Tables

2.1: Properties of different glass materials	16
4.1: Experimental uncertainty.....	42
5.1: Parameters used for meshing the model.	44
5.2: The mesh independency test for a different number of mesh elements using.....	45
5.3: Conditions applied for the DPM gas-particle modelling.....	51
7.1: Effects of particles' mean diameter on different area weighted average turbulent and DPM parameters.	87

Nomenclature

Greek letters

α	volume fraction
β	constant for RNG k - ε turbulence model
δw	streamline displacement due to the presence of Pitot tube
Δ	incremental change
ϵ	displacement correction factor
ε	dissipation rate
η_0	constant for RNG k - ε turbulence model
μ	dynamic viscosity
μ_t	turbulent viscosity
ρ_g	gas density
ρ_p	particle density
$\bar{\sigma}$	Reynolds stress tensor
τ_f	fluid time scale
τ_p	particle aerodynamic response time

Romans

a_r	radial acceleration
A_{in}	receiver inlet area
A_{out}	receiver outlet area
a_t	tangential acceleration
C_c	Cunningham correction factor
C_D	coefficient of drag
D	diameter of the pipe
d_a	diameter of the aperture
d_p	particle diameter
\bar{d}_p	mean particle diameter
d_r	diameter of the receiver
e	exponential e

F_B	buoyancy force
F_d	drag force
F_i^{Brown}	Brownian force
F_G	gravitational force
F_r	radial force
$F_{Saff\ lift}$	Saffman lift force
F_t	tangential force
g	gravitational acceleration
G_i^{mp}	Gaussian number
k	turbulent kinetic energy
k_b	Stefan-Boltzmann constant
k_{gs}	momentum transfer coefficient between gas and solid phases
l	length of the receiver
L	characteristics length of the flow
m	mass of the particle
\dot{m}_{cpc}	mass flow in the CPC
\dot{m}_{in}	inlet mass flow to the receiver
n	spread parameter
P_s	static pressure
P_t	total pressure
R	additional strain rate
Re	Reynolds number
Re_p	particle Reynolds number
S_k	Stokes number
S_0	spectral intensity
T	temperature
U	characteristic velocity of the flow
u_g	gas velocity
u_{mf}	minimum fluidisation velocity
u_p	particle velocity
v_x	radial velocity
v_y	axial velocity
v_z	tangential velocity

x	radial distance from the centre of the receiver
x_i, x_y, x_z	Cartesian coordinate system
Y_d	cumulative fraction

Superscripts

\rightarrow	vector quantity
$(\bar{\quad})$	averaged or mean
\cdot	derivative with respect to time

Subscripts

g	gas phase
gp	gas particle
p	particle phase
t	tangential or total

Acronyms

<i>BFB</i>	Bubbling Fluidised Bed
<i>BP</i>	British Petroleum
<i>CFB</i>	Circulating Fluidised Bed
<i>CFD</i>	Computational Fluid Dynamics
<i>CPC</i>	Compound Parabolic Concentrator
<i>CSP</i>	Concentrated Solar Power
<i>DAQ</i>	Data Acquisition
<i>DNS</i>	Direct Numerical Simulation
<i>DPM</i>	Discrete Particle Model
<i>FBSR</i>	Fluidised Bed Solar Receiver
<i>GNF</i>	Granular Flow Model
<i>HTF</i>	Heat Transfer Fluid
<i>ICFB</i>	Internally Circulating Fluidised Bed
<i>IEA</i>	International Energy Agency
<i>IISR</i>	Indirectly Irradiated Solar Receiver

<i>LFR</i>	Linear Fresnel Reflector
<i>MTOE</i>	Million Tonnes of Oil Equivalent
<i>PDE</i>	Partial Differential Equations
<i>RANS</i>	Reynolds Averaged Navier-Stokes equations
<i>RNG</i>	Renormalised Group Theory
<i>SPSR</i>	Solid Particle Solar Receiver
<i>TFI</i>	Turbulent Flow Instrumentation
<i>WJ</i>	Without Jet