

The influence of Cabernet Sauvignon grape maturity on the concentration and extraction of colour and phenolic compounds in wine

Cynthia C. Yonker

A thesis submitted for fulfillment of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy at the University of Adelaide
School of Agriculture, Food and Wine

September 2012

Table of Contents

Summary.....	vi
Declaration.....	viii
Statement of the contributions of jointly authored papers.....	ix
Acknowledgements.....	xi
Abbreviations.....	xiii
List of Figures and Tables.....	xvi
1 Introduction.....	1
1.1 Introduction.....	2
1.2 General description of phenolic compounds in grapes and wines.....	4
1.2.1 Nonflavonoids.....	5
1.2.2 Flavonoids.....	6
1.2.3 Phenolic composition and distribution of grape seeds, skins and pulp.....	14
1.2.4 Changes in phenolic composition during grape berry ripening and extended maturation.....	17
1.2.5 Varietal differences in phenolic composition.....	20
1.2.6 Impact of viticultural practices on grape and wine phenolics.....	21
1.2.7 Phenolic compositional differences between grapes and wine.....	23
1.3 Role of phenolic substances in grape and wine quality.....	24

1.3.1	Sensory properties of grape and wine phenolic compounds	24
1.3.2	Extraction of phenolic compounds during red winemaking	27
1.3.3	Influence of fermentation parameters and winemaking practices on the extraction of phenolic compounds	31
1.3.4	Influence of grape maturity on the extraction of phenolic compounds during winemaking	36
1.3.5	Use of water to assist fermentation	39
1.4	Proposed Research	41
2	Fruit maturity influences the concentration, but not the extraction, of berry polyphenol compounds into Cabernet Sauvignon (<i>Vitis vinifera</i> L.) wines	43
2.1	Abstract	46
2.2	Introduction	47
2.3	Materials and Methods	51
2.3.1	Vineyard	51
2.3.2	Vineyard experimental design	52
2.3.3	Sample collection and basic analysis	53
2.3.4	Chemical analysis	53
2.3.5	Winemaking	55
2.3.6	Wine analysis	59
2.3.7	Statistics	59
2.4	Results	60

2.4.1	Berry development	60
2.4.2	Wine metrics	61
2.4.3	Polyphenolic compounds	65
2.4.4	Wine colour metrics.....	74
2.5	Discussion.....	77
2.5.1	Extraction of phenolic compounds from grapes into wine	79
2.5.2	Influence of extended maturation	85
2.5.3	Industry relevance.....	86
2.6	Conclusions.....	88
2.7	Acknowledgements	88
3	Impact of fruit maturity on polyphenol compounds in Cabernet Sauvignon (<i>Vitis vinifera</i> L.) wine and correlations to sensory perception.....	89
3.1	Abstract.....	92
3.2	Introduction	93
3.3	Materials and Methods	97
3.3.1	Vineyard	98
3.3.2	Vineyard experimental design	98
3.3.3	Sample collection and basic analysis	99
3.3.4	Winemaking	99
3.3.5	Wine analysis	100

3.3.6	Wine sensory.....	102
3.3.7	Statistics.....	108
3.4	Results.....	108
3.4.1	Principal component analysis.....	108
3.5	Discussion.....	119
3.5.1	Flavonoids.....	122
3.5.2	Non-flavonoids.....	126
3.5.3	Other chemical metrics.....	127
3.5.4	Correlations between sensory metrics.....	128
3.6	Conclusions.....	128
3.7	Acknowledgements.....	129
4	Water addition to facilitate fermentation: Impacts on the concentration of polyphenolic compounds and sensory properties of wines.....	131
4.1	Abstract.....	134
4.2	Introduction.....	135
4.3	Materials and Methods.....	139
4.3.1	Vineyard.....	139
4.3.2	Data collection.....	139
4.3.3	Winemaking.....	140
4.3.4	Wine analysis.....	145

4.3.5	Wine sensory	148
4.3.6	Statistics.....	154
4.4	Results	154
4.4.1	Chardonnay.....	154
4.4.2	Zinfandel	160
4.5	Discussion.....	171
4.5.1	Chardonnay.....	172
4.5.2	Zinfandel	174
4.6	Conclusions.....	181
4.7	Acknowledgements	182
5	Conclusions.....	183
5.1	Introduction	184
5.2	Summary of research outcomes.....	186
5.2.1	Phenolic extraction.....	186
5.2.2	Sensory correlations with chemistry	189
5.2.3	Pre-fermentation adjustment of high TSS must.....	190
5.3	Future research.....	194
5.4	Conclusions.....	195
6	Bibliography.....	196

Summary

Extended maturation of wine grapes is employed to achieve optimum berry flavour development and phenolic maturity for the desired wine style. While it has been suggested that fruit maturity may also influence the extraction efficiency of colour and mouthfeel compounds from grapes into wine during processing, this has not been thoroughly evaluated.

One aim of this research was to determine the impact of grape harvest date on the colour metrics and phenolic compounds in wines made from grapes harvested beyond historic or traditional maturity levels. To investigate this, berry phenolic composition and concentration were measured over two seasons (2008 and 2009) throughout post-veraison maturity of *Vitis vinifera* L. cv. Cabernet Sauvignon grapes, along with the composition and concentration of colour and phenolics in the wines produced from these grapes.

The data did not support the notion of increased extractability of phenolic compounds with grape maturity. However, the relative wine phenolic concentrations themselves might be more commercially relevant than extractability. Based on the 2008 grape and wine phenolic data, concentrations in wine appeared directly related to the grape concentrations. Unfortunately, the trends were not as clear in 2009. Grape malvidin-3-glucoside and polymeric tannin concentrations increased with ripening and the wine concentrations trended similarly. Grape caftaric acid, catechin, epicatechin, and B2 dimer concentrations declined with ripening, and this was reflected in their concentrations in the wine.

Phenolic compounds were measured as they are known to provide colour, astringency and bitterness to wines. Descriptive analysis was performed in order to determine how grape ripeness affected the wines made from these grapes. Principal component analysis of the sensory data differentiated the wines by harvest week; however, the phenolic compounds measured did not fully explain the changes in wine sensory properties. Prediction models of sensory attributes describing colour and astringency were reasonable in 2008, but not 2009. This was likely due to the weaker chemical concentration trends in 2009. Additional metrics are likely needed to explain the complex nature of the wine.

Harvesting grapes at higher maturities also results in increased alcohol concentrations in the resulting wines. This can result in wines which possess undesirable sensory aspects such as excessive alcohol, as well as stuck fermentations due to alcohol inhibition of yeast growth. In some cases, incoming must may be diluted with water to adjust the final alcohol content of the wine to approximately 14% (v/v). To test the impact of dilution, wines were made from Chardonnay and Zinfandel grapes harvested at high sugar levels. The pre-fermentation sugar concentrations were lowered with water or dealcoholized wine, and compared to wines made with no sugar adjustment. The concentration of both the phenolic and aroma compounds of these wines was assessed and correlated to sensory data. Using PCA, the Chardonnay control wines were separated from the treatment wines based on phenolic chemistry and descriptive analysis, but the aroma compound concentrations were not diluted by the water or dealcoholized wine addition. In Zinfandel, PCA of the phenolic compound concentrations did not separate the control and water added treatment; however, the aromas were more similar between the control and dealcoholized wine treatment. Sensorially, the Zinfandel control wines could be separated from the treatments, which also differed from one another.

Declaration

I, Cynthia C. Yonker (Cyd), certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief contains no material previously published or written by another person except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan or photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

_____ Date _____

Statement of the contributions of jointly authored papers

1. Yonker, C.C., Ford, C.M., Dry, P.R., Dokoozlian, N.K. Fruit maturity influences the extraction of berry polyphenol compounds into Cabernet Sauvignon (*Vitis vinifera* L.) wines. Manuscript in preparation for submission to *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research*.

Author Contributions: CCY produced wines, conducted HPLC analysis, analysed the data and drafted/constructed the manuscript. CMF, PRD and NKD contributed to the research ideas and the editing of the manuscript.

2. Yonker, C.C., Bastian, S.E.P., Ford, C.M., Johnson, T.E., Dokoozlian, N.K. Impact of fruit maturity on polyphenol compounds in Cabernet Sauvignon (*Vitis vinifera* L.) wines and correlations to sensory perception. Manuscript in preparation for submission to *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research*.

Author Contributions: CCY produced wines, conducted HPLC analysis, assisted with sensory panel, analysed the data, and drafted/constructed the manuscript. SEPB and TEJ conducted wine sensory analysis. SEPB, CMF and NKD contributed to the research ideas and the editing of the manuscript. TEJ led descriptive analysis panel and assisted with sensory data analysis and editing of the manuscript.

3. Yonker, C.C., Ford, C.M., Bastian, S.E.P., Johnson, T.E., Dokoozlian, N.K. Impacts of water addition to facilitate fermentation on the concentration of polyphenolic compounds and sensory properties of wines. Manuscript in preparation for submission to *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*.

Author Contributions: CCY produced wines, conducted HPLC analysis, assisted with sensory panel, analysed the data, and drafted/constructed the manuscript. SEPB and TEJ conducted wine sensory analysis. SEPB, CMF and NKD contributed to the research ideas and the editing of the manuscript. TEJ led descriptive analysis panel and assisted with sensory data analysis and editing of the manuscript.

The following authors agree that the Statement of the contributions of jointly authored papers accurately describes their contribution to research manuscripts 1, 2, and 3 and give consent to their inclusion in this thesis.

..... Yonker, C.C.

..... Ford, C.M.

..... Dokoozlian, N.K.

..... Bastian, S.E.P.

..... Dry, P.R.

..... Johnson, T.E.

Acknowledgements

I wish to acknowledge my supervisors: Dr. Chris Ford, Dr. Nick Dokoozlian, Dr. Sue Bastian, and Dr. Peter Dry. I am grateful for the opportunity to work with and to learn from each of them. I wish to thank them all for discussions regarding my work and for editing my thesis drafts. I wish to thank Chris for having faith that a remote candidature could work and for guiding me through the process. I wish to thank Nick for enabling me to complete a PhD while maintaining a full-time career and for his support throughout the process.

I wish to thank my Gallo colleagues for providing advice and assistance with my research. The cross-collaborative efforts made this research possible. I would like to acknowledge Robert Sui for his infinite patience teaching me to run the HPLC and to integrate the data; Phil Chou for drawing the chemical figures in chapter 1 and acting as a sounding board for ideas; and Mike Cleary for critical review of my data. I wish to thank the Research Winery staff and interns who made it possible to produce and package the 123 wine lots included in this research. These members include Dave Santino, Steven Kukesh, Chad Clausen, Mike Owens, Garrett Cosenza, and Susana Rodriguez-Vasquez. I also wish to acknowledge David Vasquez, Guillaume Lion, and viticulture interns for the vineyard plot establishment, monitoring, and harvesting.

I wish to acknowledge Trent Johnson, Luke Johnston, and Rachel Prescott for their assistance with the sensory analysis. I also wish to thank the DA panelists for assessing so many un-oaked, non-MLF'd, and sometimes high alcohol wines.

I wish to thank all my lab mates for their camaraderie. Special thanks to Vanessa Melino, Crista Burbidge, Crystal Sweetman, and Trent Johnson for inviting me to social outings with their friends and families, welcoming me into their homes, generally providing me with something else to do other than work 'like an American', and thereby making Adelaide a second home.

I wish to acknowledge my family for their support through the PhD process. Thank you to my mother Dr. Catherine B Yonker for setting an example by completing a PhD after years away from school and for always encouraging me to play with my food. I also wish to thank my father Ron and stepmother Ann for the many wine tasting trips that got me interested in the wine industry.

Finally, I wish to thank my friends near and far who have supported me through four years of life split between hemispheres. I wish to thank Alison for her hospitality and the ability to enjoy the Barossa. I also wish to thank Angie for listening to all my trials and triumphs. Special thanks go to Gary for his patience, encouragement, and understanding when I needed to work on my research rather than spend time with him.

Abbreviations

Abs420	absorbance at 420nm
Abs520	absorbance at 520nm
ANOVA	analysis of variance
AU	absorbance units
AWRI	Australian Wine Research Institute
BSA	berry sensory analysis
Con	experimental control (Chapter 4)
CRP	caftaric reaction product
DA	descriptive analysis
DAL	dealcoholized wine added to must pre-fermentation (Chapter 4)
DAP	diammonium phosphate
DE	diatomaceous earth
EI	extractability index
ETc	estimated daily water requirement
ETo	daily reference evapotranspiration value
FTIR	Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy
GAE	gallic acid equivalent

GC-MS	gas chromatography-mass spectrometry
GRP	grape reaction product
HPLC	high performance liquid chromatography
Hunter a	colour measured from green (-) to red (+)
Hunter b	colour measured from blue (-) yellow (+)
Hunter L	colour measured from black (0) to white/clear (100)
ITV	Institute Technique de la Vigne et du Vin
Kc	seasonal crop coefficient
LSD	least significant difference
mDP	mean degree of polymerization
MFA	multiple factor analysis
PB	dealcoholized wine added post-fermentation (Chapter 4)
PCA	principal component analysis
PLS	partial least squares
PLSR	partial least squares regression
RS	reducing sugar
SA	saignee followed by water addition to must pre-fermentation (Chapter 4)
SPME	solid phase micro-extraction

TA	titratable acidity
TSS	total soluble solids
UV	ultraviolet
VA	volatile acidity
WA	water added to must pre-fermentation (chapter 4)
YAN	yeast assimilable nitrogen

List of Figures and Tables

Figure 1.1 General phenolic structure	5
Figure 1.2 Nonflavonoid structures - caftaric acid, left; gallic acid, right	6
Figure 1.3 General flavonoid structure	7
Figure 1.4 Anthocyanidin structure (Malvidin).....	8
Figure 1.5 Anthocyanin structure (Malvidin-3-glucoside).....	9
Figure 1.6 Four flavanol monomers commonly found in grape berries.....	11
Figure 1.7 General condensed tannin structure (Polymeric tannin).....	13
Figure 1.8 Quercetin glycoside structure	13
Figure 1.9 Location of phenolic compounds in the grape berry	15
Figure 1.10 Berry formation and ripening and the associated biosynthesis of phenolic compounds (Adapted from Herderich et al., 2005). The solid line is the berry weight.....	19
Figure 1.11 Percent skin and seed tannin extracted during maceration	28
Figure 1.12 Location and extractability of grape phenolic compounds	29
Figure 2.1 Average total soluble solids at harvest for California Cabernet Sauvignon grapes.....	50
Figure 2.2 Representative HPLC chromatogram including identified peaks	56
Figure 2.3 Degree days by month in Lodi, CA, in 2008 and 2009	62
Figure 2.4 Influence of grape maturity on malvidin-3-glucoside concentration in grape, concentration in wine, and total grape malvidin-3-glucoside extracted into wine of Cabernet Sauvignon grapes in 2008 and 2009	66
Figure 2.5 Influence of grape maturity on quercetin glycoside concentration in grape, concentration in wine, and total grape quercetin glycoside extracted into wine of Cabernet Sauvignon grapes in 2008 and 2009	67

Figure 2.6 Influence of grape maturity on catechin concentration in grape, concentration in wine, and total grape catechin extracted into wine of Cabernet Sauvignon grapes in 2008 and 2009	69
Figure 2.7 Influence of grape maturity on epicatechin concentration in grape, concentration in wine, and total grape epicatechin extracted into wine of Cabernet Sauvignon grapes in 2008 and 2009.....	70
Figure 2.8 Influence of grape maturity on B2 dimer concentration in grape, concentration in wine, and total grape B2 dimer extracted into wine of Cabernet Sauvignon grapes in 2008 and 2009	72
Figure 2.9 Influence of grape maturity on polymeric tannin concentration in grape, concentration in wine, and total grape polymeric tannin extracted into wine of Cabernet Sauvignon grapes in 2008 and 2009	73
Figure 2.10 Influence of grape maturity on caftaric acid concentration in grape, concentration in wine, and total grape caftaric acid extracted into wine of Cabernet Sauvignon grapes in 2008 and 2009....	75
Figure 2.11 Influence of grape maturity on wine color measured as Absorbance at 420 nm, Absorbance at 520 nm, and Intensity of Cabernet Sauvignon grapes in 2008 and 2009	76
Figure 2.12 Location and extractability of grape phenolic compounds	81
Figure 3.1 Principal Component Analysis of 2008 significant sensory attributes ($p < 0.1$)	110
Figure 3.2 Principal Component Analysis of 2009 significant sensory attributes ($p < 0.1$)	112
Figure 3.3 Principal Component Analysis of 2008 sensory taste and mouthfeel attributes ($p < 0.1$)...	116
Figure 3.4 Principal Component Analysis of 2009 sensory taste and mouthfeel attributes ($p < 0.1$).....	118
Figure 3.5 Partial least squares regression standardized coefficients of 2008 mouthfeel attributes modeled on composition variables ($n=8$).....	120
Figure 3.6 Partial least squares regression standardized coefficients of 2009 mouthfeel attributes modeled on composition variables ($n=8$).....	121
Figure 4.1 Principle component analysis of significant Chardonnay wine sensory attributes ($p < 0.1$)	159

Figure 4.2 Multiple factor analysis of significant Chardonnay wine sensory attributes ($p < 0.1$) and chemistry ($p < 0.05$).....	161
Figure 4.3 Soluble solids for Zinfandel must after crushing	162
Figure 4.4 Principle component analysis of significant Zinfandel wine sensory attributes ($p < 0.1$).....	167
Figure 4.5 Multiple factor analysis of significant Zinfandel wine sensory attributes ($p < 0.1$) and chemistry ($p < 0.05$).....	169
Table 1.1 Average total phenol and anthocyanin content of the fruit of different grape varieties in the south of France	21
Table 2.1 Harvest date and some compositional parameters for Cabernet Sauvignon grapes in 2008 and 2009	63
Table 2.2 Initial must °Brix, water additions by volume, sugar additions by weight, time to press and final alcohol levels for Cabernet Sauvignon wines produced in 2008 and 2009	64
Table 2.1 2008 Descriptive analysis attributes and definitions	105
Table 3.2 2009 Descriptive analysis attributes and definitions	106
Table 3.3 Pearson (n-1) correlation matrix for 2008 sensory and chemistry data.....	111
Table 3.4 Pearson (n-1) correlation matrix for 2009 sensory and chemistry data.....	115
Table 4.1 Compositional parameters for Chardonnay juice before and after additions	141
Table 4.2 Compositional parameters for Zinfandel must before and after additions.....	142
Table 4.3 Compositional parameters for Chardonnay and Zinfandel dealcoholized wine	143
Table 4.4 Wine aroma by GC-MS. Calibration validation results.....	149
Table 4.5 Descriptive analysis panel attribute terms, definitions, and reference standards for Chardonnay wines.....	151
Table 4.6 Descriptive analysis panel attribute terms, definitions, and reference standards for Zinfandel wines	152

Table 4.7 Compositional parameters for Chardonnay wines.....	156
Table 4.8 Aroma compounds of Chardonnay wines subject to three pre-fermentation and one post-fermentation treatments	158
Table 4.9 Compositional parameters for Zinfandel wines.....	165
Table 4.10 Aroma compounds of Zinfandel wines subject to four pre-fermentation treatments	166