

Delivery of soil survey information to non-soil specialists to support land management by means of special purpose classifications and conceptual toposequence models

Gerard John Grealish

2015

A thesis presented in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

At

School of Earth and Environmental Sciences

The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia



Thesis Declaration Statement

I certify that this work contains no material, which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name, in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name, for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of The University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

The author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library Search and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Gerard Grealish

Date

Abstract

The link between soil information and good decisions about land use and management, or even the recognition that a decision is needed and that soil information can play a role, needs to be improved. The link between soil information and applying it is critical to maximise the use of the soil resource in a sustainable way.

Good management decisions require correct and understandable soil information for a location; confusing and inappropriate data can lead to suboptimal practices. Uncertainty about appropriate management arises because soils are highly variable both spatially (horizontally and vertically) and temporally. To support land management decisions that are generally made by non-soil specialists, information about the soil needs to be delivered in a format that they can understand, afford and apply.

The aim of this work was to deliver soil information to improve land management by development of an approach to convey soil survey information by means of special purpose soil classifications and conceptual toposequence models. The approach is presented to: (i) salvage and reinterpret valuable soil survey legacy data from the plethora of detailed published soil survey technical reports and their numerous appendices of quantitative and qualitative data for future science and (ii) deliver complex or intricate soil survey information to non-soil specialists using a vocabulary and diagrams that they can understand.

This was achieved by re-interpreting soil survey data in a framework comprising special purpose soil classifications and conceptual toposequence models for specific geographic regions and/or practical applications. The process involved an experienced soil surveyor to acquire and interpret conventional soil data. Then to distil the highly technical soil survey information into a format for a non-soil specialist audience, by constructing simple but readily understandable descriptive conceptual toposequence models and to develop a soil identification key. The soil identification key honours the same international (or national) classification sequence but is constructed in plain language that non-technical people understand and can apply to determine soil types. Soil types classified using the special purpose soil classification systems are correlated to formal international and national soil classification systems allowing technical soil property data and land suitability evaluations to be applied.

To illustrate the wide applicability of this approach, case studies were conducted in three different parts of the world – Kuwait, Brunei, and Australia, each of which exhibit vastly different landscapes, climates, soil types and land use problems. The studies were driven by demands to

contribute to on-going projects, having a direct impact on current and significant investment decisions.

This thesis is submitted in the publication format through six papers as thesis chapters. The chapters and their objectives are as follows:

Chapter	Delivery objective	Location	Landscape Climate	Information is used for
2	The delivery approach	Diverse	Diverse <i>Diverse</i>	Overview of approach, conceptual toposequence models.
3	Improve food security	Brunei	Hill slopes <i>Tropical</i>	Recognition of soil types to guide suitable crop selection and their management.
4	Minimise impact on environment	Brunei	Flat <i>Tropical</i>	Recognition of acid sulfate soils for the first time here, allows options for management to be prepared.
5	Mitigate land degradation	Kuwait	Desert <i>Arid</i>	Rangeland restoration by targeting vegetation communities to soil types to improve success.
6	Maintain water quality	Australia	Wetlands <i>Mediterranean</i>	Distribution of acid sulfate soil to assist with wetland management, particularly during drought.
7	Rescue legacy soil survey information	Brunei, Kuwait, Australia	Diverse <i>Diverse</i>	Summarizes and describes findings.

The approach improved the delivery of soil information by addressing issues that included: communication - through the use of plain language and simple words; scale - using diagrams to mimic the landscape; identification of soils – by using readily recognisable observable soil features; technology transfer – by associating local soil types with national or international taxonomic soil classifications; and timely –achieved by reworking legacy soil survey data.

Uptake of the information to answer current questions is discussed in the case studies and confirmed the value of this approach for presenting soil survey information in a user friendly non-technical format that a non-soil specialist audience understood.

The approach developed and used is applicable to other locations throughout the world outside of: (i) Brunei, especially in tropical landscapes, (ii) Kuwait, especially in arid and semi-arid landscapes and (iii) Australian winter rainfall landscapes, especially in Mediterranean landscapes - in order to establish similar local classifications and conceptual models.

Acknowledgements

I wish to acknowledge the input from the following people and organisations that were important in enabling me to develop and present this thesis:

- Supervisor – Prof. Robert Fitzpatrick (University of Adelaide and CSIRO), who over many years prior to and during this PhD. study has provided guidance, support, encouragement, and unrelenting enthusiasm and passion; assisting me to improve as a pedologist.
- Co-supervisor – Dr. John Hutson (Flinders University), who provided review and guidance on all work conducted.
- Colleagues – Dr. Simon Cook and Dr. Anthony Ringrose-Voase, for providing valuable discussions over many years.
- Postgraduate Coordinators – Prof. Robert Reid and Dr. Cameron Grant who provided oversight of the work process and administrative guidance.
- Scholarship – Faculty of Sciences Divisional Scholarship for postgraduate study at the University of Adelaide, for providing financial support over 3 years.
- Conference attendance financial support – Australian Clay Minerals Society funds assisted with attendance at the 2010 World Congress for Soil Science and the ACMS Conference in Brisbane. Environment Agency Abu Dhabi and International Centre for Biosaline Agriculture funds assisted with attendance at the 2010 International Conference on Soil Classification and Reclamation of Degraded Lands in Arid Environments, in Abu Dhabi. Soil Science Australia WA Branch funds assisted with attendance at the 2012 Joint Australian and New Zealand Soils Conference in Hobart.
- Visitor Status – CSIRO Land and Water Adelaide, for providing equipment and logistic support that included a computer and access to library and office facilities.
- Organisations – who I have previously worked with/for, from which some data was drawn from to prepare case studies. These organisations are acknowledged where appropriate in the accompanying chapter papers and include: Murray-Darling Basin Authority (MDBA), Kuwait Institute for Scientific Research (KISR), Brunei Department of Agriculture, URS, AACM International and CSIRO Land and Water.

Table of Contents

Thesis Declaration Statement	i
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iv
Structure of this Thesis	xiii
Publications arising from this Thesis Research	xiv
CHAPTER 1	
Introduction	1
Soil information is important	1
How soil information is acquired	2
How soil information is used	3
Problems with the use of soil survey information	4
Decision maker requirements	6
Delivery of soil information, the issue that this Thesis addresses	7
Delivery of soil information, proposed approach developed in this Thesis	8
Aim	11
References	12
CHAPTER 2	
Conceptual soil-regolith toposequence models to support soil survey and land evaluation	17
Abstract	19
Introduction	
Methods	
Results and Discussion	
Case Study 1: Negara Brunei Darussalam	
Case Study 2: Lower Lakes, South Australia	
Conclusions	
References	
CHAPTER 3	
Assisting nonsoil specialists to identify soil types for land management: an approach using a soil identification key and toposequence models	29
Abstract	31
Introduction	
Identifying soils	
Soil location in the landscape	
Aim	
Method	
Case study area	
Field investigations and soil characterization	
Results	
Soils identified	
Toposequences	
Soil identification key	
Discussion	
Soil identification key	
Soil toposequences	
Assisting with land-use decisions	
Conclusions	

Acknowledgements
References

CHAPTER 4

Acid sulphate soil characterization in Negara Brunei Darussalam: a case study to inform management decisions 43

Abstract 45

Introduction

Background

What are acid sulphate soils?

Aim of the study

Method

Study area description

Survey approach and soil characterization

Laboratory analyses

Results

Soils identified

Soil information

Soil identification key

Hydro-toposequence of a sampled traverse

Brunei-Muara conceptual hydro-toposequence model

Belait conceptual hydro-toposequence model

Discussion

Conclusions

Acknowledgements

References

CHAPTER 5

Assisting non-soil experts to identify soil types for land management, to support restoration of arid rangeland native vegetation in Kuwait 59

Abstract 61

Introduction

Limitations of available data

Required information

Identifying soils

Soil location in the landscape

Aim

Method

Study area

Results

Vegetation communities and soils

Soil identification key

Toposequence models

Discussion

Soil identification key

Toposequence models

Conclusions

Acknowledgements

References

CHAPTER 6**Regional distribution of acid sulfate soils in wetlands during severe drought along the Lower River Murray, South Australia: A synthesis to support management**

79

Abstract

81

Introduction

Method

Sample collection and analysis

Data evaluation

Characterisation and classification

Wetland hazard rating

Results

Wetland physical characteristics

Acid sulfate soil materials

Soil classification

Wetland acid sulfate soil hazard rating

Surface water and water table

Soil surface condition

Analytical data summary

Distribution

Discussion

Soil materials

Local distribution

Regional distribution

Classification

Wetland acid sulfate soil management

Conclusions

Acknowledgements

References

CHAPTER 7**Synthesis, research outcomes, future work and conclusions**

93

Legacy soil survey data rescued by means of user friendly soil identification keys and toposequence models to deliver soil information for improved land management.

95

Abstract

Introduction

Soil information is important

How soil information is used

Delivery of legacy soil survey data

Method – presenting the approach

Conceptual soil toposequence models

Special purpose soil classification

Approach demonstrated through case studies

Results

Brunei acid sulfate soil case study

Brunei hill soil case study

Kuwait case study

Australia case study

Discussion

Conceptual toposequence models

Special purpose soil classification

Study outcomes
Stages of soil information delivery
Immediate uptake of information
Future work recommendations
Conclusions
Acknowledgements
References

APPENDIX

Conference papers

107

Toposequence conceptual models to support soil survey and land evaluation.
Soil fertility evaluation in Negara Brunei Darussalam.
Acid sulfate soil toposequences in wetlands of the Lower River Murray.
Wetland acid sulfate soil distribution between Blanchetown (Lock 1) and
Wellington, Murray River – is there a pattern to investigate?

List of Figures

CHAPTER 1

- Figure 1. How the approach fits with the problem of describing soil variability to enhance soil ecosystem services. 10
- Figure 2. Case study locations, occurring in different climates and landscapes. 12

CHAPTER 2

- Figure 7.1 Descriptive soil-regolith toposequence model with a soil identification key indicating the soil positions, and related crop suitability (From Grealish *et al.* 2007a)
- Figure 7.2 Soil type description, capability classification for crops and key soil attributes presented in field manuals for users who are not soil specialists (From Grealish *et al.* 2007a)
- Figure 7.3 Descriptive soil-regolith model for Loveday Bay in Lake Alexandrina showing various acid sulphate soil materials formed due to fluctuating water conditions (From Fitzpatrick *et al.* 2010; 2011)
- Figure 7.4 Predictive specific conceptual model for Loveday Bay in Lake Alexandrina showing changes of various acid sulphate soil materials with time due to fluctuating water conditions (From Fitzpatrick *et al.* 2010; 2011)

CHAPTER 3

- Figure 1. Study area locations.
- Figure 2. Photograph of a simple hillslope traverse in the Birau Penyelidikan study area. Chip-tray samples show soil colour and morphology trends with depth and slope position.
- Figure 3. Conceptual toposequence models showing landscape position and key soil identification features for the major soil types.
- Figure 4. Relationship between soil type and slope position, with each column representing a site showing their frequency. There are (a) 133 soil observations and (b) 39 observations displayed. Slope position is shown on the x axis with 1 = flat, 2 = toeslope, 3 = footslope, 4 = backslope, 5 = shoulder, 6 = summit.
- Figure 5. Summary of crop suitability presented according to soil and topographic position for the Temburong District.

CHAPTER 4

- Figure 1. Recently cleared land and excavated drains in the Betumpu area showing (a) good pineapple growth on the higher mound areas and stunted growth on the lower areas adjacent to the drains with precipitates of iron oxyhydroxysulphate minerals (schwertmannite) on the edges of the drain/wetland margins (pH 3.5-4.2) and (b) close-up view of a sulphuric horizon in spoil bank of a drain showing bright yellow jarosite mottles (pH 3.5) and clear reddish-coloured water in the drain (pH) with patches of oil-like bacterial surface films (from Fitzpatrick *et al.* 2008).
- Figure 2. Study area locations in Negara Brunei Darussalam.
- Figure 3. Chromium-reducible sulphur concentrations were greater in the deeper subsoil below the water table, and titratable actual acidity was generally more dominant in the upper soil layers.
- Figure 4. A cross-section linking soil sites along a traverse in the Betumpu area

showing the distribution of acid sulphate soil materials and soil features.

- Figure 5. Conceptual hydro-toposequences showing landscape position for the acid sulphate soil types in (a) Brunei-Muara District and (b) Belait District.

CHAPTER 5

- Figure 1. Soil distribution summary map at great group level (KISR, 1999).
Figure 2. Conceptual toposequence model for soils in southern Kuwait.
Figure 3. Conceptual toposequence model for soils in northern Kuwait.

CHAPTER 6

- Figure 1. Location of wetlands and their acidification hazard assessment rating.
Figure 2. Wetland area and distance along the river.
Figure 3. Wetland surface conditions - cracking clay and columnar structure (top photos), windblown sand encroaching and filling in crack spaces (middle photos), and surface aggregates breaking down and filling in the crack spaces (lower photos).
Figure 4. Concentrations at different depths from about 222 sites plotted against distance from the river mouth.
Figure 5. Acid base accounting concentrations for the 0 to 90 cm depth with sites grouped according to distance along the River Murray, showing the mean value with standard deviation.
Figure 6. Cross-sections showing the distribution of acid sulfate soil materials in Craignook (6a) and Teal Flat Hut (6b) wetlands, including Australian acid sulfate soil classification and corresponding Soil Taxonomy.
Figure 7. Contrasting landscapes of the upstream and downstream sections of the river, with photographs taken from the B position viewing across to the A position of the cross-section.

CHAPTER 7

- Figure 1. How the approach links soil data, providing soil information to enhance soil ecosystem services.
Figure 2. Case study locations.
Figure 3. Conceptual toposequence model showing landscape position and key soil identification features for the major soil types in Tutong District, Brunei. The soil classification provides the local descriptive soil name and the corresponding Soil Taxonomy class is bracketed (from Grealish & Fitzpatrick, 2014).
Figure 4. Summary of crop suitability presented according to soil type and topographic position for Temburong District, Brunei (from Grealish & Fitzpatrick, 2014).
Figure 5. Cross-section showing the distribution of acid sulfate soil materials at a local scale. The descriptive soil names are provided along with their approximate Soil Taxonomy class that is bracketed (from Grealish *et al.*, 2015). Photographs communicate location and soil characteristics.

List of Tables

CHAPTER 1

Table 1.	Case studies presented in each chapter addressing different questions, with different locations, landscape and climate.	10
----------	---	----

CHAPTER 3

Table 1.	Selected soils showing morphological characteristics, with the soil type in bold and Soil Taxonomy class in brackets
Table 2.	Soil chemical and physical characteristics
Table 3.	Soil identification key for the hillslope soils

CHAPTER 4

Table 1.	A portion of the Brunei soil identification key for the acid sulphate soils described in this paper.
Table 2.	Selected soils showing key morphology and laboratory data (soil profiles are ordered alphabetically)

CHAPTER 5

Table 1.	Soil map units of Kuwait and associated vegetation units (interpreted from KISR 1999 and Omar <i>et al.</i> 2001)
Table 2.	Soil data ranges for the typical soil classes derived from survey datasets and reports KISR (1999).
Table 3.	Soil identification key presented using a matrix with the presence of each soil feature required to determine the soil type.

Chapter 6

Table 1.	Acid sulfate soil Australian classes (MDBA, 2010) identified during the surveys, and the likely corresponding Soil Taxonomy class (Soil survey staff, 2014).
Table 2.	Summary statistics.

CHAPTER 7

Table 1.	List of case studies presented, which all have different objectives and occur in different locations with contrasting landscapes and climates.
Table 2.	Case studies and the progression from legacy soil survey data to journal paper providing solutions.
Table 3.	A portion of the Brunei soil identification key for the acid sulfate soils (modified from Grealish <i>et al.</i> , 2007). That shows the descriptive plain language soil subtype name and technical Soil Taxonomy class.
Table 4.	Soil identification key for Kuwait, presented using a matrix with the presence of each soil feature required to determine the soil type (from Grealish <i>et al.</i> , 2014).
Table 5.	Soil survey stages of data development.

This page intentionally left blank.

Structure of this Thesis

The University of Adelaide encourages the publication of papers during candidature and permits theses to be presented as a collection of published papers. This thesis is submitted in the publication format consisting of five papers and a book chapter (Chapters 2 to 7), plus four refereed and published conference proceedings papers included in the Appendix.

Chapter 1 provides an overview of the need for soil survey information, decision maker requirements, and what part of the soil information delivery this thesis addresses. The objectives and aims of this research are presented.

Chapter 2 provides an overview of the conceptual toposequence model approach, demonstrating how toposequence models describe, explain and predict soil variability in a range of complex landscapes and used to deliver soil information to non-technical users.

Chapter 3 describes the approach to aid the translation of soil survey information into a form suitable for a non soil specialist audience demonstrated with a case study from the hill country of Negara Brunei Darussalam. The soil types are linked to international soil classifications that allow information about crops and their management to be applied, thereby assisting with improving Brunei food security.

Chapter 4 describes for the first time formal recognition of a diverse range of acid sulfate soils in Brunei. Soils were characterised and conceptual soil hydro-toposequence models together with a soil identification key helped to visualize and illustrate the complexities in this low relief landscape. This assisted with targeting management practices towards these potentially hazardous soils.

Chapter 5 applies the approach presented in previous chapters to guide non-soil experts with identifying soil types in Kuwait. Supporting rangeland restoration program that targets the planting of vegetation communities according to identified soil types, assisting with mitigating land degradation.

Chapter 6 demonstrates the approach to provide an understanding of acid sulfate soil distribution for a large regional area of wetlands associated with the River Murray in South Australia, how to recognise these soils and their likely distribution. Supporting the prioritization of areas to be monitored and development of management plans to maintain wetland and river water quality.

Chapter 7 brings the findings from the case studies together, describing the generic approach, the benefits, limitations, possible improvements, and the philosophy underpinning the approach.

Publications arising from this Thesis Research

Included as Chapters forming part of the Thesis work (as senior author)

Grealish G.J., R.W. Fitzpatrick, P. King, S.A. Shahid. 2013. Conceptual Soil-regolith Toposequence Models to Support Soil Survey and Land Evaluation. In: S.A Shahid, F.K. Taha, M.A. Abdelfattah (Eds.), *Developments in Soil Classification, Land Use Planning and Policy Implications: Innovative Thinking of Soil Inventory for Land Use Planning and Management of Land Resources*. Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht. pp. 165-174. DOI: 10.1007/978-94-007-5332-7_7. Presented as Chapter 2 in this thesis.

Grealish G.J., R.W Fitzpatrick. 2014. Assisting nonsoil specialists to identify soil types for land management: an approach using a soil identification key and toposequence models. *Soil Use and Management* 30: 251-262. DOI: 10.1111/sum.12108. Presented as Chapter 3 in this thesis.

Grealish G.J., R.W. Fitzpatrick. 2013. Acid sulphate soil characterization in Negara Brunei Darussalam: a case study to inform management decisions. *Soil Use and Management* 29: 432-444. DOI: 10.1111/sum.12051. Presented as Chapter 4 in this thesis.

Grealish G.J., R.W. Fitzpatrick, S. Omar Asem. 2015. Assisting non-soil experts to identify soil types for land management to support restoration of arid rangeland native vegetation in Kuwait. *Arid Land Research and Management* 29:3, 285-305 DOI: 10.1080/15324982.2014.973620. Presented as Chapter 5 in this thesis.

Grealish G.J., R.W. Fitzpatrick, P. Shand. 2014. Regional distribution of acid sulfate soils in wetlands during severe drought along the Lower River Murray, South Australia: A synthesis to support management. *Geoderma Regional* 2-3: 60-71. DOI: 10.1016/j.geodrs.2014.10.003. Presented as Chapter 6 in this thesis.

Grealish G.J., R.W. Fitzpatrick, J.L. Hutson. 2015. Soil survey data rescued by means of user friendly soil identification keys and toposequence models to deliver soil information for improved land management. *GeoResJ*. 6: 81-91 Special Issue Rescuing Legacy Data for Future Science . DOI: 10.1016/j.grj.2015.02.006. Presented as Chapter 7 in this thesis.

Additional directly related conference proceedings papers refereed and published, included for reference in the appendix of this Thesis (as senior author)

Grealish G., R. Fitzpatrick, P. King, S. Shahid. 2010. Toposequence conceptual models to support soil survey and land evaluation. *International Conference on Soil Classification and Reclamation of Degraded Lands in Arid Environments*, 17-19 May, 2010. Abu Dhabi City, United Arab Emirates. ISCS 2010 Book of Abstracts pp. 12.

Grealish G., A. Ringrose-Voase, R.W. Fitzpatrick. 2010. Soil fertility evaluation in Negara Brunei Darussalam. In: R.J. Gilkes, N. Prakongkep (Eds.). *Proceedings of the 19th World Congress of Soil Science; Soil Solutions for a Changing World*, 1-6 August 2010, Brisbane, Australia. pp 121-124.

Grealish G., R.W.Fitzpatrick, P. Shand. 2010. *Acid sulfate soil toposequences in wetlands of the Lower River Murray*. In: R.J. Gilkes, N. Prakongkep (Eds.). *Proceedings of the 19th World Congress of Soil Science; Soil Solutions for a Changing World*, 1-6 August 2010, Brisbane, Australia. pp 17-20.

Grealish G., R. Fitzpatrick, P. Shand. 2012. Wetland acid sulfate soil distribution between Blanchetown (Lock 1) and Wellington, Murray River – is there a pattern to investigate? In: L.L. Burkitt, L.A. Sparrow (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 5th Joint Australian and New Zealand Soil Science Conference*, Hobart, Tasmania. pp. 67-70. ISBN: 978-0-646-59142-1

Additional directly related major reports refereed and published, but not included in this Thesis (as senior author)

Grealish G., R.W. Fitzpatrick, P. Shand. 2010. *Acid sulfate soil assessment of wetlands below Blanchetown (Lock 1), River Murray, South Australia*. CSIRO Water for a Healthy Country National Research Flagship. 210 pages.

Grealish G., R.W. Fitzpatrick, P. Shand. 2011. *Assessment of Acid Sulfate Soil Materials in the Lock 1 to Wellington Region of the Murray-Darling Basin*. Prepared by CSIRO Water for a Healthy Country National Research Flagship for the Murray-Darling Basin Authority (MDBA). Murray-Darling Basin Authority (MDBA). Report & Appendix B1 – B5, 545 pages.

Grealish G., P. Shand, S. Grocke, A.K. Baker, R.W. Fitzpatrick, W. Hicks. 2010. *Assessment of Acid Sulfate Soil Materials in the Lock 1 to 5 Region of the Murray-Darling Basin*. Prepared by CSIRO Water for a Healthy Country National Research Flagship for the Murray-Darling Basin Authority (MDBA). Report - summary overview, & Appendix B1 – B4, 756 pages.

Other relevant additional refereed conference proceedings papers (as co-author)

Fitzpatrick R.W., S. Marvanek, B. Powell B, **G. Grealish**. 2010. Atlas of Australian acid sulfate soils: recent developments and future priorities. In: R.J. Gilkes, N. Prakongkep (Eds.). *Proceedings of the 19th World Congress of Soil Science; Soil Solutions for a Changing World*, 1-6 August 2010, Brisbane, Australia.

Fitzpatrick R.W., **G. Grealish**, A. Chappell, S. Marvanek, P. Shand. 2010. *Spatial variability of subaqueous and terrestrial Acid Sulfate Soils and their properties, for the Lower Lakes, South Australia*. CSIRO Sustainable Agriculture National Research Flagship Client Report R-689-1-15, 122 pages.

Fitzpatrick R.W, **G. Grealish**, P. Shand, R. Merry, N. Creeper, M. Thomas, A. Baker, B. Thomas, W. Hicks, N. Jayalath. 2010. Chip-tray incubation – a new field and laboratory method to support Acid Sulfate Soil Hazard Assessment, Classification and Communication In: R.J. Gilkes, N. Prakongkep (Eds.). *Proceedings of the 19th World Congress of Soil Science; Soil Solutions for a Changing World*, 1-6 August 2010, Brisbane, Australia.

Fitzpatrick R.W., A. Baker, P. Shand, R.H. Merry, **G. Grealish**, L.M. Mosley. 2012. A modern soil-landscape characterization approach to reconstructing and predicting pedogenic pathways of inland Acid Sulfate Soils. In: P. Osterholm, M Yli-Halla, P. Eden (Eds.). *Proceedings of 7th International Acid Sulfate Soil Conference*, 26-30 August 2012, Vaasa, Finland. Geological Survey of Finland, Guide 56. pp 47-50.

Fitzpatrick R.W., M. Raven, P. Self, P. Shand, **G. Grealish**, L. Mosley. 2014. Irreversible clay mineral transformations from bushfires in acid sulfate soils: An indicator of soil processes involved in climate variability and climate change. In: R.J. Gilkes (Ed.), *Proceedings 23rd Australian Clay Minerals Society Conference*, 3-5 February, Perth, Australia. pp 47-50.

King P., **G. Grealish**, S.A. Shahid, M. Abdelfattah. 2013. Land Evaluation Interpretations and Decision Support Systems: Soil Survey of Abu Dhabi Emirate. In: S.A Shahid, F.K. Taha, M.A. Abdelfattah (Eds.), *Developments in Soil Classification, Land Use Planning and Policy Implications: Innovative Thinking of Soil Inventory for Land Use Planning and Management of Land Resources*. Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht. pp. 147-164. DOI 10.1007/978-94-007-5332-7_6.