

Muscle strength in adults with spinal cord injury: A systematic review of manual muscle testing, isokinetic and hand held dynamometry clinimetrics.

Master of Clinical Science
February 2014
Kerry Peek BSc (Hons), PG Cert.
Student number a1642099
The Joanna Briggs Institute,
School of Translational Health Science,
Faculty of Health Sciences,
The University of Adelaide,
Level 1, 115 Grenfell Street,
SA. 5005.
kerry.peek@adelaide.edu.au

Secondary reviewer:

Prapaphan Pensuk RN, B.N.S., M.N.S

The Joanna Briggs Institute,
School of Translational Health Science,
Faculty of Health Sciences,
The University of Adelaide,
SA. 5005.

prapaphan.pensuk@adelaide.edu.au

Supervisors:

Dr Suzanne Robertson-Malt, BHSc (Hons), PhD

The Joanna Briggs Institute,
School of Translational Health Science,
Faculty of Health Sciences,
The University of Adelaide,
SA. 5005.

suzanne.robertson-malt@adelaide.edu.au

Dr Zachary Munn, PhD, GradDipHlthSci, BMedRad

The Joanna Briggs Institute,
School of Translational Health Science,
Faculty of Health Sciences,
The University of Adelaide,
SA. 5005.

zachary.@adelaide.edu.au

Additional support:

Dr Catalin Tufanaru, MD, MPH

The Joanna Briggs Institute,
School of Translational Health Science,
Faculty of Health Sciences,
The University of Adelaide,
SA. 5005.

catalin.tufanaru@adelaide.edu.au

Table of Contents

Title page: Muscle strength in adults with spinal cord injury: A systematic review of manual muscle testing, isokinetic and hand held dynamometry clinimetrics	1
Supervisors	2
Contents	3
Abstract	5
Student Declaration Statement	6
Acknowledgements	7
Chapter 1: Introduction	8
Context of the review	8
Spinal Cord Injury	8
Muscle strength	9
Muscle strength assessment	10
Methods for conducting muscle strength assessments	11
Clinimetrics	12
Statement of review question	16
Overview of the science of evidence synthesis	17
Methodological issues, critical appraisal, data extraction and data synthesis	18
Definition of terms	20
Chapter 2: Methods	22
Review objective	22
Criteria for considering studies for this review	23
<i>Types of studies</i>	23
<i>Types of participants</i>	23
<i>Focus of the review</i>	23
<i>Outcome measures</i>	23
Review methods	24
<i>Search strategy</i>	24
<i>Assessment of methodological quality</i>	24
<i>Data extraction</i>	25
<i>Data synthesis</i>	25
Chapter 3: Results	26
Description of studies	26
Review findings/ results	29
Reliability	33
<i>Kappa</i>	33
<i>Intraclass correlation coefficient</i>	34
<i>Confidence intervals</i>	35
<i>Manual muscle testing</i>	35
<i>Isokinetic dynamometry</i>	38

<i>Hand held dynamometry</i>	40
Validity	44
<i>Pearson product moment correlation coefficient</i>	44
<i>Spearman rank correlation coefficient</i>	44
<i>t-test</i>	44
<i>p-value</i>	44
Responsiveness	49
Interpretability	50
Key findings	52
Hand held dynamometry	55
Chapter 4: Discussion	58
Methodological quality	58
Clinimetrics	58
Reliability	59
Validity and Responsiveness	61
Interpretability	63
Additional Variables	64
Hand held dynamometry	66
Assumptions	69
Limitations	69
Delimitations	69
Chapter 5: Conclusions	71
Implications for practice	71
Implications for research	72
General conclusion	73
References	76
Appendix I Data Extraction	79
Appendix II COSMIN tool for methodological quality	80
Appendix III Search Strategy	96
Appendix IV Included studies	97
Appendix V Excluded studies	120

Abstract

Objectives

The objectives of this systematic review were to synthesise the best available evidence regarding the clinimetrics for manual muscle testing (MMT), isokinetic dynamometry (ID) and hand held dynamometry (HHD) in the assessment of muscle strength in adults with spinal cord injury (SCI) and determine whether there is research evidence to recommend HHD as the standard tool for measuring muscle strength in adults with SCI.

Inclusion Criteria:

Only studies related to adults with SCI and MMT and/or ID and/or HHD were included.

Search Strategy

The search sought to identify any relevant English language published or unpublished studies via a three step search strategy.

Methodological quality

Two independent reviewers assessed the methodological quality of the studies using the quality evaluation tool consensus-based standards for the selection of health status instruments (COSMIN).

Data collection

An original data extraction form was developed to extract quantitative data from the included studies.

Data synthesis

It was not appropriate to conduct a meta-analysis due to the heterogeneity of the included studies. Therefore, the results are presented in narrative text including raw data as presented in the included studies as well as the contextual data.

Results

Eleven studies met the inclusion criteria of this systematic review. The results demonstrated that MMT showed varying inter-tester reliability over 10 muscle groups tested, ID demonstrated good reliability for the shoulder but not the elbow, HHD showed good reliability and validity for the upper limb and trunk, as well as good results for responsiveness and interpretability. Positive correlations were seen between MMT, ID and HHD particularly at the lower MMT grades. However, change in muscle strength scores seen on ID and HHD testing were not always correlated with changes in MMT grade. Significant overlapping of scores was seen between MMT and HHD particularly for grades 4 and 5 with MMT unable to detect subtle changes in muscle strength compared with dynamometry.

Conclusions

In conclusion, when considering the clinimetrics of the 3 methods for assessing muscle strength in adults with SCI there does appear to be support in the literature to recommend the wider application of HHD compared with MMT and ID.

Student Declaration Statement

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give my permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Signed:

Kerry Peek

Dated:

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my supervisors, Dr Robertson-Malt and Dr Munn, for their support and advice during my Master's candidature. A special thank you to Dr Catalin Tufunaru for his invaluable assistance.

My secondary reviewer, Prapaphan Pensuk, for her assistance with methodological quality assessment, reassurance and time.

My family, Brendan, Harry and Charlie, who encouraged me and gave me time and support to get on with it!