

Postmarketing Vaccine Safety Passive Surveillance: An exploratory study of parent and healthcare provider reporting of Adverse Events following Immunisation (AEFI)

**Adriana Parrella
B(Tchg), GDPH**

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Medicine

July 2014

Discipline of Paediatrics
School of Paediatrics and Reproductive Health
and
Discipline of Public Health
School of Population Health

Faculty of Health Sciences
The University of Adelaide
Australia

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	i
Abstract	v
Declaration	viii
Publications contributing to this thesis	ix
Conference presentations during candidature.....	x
Coverage of findings arising from this thesis in the media	xi
Awards arising out of this thesis	xi
Acknowledgements	xii
List of Figures	xv
List of Tables	xvi
1 Background and rationale for research.....	1
1.1 The purpose of this thesis	3
1.1.1 The Research Questions	4
1.2 Outline of studies in this thesis.....	4
1.3 Thesis outline	9
2 Literature review	11
2.1 Vaccine safety surveillance	12
2.1.1 Pre-licensure vaccine safety surveillance	13
2.1.2 Post-marketing passive vaccine safety surveillance	14
2.1.3 The importance of post-marketing surveillance.....	17
2.1.4 Post-marketing surveillance use and impact.....	18
2.1.5 The influenza safety signal in 2010.....	20
2.1.6 The value of consumer involvement in pharmacovigilance.....	20
2.1.7 Parent AEFI reporters	23
2.1.8 Healthcare provider AEFI reporters.....	25
2.1.9 Healthcare provider adverse drug reporting.....	28
2.1.10 Healthcare provider training in vaccine safety	29
2.1.11 Interventions aimed at improving ADR reporting by healthcare professionals.....	31
2.2 Research justification.....	35
2.3 Conclusion	36
3 Methods.....	39
3.1 Rationale for mixed methods design	39
3.2 Mixed methods designs in practice	43

3.3	Quantitative data.....	49
3.3.1	Recruitment	49
3.3.2	Ethics, consent and confidentiality.....	51
3.3.3	Parent studies' questionnaires.....	53
3.3.4	Analysis.....	55
3.4	Qualitative study	57
3.4.1	Rationale for the interviews	57
3.4.2	Selection of interview participants.....	59
3.4.3	Recruitment	60
3.4.4	Ethics, consent and confidentiality.....	62
3.4.5	The interview guide	63
3.4.6	Analytical Approach.....	64
3.4.7	Thematic analysis	66
3.4.8	Rigour and quality.....	71
3.5	Conclusion.....	75
4	General Population Parent Study Results	77
4.1	Preface.....	77
4.2	Publication: Parental perspectives of vaccine safety and experience of adverse events following immunisation”	79
4.2.1	Statement of authorship	79
4.2.2	Abstract	81
4.2.3	Introduction.....	82
4.2.4	Methods.....	83
4.2.5	Results	85
4.2.6	Discussion.....	98
4.3	Publication: “Consumer reporting of adverse events following immunisation (AEFI): identifying predictors of reporting an AEFI”	103
4.3.1	Statement of authorship	103
4.3.2	Abstract	105
4.3.3	Introduction.....	106
4.3.4	Methods.....	108
4.3.5	Results	110
4.3.6	Discussion.....	117
4.4	Postscript.....	122
4.5	Conclusion.....	124
5	AEFI Parent Reporter Study Results.....	125
5.1	Preface.....	125
5.2	Publication: Parental views on vaccine safety and future vaccinations of children who experienced an adverse event following routine or seasonal influenza vaccination in 2010.....	126
5.2.1	Statement of authorship	126
5.2.2	Abstract	128
5.2.3	Introduction.....	129
5.2.4	Participants and Methods.....	130
5.2.5	Results	131
5.2.6	Discussion.....	137
5.3	Additional results and discussion	138
5.3.1	Study 1 recruitment result	138
5.3.2	Parental action following an AEFI.....	140

5.3.3	Healthcare provider advice to report.....	140
5.3.4	Vaccine safety opinion and awareness of surveillance survey results.....	141
5.4	Conclusion.....	144
6	Healthcare Provider Study Results	147
6.1	Preface.....	147
6.2	Publication: Healthcare Provider knowledge, experience and challenges of reporting adverse events following immunisation: a qualitative study.....	148
6.2.1	Statement of authorship.....	148
6.2.2	Abstract.....	150
6.2.3	Background.....	151
6.2.4	Methods.....	156
6.2.5	Results.....	160
6.2.6	Discussion.....	170
6.2.7	Conclusion.....	175
6.3	Conclusion.....	176
7	Findings and conclusion.....	177
7.1	Key findings.....	177
7.2	Implications of the findings and recommendations for improving AEFI reporting.....	182
7.2.1	Consumer reporting.....	182
7.2.2	Healthcare professional reporting.....	183
7.3	Limitations of the study.....	185
7.4	Concluding remarks.....	187
8	References	189
Appendix 1	Ethical approval for General Population Parent Study	207
Appendix 2	General Population Parent Study invitation.....	209
Appendix 3	Health Monitor 2011	211
Appendix 4	Ethical approval for AEFI Parent Reporter Study	219
Appendix 5	AEFI Parent Reporter Study invitation letter.....	223
Appendix 6	AEFI Parent Reporter Study Information Sheet.....	225
Appendix 7	AEFI Parent Reporter Study verbal consent.....	227
Appendix 8	AEFI Parent Reporter Study telephone survey.....	229
Appendix 9	Ethical approval for Healthcare Provider study.....	241
Appendix 10	RACGP advertisement.....	243
Appendix 11	Information Sheet, Healthcare Provider Study	245
Appendix 12	Consent form, Healthcare Provider study.....	249

Appendix 13 Interview Topic Guide, Healthcare Provider Study	251
Appendix 14 Journal Publications	253

Abstract

Monitoring the safety of new and existing vaccines following licensure is a critical component of maintaining public confidence in immunisation and is an integral part of national immunisation programs. In Australia the process relies predominantly on the passive surveillance of adverse events following immunisation (AEFI) via spontaneous voluntary reports of AEFI by healthcare professionals, vaccine manufacturers and the public to state or federal health authorities. The aim of this thesis was to investigate factors that promote or inhibit parental and healthcare professional reporting of AEFI. A mixed-methods sequential study design was employed, with three separate studies conducted: two quantitative and one qualitative. The first quantitative study involved telephone interviews of a representative sample of 469 South Australian parents, recruited from the general population about the previous occurrence of children's AEFI, safety opinions, awareness of surveillance and reporting AEFI to healthcare professionals and surveillance authorities. The second quantitative study interviewed 179 parents whose children had experienced an AEFI and had reported the events to the South Australian Immunisation Section, Department of Health. This study was conducted following the national suspension of a seasonal trivalent influenza (STIV) vaccine in 2010. Parental vaccine safety attitudes, reasons for reporting and impact on future vaccination intent were assessed. The qualitative study involved in-depth interviews with 29 healthcare professionals working in general practice, council immunisation clinics and a paediatric hospital emergency department (ED). The interviews sought to examine the experiences, knowledge and training of general practitioners (GPs), nurses and ED consultants in detecting AEFI and of reporting to surveillance authorities. The study was planned using a

social constructionist perspective and thematic analysis was used to analyse the interview data.

In the first study, 95% of all parents were confident in vaccine safety in general. Parental confidence in vaccine safety was significantly associated with higher levels of education (OR:2.58, $p = 0.01$) and being born in Australia, (OR:2.30, $p = 0.004$). Mothers, when compared with fathers, were less accepting of two vaccine risks: febrile convulsion (OR:0.57, $p = 0.04$) and anaphylaxis, (OR:0.55, $p = 0.04$). One in four parents stated that at least one of their children had previously experienced an AEFI: one third of these parents reported the symptoms to either a healthcare professional or the Department of Health. Parents of children who had experienced an AEFI were less likely to believe vaccines were safe (OR:0.53, $p \leq 0.01$) compared with parents of children who did not experience an AEFI.

In the second study, 88% of all parents were confident in the safety of vaccines in general. Parents reporting an AEFI to the 2010 STIV were more likely to state the event had influenced future vaccination intent than the National Immunisation Program (NIP) vaccine parent AEFI reporters (65% vs 14%, $p < 0.001$), with 63% stating refusal or hesitation to re-vaccinate their children against influenza. Concern for their children's symptoms and media reports of the 2010 STIV program suspension were the most common reasons for reporting.

The qualitative study revealed that interpretations of a "serious" or "unexpected" AEFI and what would constitute a reportable AEFI varied according to the professional group. Common barriers to reporting included time constraints and unsatisfactory reporting processes. Nurses were more likely to have received formal training in vaccine safety and reporting than medical practitioners.

Collectively, these studies should inform future strategies aimed at improving AEFI reporting. These need to incorporate ongoing education and enhancing existing reporting processes for health professionals and investigation of alternate surveillance approaches that consumers will use.

Declaration

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other institution and affirms that to the best of my knowledge, the thesis contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference is made in the text of thesis. In addition I certify that no part of this work will, in the future be used in a submission for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

The author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis, (as listed on the next page) resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the Australasian Digital Theses Program (ADTP) and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Signed

Adriana Parrella (Candidate)

Date.....

Publications contributing to this thesis

- Parrella A, Gold M, Marshall H, Braunack-Mayer A, Watson M, Baghurst P. Parental views on vaccine safety and future vaccinations of children who experienced an adverse event following routine or seasonal influenza vaccination in 2010. *Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics* May 2012, 8:5, 662–667
- Parrella A, Gold M, Marshall H, Braunack-Mayer A, Baghurst P. Parental perspectives of vaccine safety and experience of adverse events following immunisation. *Vaccine* April 2013, 31:16, 2067-2074
- Parrella A, Braunack-Mayer A, Gold M, Marshall H, Baghurst P. Healthcare providers' knowledge, experience and challenges of reporting adverse events following immunisation: A qualitative study. *BMC Health Services Research*. 2013 Aug 15;13(1):313
- Parrella A, Gold M, Braunack-Mayer A, Baghurst P, Marshall H. Consumer reporting of adverse events following immunisation (AEFI): identifying predictors of reporting an AEFI. *Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics*. [Published online ahead of print] 2014 Jan 09;10(3)

Conference presentations during candidature

- Public Health Association of Australia (PHAA) 12th National Immunisation Conference; 2010 Aug 17-19; Adelaide.

- Public Health Association of Australia (PHAA) 13th National Immunisation Conference; 2012 June 18; Darwin

Poster presentations:

- The University of Adelaide, Faculty of Health Sciences Postgraduate Research Conference; 2011 Aug 25; Adelaide, Australia.

- PHAA (SA branch) Conference 'Population Health: Working across sectors, settings and ages'; 2011 Oct 29; Adelaide, Australia.

Coverage of findings arising from this thesis in the media

- “Doctors urge jab as flu spreads” in The Advertiser, Adelaide, 28 February 2013, pg 5
- ABC local (Adelaide) radio interview, 27 February, 2013
- “University of Adelaide research finds most South Australian parents believe vaccines are safe for their children”, Adelaidenow, 27 February, 2013
- Interview, VaxiPlace , 01 March, 2013

Awards arising out of this thesis

Faculty of Health Sciences Post-graduate Research Conference 2011, Poster Prize award.

\$300

Acknowledgements

I would like to acknowledge and thank the following people for their contribution and help in getting me through this PhD journey.

I thank firstly, my supervisors, Michael Gold, Helen Marshall, Annette Braunack-Mayer and Peter Baghurst. I am fortunate to have had the benefit and wisdom of each supervisor's knowledge, expertise and experience and I sincerely appreciate the time, commitment and patience you each gave in supporting me through the past four years. Each of you brought different areas of expertise and offered constructive feedback through all stages of the study. I am especially thankful for your encouragement in getting me through to the "end", for pushing me on when I needed it the most and for leaving me be when I needed.

A special thankyou to Jesia Berry for her help with all things Stata.

Thank you to Professor Janet Hiller and Professor Phil Ryan who I have had the great pleasure to have learnt from and worked with in previous times. Thank you to A/Prof Caroline Laurence for her final words of encouragement to complete this work. I also thank Jesia Berry, Katherine Duszynski and Vicki Xafis for their great support, encouragement and friendship as we all embarked upon the PhD journey.

I thank my husband Javier for his love and support. This would not have been possible without you.

Finally I thank my three children Amaya, Estella and Marco for the constant reminders of the joy of being their mother and at times, the complex challenge of the work/life balance inherent to raising healthy, happy families.

Abbreviations

ABS	Australian Bureau of Statistics
ACSOM	Advisory Committee on the Safety of Medicines
ACSOV	Advisory Committee on the Safety of Vaccines
AEFI	Adverse Event(s) Following Immunisation
CATI	Computer-Assisted Telephone Interviewing
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
CI	Confidence Interval
CYWHS	Children, Youth and Women's Health Service
ED	Emergency Department
GP	General Practitioner
IQR	Interquartile range
IRSD	Index of Relative Socio-economic Disadvantage
NCIRS	National Centre for Immunisation Research and Surveillance
NIP	National Immunisation Program
OR	Odds Ratio
PHAA	Public Health Association of Australia
SA	South Australia
SAEFVic	Surveillance of Adverse Events Following Vaccination in Victoria
SAIS	South Australian Immunisation Section
SEIFA	Socio-Economic Indexes For Areas
STIV	Seasonal Trivalent influenza vaccine
TGA	Therapeutic Goods Administration
UK	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
US	United States of America

VPD	Vaccine Preventable Disease
WA	Western Australia
WCH	Women's and Children's Hospital

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Detecting and reporting an AEFI	5
Figure 3.1: The explanatory sequential design	46
Figure 3.2: The study design.....	48
Figure 3.3: AEFI detection and reporting: contextual factors that influence health professionals' actions and decisions	65
Figure 3.4: Code development for "Conceptualising an AEFI".....	70
Figure 3.5: Code development for "Reporting an AEFI".....	70
Figure 4.1: Children's experience of AEFI and total parental AEFI reports.....	90
Figure 5.1: Influenza and NIP vaccine AEFI reports, by week January-June 2010.	133
Figure 5.2: Adverse events recorded in AEFI reports	134
Figure 5.3: Study 1 Recruitment and Analysis Flowchart	139
Figure 7.1: Detecting and reporting an AEFI.....	181

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Examples of intervention studies to improve healthcare professional spontaneous ADR reporting	33
Table 3.1: The six phases of thematic analysis.....	67
Table 4.1: Household demographics of survey respondents (n=469): South Australia, 2011.....	88
Table 4.2: Children’s AEFI Symptoms and AEFI Reported.....	91
Table 4.3: Parental opinions on vaccine safety and the probability of experiencing an adverse event following immunization (n=469).	94
Table 4.4: Odds ratios for the association between socio-demographic, AEFI status variables and vaccine safety opinions	96
Table 4.5: Household demographics of survey respondents (n=191).	112
Table 4.6: Parental safety opinion by AEFI reporting status	114
Table 4.7: Socio-demographic predictors of parental reporting of children’s AEFI, n=191	116
Table 4.8: Association between reporting an AEFI and vaccine safety views, n=191	117
Table 5.1: Vaccines associated with AEFI reports	132
Table 5.2: Vaccine Safety Opinion.....	135
Table 5.3: Impact of the AEFI on future vaccination decision.....	137
Table 5.4: Parental action following children’s AEFI, n=179	140
Table 5.5: Parental action and healthcare provider advice, n=154.....	141
Table 5.6: Vaccine Safety Opinion, AEFI Parent Reporters	142
Table 6.1: Study Participants	157
Table 6.2: Interview Topic Guide	158
Table 6.3: Participants’ awareness of AEFI reporting protocol or policy in their work setting.....	164