

**Extensional and  
Surface-Tension-Driven Fluid Flows in  
Microstructured Optical Fibre  
Fabrication**

Hayden Tronnolone

*Thesis submitted for the degree of*

*Doctor of Philosophy*

*in*

*Applied Mathematics*

*at*

*The University of Adelaide*

*(Faculty of Engineering, Computer and Mathematical Sciences)*

Department of Applied Mathematics



February 1, 2016



For my mother, Sharon



And I looked at that painting *Sunflowers*. And for a  
bogan from Hamilton like myself I could actually see  
beauty in that frustration.

Phil Walsh



# Contents

<b>Contents</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>Signed Statement</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>xix</b>
<b>List of Variables</b>	<b>xxiii</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>xxvii</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xxxv</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Microstructured Optical Fibres . . . . .	1
1.2 Microstructured Optical Fibre Fabrication . . . . .	5
1.3 Previous Work . . . . .	10
1.4 Thesis Content . . . . .	13
<b>2 Glass and Its Properties</b>	<b>17</b>
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	17

2.2	Glass Properties . . . . .	19
2.2.1	Viscosity . . . . .	19
2.2.2	Material Constitutive Equation . . . . .	22
2.2.3	Density . . . . .	24
2.2.4	Surface Tension . . . . .	25
2.2.5	Heat Transfer . . . . .	28
2.3	Summary of Assumptions . . . . .	31
<b>3</b>	<b>Slenderness Model</b>	<b>33</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	33
3.2	Trouton Models . . . . .	34
3.3	Slenderness Model . . . . .	39
3.3.1	Governing Equations and Slenderness Approximation . .	39
3.3.2	Scaled Equations and Series Expansions . . . . .	43
3.3.3	Temperature and Viscosity in the Slender Limit . . . . .	47
3.3.4	Axial Flow Equations . . . . .	49
3.3.5	Leading-Order Kinematic Condition and Conservation of Mass . . . . .	60
3.3.6	Transverse Equations . . . . .	61
3.3.7	Zero-Surface-Tension Transverse Solution . . . . .	62
3.3.8	Transverse System and Reduced Time . . . . .	63
3.4	Summary . . . . .	66
<b>4</b>	<b>Stokes Flows and Complex Variables</b>	<b>69</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	69
4.2	Stokes Equations . . . . .	74
4.2.1	Basic Theory . . . . .	74



4.2.2	The Biharmonic Equation . . . . .	75
4.2.3	Complex Variables . . . . .	76
4.2.4	Complex Analytic Functions . . . . .	79
4.2.5	The Airy Stress Function . . . . .	82
4.2.6	The Goursat Functions and Physical Quantities . . . . .	87
4.2.7	Dynamic Boundary Condition . . . . .	89
4.2.8	Kinematic Boundary Condition . . . . .	95
4.2.9	Uniqueness of the Goursat Representation . . . . .	96
4.2.10	Geometric Quantities . . . . .	98
4.3	Exact Solutions . . . . .	99
4.3.1	An Annulus . . . . .	99
4.3.2	Hopper's Exact Solutions . . . . .	104
4.4	Summary . . . . .	104
<b>5</b>	<b>Numerical Solution of Stokes Flows</b>	<b>107</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	107
5.2	Spectral Collocation Method . . . . .	111
5.2.1	Overview of Method . . . . .	111
5.2.2	Conformal Map . . . . .	112
5.2.3	Goursat Functions . . . . .	114
5.2.4	Mapped Dynamic Boundary Condition . . . . .	115
5.2.5	Mapped Kinematic Boundary Condition . . . . .	117
5.2.6	Algorithm . . . . .	118
5.2.7	Convergence . . . . .	119
5.2.8	Calculating Geometric Quantities . . . . .	120
5.2.9	Comparison with Exact Solution for an Annulus . . . . .	122
5.2.10	3-Hole Preforms . . . . .	123

5.2.11	6- and 7-Hole Preforms . . . . .	131
5.3	Alternative Spectral Method . . . . .	133
5.3.1	Overview of Method . . . . .	133
5.3.2	Conformal Maps . . . . .	136
5.3.3	Goursat Functions . . . . .	136
5.3.4	Boundary Conditions . . . . .	138
5.3.5	Inverting the Conformal Maps . . . . .	139
5.3.6	Calculating Geometric Quantities . . . . .	142
5.3.7	Convergence and Comparison with Known Solutions . . . . .	144
5.3.8	Application to Elliptical Boundaries . . . . .	146
5.3.9	3-Hole Preforms with an Elliptical Central Hole . . . . .	148
5.4	Method of Fundamental Solutions . . . . .	149
5.4.1	Motivation . . . . .	149
5.4.2	Overview of Method . . . . .	152
5.4.3	Mathematical Formulation . . . . .	152
5.4.4	Convergence . . . . .	156
5.4.5	Comparison with Exact Solution . . . . .	157
5.4.6	Van de Vorst Example and Comparison of Methods . . . . .	158
5.5	Elliptical Pore Models . . . . .	161
5.5.1	Overview of Method . . . . .	161
5.5.2	$n$ -Ring Preforms . . . . .	162
5.6	Summary . . . . .	170
<b>6</b>	<b>Stretching Fluid Cylinders</b>	<b>175</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	175
6.2	Governing Equations . . . . .	176
6.2.1	Overview . . . . .	176

6.2.2	Scales . . . . .	176
6.2.3	Axial Problem and Lagrangian Co-ordinate . . . . .	178
6.2.4	Coupling the Axial and Transverse Problems . . . . .	181
6.2.5	Solution for Small Inertia . . . . .	182
6.2.6	Solutions for Weak and Zero Surface Tension . . . . .	185
6.3	Cylinders with No Internal Structure . . . . .	187
6.3.1	Overview . . . . .	187
6.3.2	Solution for a Solid Rod . . . . .	188
6.3.3	Influence of Surface Tension and Geometry . . . . .	193
6.4	Cylinders with Internal Structure . . . . .	201
6.4.1	Overview . . . . .	201
6.4.2	Stretching Tube . . . . .	203
6.4.3	3-Hole Experiment . . . . .	208
6.5	Including Inertia . . . . .	212
6.6	Summary . . . . .	215
<b>7</b>	<b>Modelling Extrusion</b>	<b>219</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	219
7.2	Die Effects . . . . .	220
7.3	Extrusion Model . . . . .	223
7.3.1	Parameters and Governing Equations . . . . .	224
7.3.2	Lagrangian Co-ordinate and Reduced Time . . . . .	227
7.3.3	Solutions for Zero and Weak Surface Tension . . . . .	231
7.4	Geometries with No Internal Holes . . . . .	233
7.4.1	Solution for a Solid Rod . . . . .	233
7.4.2	Influence of Surface Tension and Geometry . . . . .	234
7.5	Controlling Deformation and Exact Solution for an Annulus . . . . .	235

7.5.1	Overview . . . . .	235
7.5.2	Measuring Deformation . . . . .	237
7.5.3	Solution for an Annulus . . . . .	237
7.5.4	Controlling Deformation . . . . .	238
7.6	MOF Preform Examples . . . . .	240
7.6.1	Overview . . . . .	240
7.6.2	3-Hole Preform . . . . .	244
7.6.3	7-Hole Preform . . . . .	244
7.6.4	7-Ring Preform . . . . .	249
7.6.5	Cooling After Extrusion . . . . .	249
7.7	Pinch-Off . . . . .	255
7.7.1	Overview . . . . .	255
7.7.2	Exact Solution for Zero Surface Tension . . . . .	257
7.7.3	Exact Solution for a Solid Rod . . . . .	258
7.7.4	Solution for General Geometries . . . . .	258
7.8	Summary . . . . .	259
<b>8</b>	<b>Preform Stacking and Cusp-Like Deformations</b>	<b>263</b>
8.1	Introduction . . . . .	263
8.2	Coalescing Tubes . . . . .	266
8.2.1	Map for Two Tubes . . . . .	266
8.2.2	Map for More Than Two Tubes . . . . .	275
8.3	Deformed 3-Hole Preforms . . . . .	289
8.3.1	Geometry at the Die Exit . . . . .	289
8.3.2	Computing Initial Maps . . . . .	291
8.3.3	Extrusion Example . . . . .	295
8.4	Fluid Flow Inside the Die . . . . .	300

8.4.1	Motivation . . . . .	300
8.4.2	Model . . . . .	304
8.4.3	Examples . . . . .	305
8.5	Summary . . . . .	312
<b>9</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>315</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Transport Theorems</b>	<b>321</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Numerical Methods for Solving Polynomial Equations</b>	<b>323</b>
B.1	Overview . . . . .	323
B.2	Companion Matrix . . . . .	324
B.3	Newton's Method . . . . .	324
B.4	Argument Principle . . . . .	325
B.5	Other Methods . . . . .	326
<b>C</b>	<b>Experimental Set-Up and Measurements</b>	<b>327</b>
C.1	General method . . . . .	327
C.2	Tube . . . . .	329
C.3	3-Hole Cylinder . . . . .	333
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>335</b>
	<b>Index</b>	<b>359</b>



# Abstract

Microstructured optical fibres (MOFs) are a design of optical fibre comprising a series of longitudinal air channels within a thread of material that form a waveguide for light. The flexibility of this design allows optical fibres to be created with adaptable and previously unrealised optical properties. A MOF is typically constructed by first creating a macroscopic version of the design, known as a preform, with a centimetre-scale diameter that is later drawn into a fibre with a micrometer-scale diameter.

There are several methods for constructing a preform. In the extrusion method molten material is forced through a die containing an array of blocking elements that match the required pattern of channels. Preforms may also be constructed by stacking tubes and fusing them together with heat. In both processes the fluid flow that arises can deform the air channels, rendering the fibre useless. At present there is only a limited understanding of the relative importance of the various physical parameters in determining the final preform geometry, which means that the development of new MOF technology requires time-consuming and costly experimentation.

This thesis develops mathematical models of the fluid flows that occur during the extrusion and stacking methods of MOF preform fabrication. These models are used to determine which physical mechanisms are important during

the manufacturing process so as to inform the fabrication of MOF preforms.

A model is constructed of a fixed slender fluid cylinder with internal structure stretching under gravity and with surface-tension-driven deformation. The molten material is modelled as a Newtonian fluid with a temperature-dependent viscosity, which is assumed known. The variables are expanded as series in powers of a slenderness parameter so that, after dropping higher-order terms, the resulting equations partially decouple into a one-dimensional model for the axial flow and a two-dimensional model for the transverse flow. Under a suitable transformation of variables the transverse equations are precisely the Stokes equations with unit surface tension. After reviewing the use of complex variables to represent the transverse problem, three numerical solution methods are considered: two based upon spectral methods and one using the method of fundamental solutions (MFS). These methods are compared for their efficiency and accuracy.

Several example solutions for stretching cylinders are presented and the role of surface tension is investigated using approximate solutions derived for zero and small surface tension. The model is validated against experimental data and found to be in good agreement. The stretching model is extended to the case of an extruded fluid cylinder, neglecting extrudate-swell effects, where again the fluid flow decouples in axial and transverse models. The results are compared with experimental observations and the model used to analyse the formation of distortions during preform extrusion and how these may be controlled. Two problems related to preform fabrication are considered that feature cross sections with non-circular initial outer boundaries. A technique is developed for deriving initial conformal maps describing such domains, which are used in the stretching and extrusion models to analyse the proposed problems.



# Signed Statement

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and, where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968. I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library Search and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

SIGNED: ..... DATE: .....



# Acknowledgements

## Personal Acknowledgements

Throughout my PhD I have received support from many people, without which I could not have completed this thesis. I am grateful to everyone who has assisted me over the last few years.

I am thankful to have received financial support for this research from both the Australian Federal Government, via an Australian Postgraduate Award, and the A. F. Pillow Applied Mathematics Trust, without which I could not have undertaken this work. I would also like to thank the School of Mathematical Sciences and the University of Adelaide for supporting my research by providing me a space to work and equipment to do so. I am especially thankful to the administrative staff within the School who have helped me arrange travel to numerous conferences and supported this work in many other ways.

I have been fortunate to receive significant support from the academic staff within the School and would like to thank, in particular: Finnur Lárusson and Nick Buchdahl for advice on complex analysis; Trent Mattner for helpful discussions on numerical methods; and Ed Green for many Friday nights at the Staff Club.

This project was motivated by research being performed at the Institute

for Photonics and Advanced Sensing (IPAS) at the University of Adelaide and I have greatly appreciated the assistance and insight provided by the members of IPAS. I am especially grateful to Heike Ebendorff-Heidipriem, who has assisted this project with great enthusiasm from the start and has provided invaluable advice and support. I am indebted to both Alastair Dowler, for his experimental expertise; and Herbert Tze Cheung Foo, who has worked untold hours running experiments, collecting the data used in this thesis and who was receptive to every outlandish experiment I proposed.

I am very grateful for the conversations I've had with the other members of the fibre modelling group, namely Peter Buchak, for many discussions on and assistance with numerical methods; and Mike Chen, for advice on using experimental data and discussions on code.

My time as a postgraduate has been greatly improved for having shared it with other students in the postgraduate office. I am particularly thankful to: David Price, for lengthy football discussions and running the office football tipping; Sophie Calabretto, for sharing practical jokes, TV shows and lecturer merchandise; Kale Davies, for maths discussions and keeping pubcrawls interesting; and Mingmei Teo, for taking photographs used in this thesis, sharing the highs and lows of trade weeks, and remaining in the adjacent desk while baring the full force of my complaints (and illegally-placed bookshelf). I would like to extend special thanks to Michael Albanese, with whom I wasted more time than anybody else and have no regrets for doing so. From giving a joint seminar, to building a Cantor set from empty drink cans, my PhD wouldn't have been half as interesting without these diversions.

My family and friends have been forced to accept me being unavailable for large periods of time over the last few years and I am grateful for all of

their tolerance and support. I am particularly grateful to my mother, Sharon, without whose support I would never have attended university, let alone have completed this thesis. I would also like to thank my girlfriend, Ali, who has provided invaluable support and has tolerated my undulating mood over the course of this project.

I started my candidature under the supervision of Matt Finn, who taught me about complex variables, producing good writing and creating good code, and whose lessons I am still influenced by. I have also received expert advice on complex variables and Stokes flows under the supervision of Darren Crowdy, first as an external supervisor and later as a secondary supervisor, to whom I am very grateful.

Finally, I want to offer profound thanks to Yvonne Stokes, who recommended this project and has been my primary supervisor throughout. She has been supportive, encouraging, patient as deadlines were missed, invaluable in providing advice and tireless in proofreading my work. I have been extremely fortunate to have her support and I hope that this thesis, in some small way, reflects her efforts.

## Image Credits

Figure 1.1.3e was originally published by Manning (2011) as part of a PhD thesis and is reproduced with permission from the author.

Figure 1.1.3f was created by IPAS and is reproduced courtesy of Heike Ebendorff-Heidepriem on behalf of IPAS.

Figure 1.1.3g was originally published by Ebendorff-Heidepriem and Monro (2007) and is reproduced with permission from The Optical Society and the authors.

Figure 1.1.3h is reproduced with permission from AIP Publishing LLC under license number 3732340375332.

Figure 5.4.21 contains a reproduction of a solution computed by van de Vorst (1993) and is reproduced with non-exclusive permission from Cambridge University Press.

The photographs from Figures 1.2.4, 1.2.6, 7.2.2b and 8.3.13 photographs were taken with the assistance of Mingmei Teo.

The photographs from Figure 6.4.15 were taken by Herbert Tze Cheung Foo from IPAS.

# List of Variables

Bi	$\frac{h\mathcal{L}}{k}$	Biot number
Ca	$\frac{\mu_0\mathcal{U}}{\gamma}$	Capillary number
De	$\frac{\mathcal{T}_c}{\mathcal{T}}$	Deborah number
Fr	$\frac{\mathcal{U}}{\sqrt{g\mathcal{L}}}$	Froude number
Pe	$\frac{\alpha}{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{U}}$	Péclet number
Re	$\frac{\rho\mathcal{U}\mathcal{L}}{\mu_0}$	Reynolds number
$g^*$	$\frac{\rho g\mathcal{L}^2}{\mu_0\mathcal{U}}$	Dimensionless gravity
$\gamma^*$	$\frac{1}{\epsilon\text{Ca}}$	Dimensionless surface tension

$\mathcal{A}$	Airy stress function	$r$	radial co-ordinate
$c$	axial tension	$R$	radius
$c_p$	specific heat	$s$	arc length
$C_n$	boundary $n$	$S$	cross-sectional area
$d$	hole spacing/diameter	$\mathcal{S}$	area scale
$e$	rate of strain	$t$	time
$E$	strain	$t_a$	cooling time
$f$	Goursat function	$t_c$	critical time
$F$	composed Goursat function	$t_e$	effective cooling time
$g$	gravitational acceleration/ Goursat function	$t_f$	final time
$G$	composed Goursat function	$T$	temperature
$h$	heat transfer coefficient	$T_a$	atmospheric temperature
$H$	integrating factor	$\mathcal{T}$	temperature scale
$i$	imaginary unit	$u$	$x$ velocity
$\mathbf{i}$	$x$ unit vector	$\mathbf{u}$	velocity vector
$\mathbf{j}$	$y$ unit vector	$\mathcal{U}$	velocity scale
$k$	thermal conductivity	$v$	$y$ velocity
$\bar{k}$	Eötvös constant	$V$	volume
$\mathbf{k}$	$z$ unit vector	$V_m$	molar volume
$L$	cylinder length	$w$	$z$ velocity
$\mathcal{L}$	axial length scale	$\mathcal{W}$	bianalytic function
$m$	viscosity harmonic mean	$x$	Cartesian co-ordinate
$p$	pressure	$\mathbf{x}$	Cartesian co-ordinate vector
$\mathcal{P}$	analytic function	$y$	Cartesian co-ordinate
$Q$	flux	$z$	Cartesian co-ordinate/ complex number
$\mathcal{Q}$	flux scale		



$\alpha$	thermal diffusivity
$\beta$	coefficient of thermal expansion
$\gamma$	surface tension
$\Gamma$	boundary length
$\epsilon$	slenderness ratio
$\zeta$	complex variable
$\eta$	Lagrangian co-ordinate
$\theta$	angle
$\kappa$	curvature
$\Lambda$	hole spacing
$\mu$	viscosity
$\xi$	Lagrangian co-ordinate
$\rho$	density
$\sigma$	stress tensor
$\sigma_E$	tensile stress
$\tau$	reduced time
$\phi$	annular aspect ratio
$\chi$	square root of cross-sectional area
$\psi$	stream function
$\omega$	vorticity
$\Omega$	domain



# List of Figures

1.1.1	The four layers of a conventional optical fibre. . . . .	2
1.1.2	Sketch of a slice through a microstructured optical fibre. . .	3
1.1.3	Four MOF designs and typical experimental results. . . . .	4
1.2.4	A MOF preform. . . . .	5
1.2.5	Sketch of an extrusion tower. . . . .	7
1.2.6	Underside of a die with three pins used for MOF preform extrusion. . . . .	8
1.2.7	Sketch of a drawing tower used to pull a preform into a fibre.	9
2.2.1	Sketch of the surface tension force on the boundary of a fluid region. . . . .	26
2.2.2	Thermal diffusivity $\alpha$ plotted against temperature in degrees Celsius for F2 glass. . . . .	30
3.2.1	Sketch of a solid axisymmetric cylinder stretching under gravity. . . . .	35
3.3.2	Sketch of a cylinder with internal structure representative of the geometries considered throughout this thesis. . . . .	40
3.3.3	Typical multiply connected domain with two inner boundaries.	52

5.2.1	Sketch of a conformal map from a circle domain. . . . .	113
5.2.2	Geometry used to illustrate the accuracy of the spectral method. . . . .	120
5.2.3	Errors in the dynamic and kinematic boundary conditions for the first spectral method at reduced time $\tau = 0$ . . . . .	121
5.2.4	Inner and outer radii of an annular region of fluid with initial inner radius 0.5 and unit outer radius computed up to reduced time $\tau = 0.73$ by the first spectral method, along with the exact values. . . . .	124
5.2.5	Desired shape of the 3-hole preform. . . . .	126
5.2.6	Evolution of the 3-hole preform geometry at reduced times $\tau = 0.02, 0.04, 0.06$ and $0.08$ as computed by the first spectral method. . . . .	127
5.2.7	Shape of the domain computed by the first spectral method at $\tau = 0.06$ for $d = 0.3$ and $d = 0.4$ . . . . .	128
5.2.8	Geometry of the 3-hole preform from Table 5.2.1 at reduced time $\tau = -0.03$ found by running the first spectral method backwards in reduced time. . . . .	130
5.2.9	6- and 7-hole preform geometries. . . . .	132
5.2.10	Evolution of the 6-hole preform geometry at reduced times $\tau = 0.02, 0.04, 0.06$ and $0.08$ computed by the first spectral method. . . . .	134
5.2.11	Evolution of the 7-hole preform geometry at reduced times $\tau = 0.02, 0.04, 0.06,$ and $0.08$ computed by the first spectral method. . . . .	135

5.3.12	Example of the conformal maps used in the alternative spectral method. . . . .	137
5.3.13	Mean computation times of the algorithms for finding the zeros of a polynomial. . . . .	143
5.3.14	Inner and outer radii of an annular region of fluid with initial inner radius 0.5 and unit outer radius as computed by the alternative spectral method up to reduced time $\tau = 0.73$ , along with the exact values. . . . .	145
5.3.15	Solution for the 3-hole preform described in Table 5.2.1 at reduced time $\tau = 0.06$ as computed by both spectral methods.	146
5.3.16	3-hole geometry starting with an elliptical central hole with aspect ratio 2.0254 computed by the alternative spectral method up to reduced time $\tau = 0.06$ . . . . .	150
5.4.17	Initial condition for a two-dimensional Stokes flow problem solved by van de Vorst (1993). . . . .	151
5.4.18	Sketch of the singularity locations using the method of fundamental solutions for a triply connected region. . . . .	154
5.4.19	Error in the MFS dynamic boundary condition at reduced time $\tau = 0$ plotted against the number of stresslets around each boundary. . . . .	157
5.4.20	Evolution of the inner and outer radii of an annulus with initial inner radius 0.5 and unit outer radius. as computed by the method of fundamental solutions, along with the exact values. . . . .	159

5.4.21	Comparison between the solutions at $\tau = 0.25$ for the geometry shown in Figure 5.4.17 as found by van de Vorst (1993), the spectral method and the method of fundamental solutions.	160
5.5.22	Schematic showing parameters for an $n$ -ring preform. . . . .	163
5.5.23	Evolution of the 4-ring preform geometry with $\Lambda = 3$ mm, $d/\Lambda = 0.3$ and $R = 15$ mm at reduced times $\tau = 0.005, 0.01, 0.015$ and $0.02$ computed using the elliptical pore model. . .	165
5.5.24	Evolution of the 4-ring preform geometry at reduced time $\tau = 0.02$ computed using the elliptical pore model for $R = 15$ mm for $\Lambda/d = 9/25, 9/20, 3/5$ and $3/4$ . . . . .	166
5.5.25	7-ring preform with $\Lambda = 2$ mm, $d/\Lambda = 0.5$ and $R = 15$ mm. .	167
5.5.26	Evolution of the 7-ring preform geometry with $\Lambda = 2$ mm, $d/\Lambda = 0.5$ and $R = 15$ mm, scaled to have unit area, at reduced times $\tau = 0.005, 0.01, 0.015$ and $0.02$ computed by the elliptical pore model. . . . .	168
5.5.27	Evolution of 7-ring preform geometries for $R = 15$ mm at reduced time $\tau = 0.02$ computed by the elliptical pore model for $d/\Lambda = 2/3$ and $10/17$ . . . . .	169
6.2.1	Sketch of the Eulerian and Lagrangian axial co-ordinates for a stretching fluid cylinder. . . . .	179
6.3.2	Area of a solid axisymmetric rod with $S_0(\xi) = 1$ and $\gamma^* = 0.1$ shown at times $t = 0, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2$ and $2.5$ . . . . .	191
6.3.3	Fixed point $\xi_f$ for a solid axisymmetric rod as a function of $\gamma^*$ for the case $c \equiv 0$ . . . . .	192
6.3.4	Epicycloid used as an initial cross section geometry. . . . .	194

6.3.5	Areas corresponding to the ZST–WST solution, and the full problem for each of the rod and epicycloid. . . . .	196
6.3.6	Cross section at $\xi = 0.5$ and $t = 2$ for the stretching circular cylinder. . . . .	197
6.3.7	Cross section at $\xi = 0.5$ for the stretching cylinder with initial cross sections in the shape of an epicycloid. . . . .	198
6.3.8	Comparison between the solutions to the transverse (scaled) problem for an epicycloid with $\gamma^* = 10^{-1}$ . . . . .	200
6.4.9	Schematic showing the set-up for the annular tube experiment.	202
6.4.10	Area plotted against Lagrangian position for a tube. . . . .	205
6.4.11	Aspect ratios of the annuli from the transverse problem at $t = 1$ for initial values $\phi_0 = 0.2, 0.3, \dots, 0.9$ . . . . .	206
6.4.12	Comparison of the dimensionless inner and outer radii from the transverse flow model for the stretching annular tube. . . . .	209
6.4.13	Comparison of the aspect ratio for the stretching annular tube problem. . . . .	210
6.4.14	Initial configuration for the three-channel cylinder. . . . .	211
6.4.15	Comparison between the experiments and model for the 3-hole cylinder. . . . .	213
7.2.1	Schematic of a typical extrusion die design. . . . .	220
7.2.2	Sketch of a die and extruded preform, and a photo of the end of an extruded preform. . . . .	222
7.2.3	Cross section through a circular die showing extrudate swell.	223
7.3.4	Illustration of the Eulerian and Lagrangian co-ordinates for an extruded fluid cylinder. . . . .	228

7.4.5	Areas corresponding to the ZST–WST solution and the full problem for an extruded rod and epicycloid. . . . .	236
7.5.6	Deformation errors plotted against the dimensionless surface tension for annuli with initial aspect ratios $\phi = 0.3, 0.5$ and $0.7$ . . . . .	239
7.6.7	Extruded 3-hole preform with cross sections at three values of $\eta$ . . . . .	245
7.6.8	Area and reduced time plotted against position for the extruded 3-hole preform. . . . .	246
7.6.9	Extruded 7-hole preform with cross sections at three values of $\eta$ . . . . .	247
7.6.10	Area and reduced time plotted against position $\eta$ for the extruded 7-hole preform. . . . .	248
7.6.11	Extruded 7-ring preform with cross sections at three values of $\eta$ . . . . .	250
7.6.12	Area and reduced time plotted against position $\eta$ for the extruded 7-ring preform. . . . .	251
7.6.13	Value of $M(\eta, t)$ for five values of $\eta$ between 0 and $t_f$ . . . . .	254
7.6.14	Area and reduced time for the cooling 3-hole preform at times $t = t_f, t_e$ and $t_a$ . . . . .	256
7.7.15	Points at which pinch-off occurs plotted against dimensionless surface tension for a rod and an epicycloid. . . . .	260
8.2.1	Conformal map from a circle domain to a pair of coalescing tubes. . . . .	267



8.2.2	Truncation error plotted against the number of non-zero terms for a conformal map describing two coalescing tubes with $r = 0.2$ . . . . .	272
8.2.3	Inner boundary error plotted against the radius for a conformal map describing two coalescing tubes with 100 non-zero terms. . . . .	273
8.2.4	Comparison of the exact geometry and the computed map for two tubes with inner radii 0.2 and 0.8. . . . .	274
8.2.5	Coalescence of two stretching tubes each having unit outer radius and inner radius 0.2 with cross sections at three values of $\xi$ . . . . .	276
8.2.6	Area and reduced time plotted against Lagrangian position $\xi$ for the coalescence of two tubes with unit outer radius and inner radius 0.2. . . . .	277
8.2.7	Illustration of a conformal map from a circle domain to three coalescing tubes. . . . .	279
8.2.8	Truncation error plotted against the number of non-zero terms in a conformal map describing three coalescing tubes with inner radii 0.2 and $a = 1.4$ . . . . .	283
8.2.9	Inner boundary error plotted against the radius for a conformal map describing three coalescing tubes with 35 non-zero terms. . . . .	284
8.2.10	Comparison of the exact geometry and the computed map for three tubes with 35 non-zero terms and $a = 1.4$ for inner radii 0.2 and 0.8. . . . .	285

8.2.11	Areas of the medial hole and the lateral holes from the transverse problem corresponding to three coalescing tubes with inner radius 0.2, unit outer radius and $a = 1.4$ . . . . .	286
8.2.12	Areas of the medial and lateral holes along the length of three coalescing tubes. . . . .	288
8.3.13	Section from an extruded 3-hole preform that was at the die exit when the material solidified. . . . .	290
8.3.14	Illustration of a conformal map from a circle domain to the deformed 3-hole preform domain. . . . .	292
8.3.15	Errors for the deformed 3-hole preform maps. . . . .	296
8.3.16	Comparison between the exact geometry and the computed map for the deformed 3-hole preform. . . . .	297
8.3.17	Geometry of the deformed 3-hole preform with cross sections shown at three values of $\eta$ . . . . .	301
8.3.18	Area and reduced time for the deformed 3-hole preform. . .	302
8.3.19	Comparison between the geometry at the end of the 3-hole preform and the deformed 3-hole preform. . . . .	303
8.4.20	Welding chamber axial flow for the 3-hole die. . . . .	307
8.4.21	Welding chamber axial flow for the 7-hole die. . . . .	308
8.4.22	Welding chamber axial flow for the 7-ring die. . . . .	309
8.4.23	Axial velocity for the 3-hole, 7-hole and 7-ring dies along the non-negative portions of the $x$ - and $y$ -axes. . . . .	311
C.1	Schematic of the drop after stretching under gravity. . . . .	332
C.2	Initial shape of the cylinder used in the stretching tube experiment. . . . .	332

# List of Tables

2.2.1 Glass reference viscosities. . . . .	20
2.2.2 Temperatures in °C corresponding to reference viscosities for some common optical glasses. . . . .	20
2.2.3 VFTH equation coefficients for F2 glass. . . . .	21
2.2.4 Typical densities and coefficients of thermal expansion for some common optical glasses. . . . .	25
2.2.5 Surface tension coefficients for some common optical glasses. . .	28
3.3.1 Important physical parameters and scales used in the models for the gravitational stretching and extrusion of a fluid cylinder. . .	43
5.2.1 Dimensions of the 3-hole preform. . . . .	125
5.2.2 Dimensions of the 6- and 7-hole preforms. . . . .	132
6.2.1 Typical parameters values for the gravitational stretching and extrusion of a fluid cylinder. . . . .	177
7.3.1 Typical parameter values for extrusion. . . . .	224
7.6.2 Parameter values used for the extrusion examples. . . . .	242
7.6.3 Area scales, axial length scales and dimensionless parameters used for the extrusion examples. . . . .	243

8.2.1 Area scale, axial length scale and dimensionless parameters for two coalescing tubes. . . . .	275
8.2.2 Area scale, axial length scale and dimensionless parameters for three coalescing tubes. . . . .	287
8.3.3 Parameter values for the deformed 3-hole preform map. . . . .	294
8.3.4 Area scale, axial length scale and dimensionless parameters for the deformed-preform extrusion example. . . . .	299
C.1 Physical parameters for the stretching tube experiment. . . . .	330
C.2 Data recorded from the stretching tube experiment. . . . .	331
C.3 Data recorded from the three-channel stretching experiment. . . . .	334