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ABSTRACT

Thermochronological datasets for the Kyrgyz Tianshan and Siberian Altai-Sayan within Central Asia reveal a punctuated exhumation history during the Meso–Cenozoic. In this paper, the datasets for both regions are collectively reviewed in order to speculate on the links between the Meso–Cenozoic exhumation of the continental Eurasian interior and the prevailing tectonic processes at the plate margins. Whereas most of the thermochronological data across both regions document late Jurassic–Cretaceous regional basement cooling, older landscape relics and dissecting fault zones throughout both regions preserve Triassic and Cenozoic events of rapid cooling, respectively. Triassic cooling is thought to reflect the Qiangtang–Eurasia collision and/or rifting/subsidence in the West Siberian basin. Alternatively, this cooling signal could be related with the terminal terrane-amalgamation of the Central Asian Orogenic Belt. For the Kyrgyz Tianshan, late Jurassic–Cretaceous regional exhumation and Cenozoic fault reactivations can be linked with specific tectonic events during the closure of the Palaeo-Tethys and Neo-Tethys Oceans, respectively. The effect of the progressive consumption of these oceans and the associated collisions of Cimmeria and India with Eurasia probably only had a minor effect on the exhumation of the Siberian Altai-Sayan. More likely, tectonic forces from the east (present-day coordinates) as a result of the building and collapse of the Mongol-Okhotsk orogen and rifting in the Baikal region shaped the current Siberian Altai-Sayan topography. Although many of these hypothesised links need to be tested further, they allow a first-order insight into the dynamic response and the stress propagation pathways from the Eurasian margin into the continental interior.

© 2015, China University of Geosciences (Beijing) and Peking University. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The mountainous landscape of the Central Asian Tianshan and Altai-Sayan predominantly formed as a response to recurrent tectonic deformation (e.g. Hendrix et al., 1992; De Grave et al., 2007; Jolivet et al., 2013). The causes for these episodes of deformation are not yet fully understood. It has been suggested widely that the Meso-Cenozoic punctuated (viz., recurring at interrupted intervals) intracontinental deformation that affected Central Asia, is largely related with distant collisions at the southern Eurasian plate margin, with the most recent pulse of deformation being a far-field response to the India-Eurasia collision (e.g. Molnar and Tapponnier, 1975; Knapp, 1996; De Grave et al., 2007). The India-Eurasia collision not only caused shortening and uplift in the Himalayas and Tibet (e.g. Patriat and Achache, 1984; Harrison et al., 1992; Wang et al., 2001), but also the continuous convergence between India and Eurasia and the growth of the Tibetan Plateau induced convergence-drive (e.g. Abdurakhmatov et al., 1996) and/or flexure-related (Aitken, 2011) stresses that propagated into the Eurasian interior where they deformed the weaker crust of Central Asia (e.g. Knapp, 1996; Wang et al., 2001). Specifically, this deformation is preferentially accommodated by strength heterogeneities such as pre-existing fault zones within the crust of Tibet and Central Asia (e.g. England and Houseman, 1985), resulting in fault reactivation and associated rapid exhumation (Jolivet et al., 2001, 2010; Walker et al., 2007; Clark et al., 2010; Duvall et al., 2011; Glorie et al., 2011a, 2012a,b; Oskin, 2012; De Grave et al., 2013).
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The chronology and dynamics of the India-Eurasia convergence and collision as well as its influence on the exhumation of Tibet and Central Asia have been a matter of debate in recent years. Patriat and Achache (1984) were among the first to argue that the India-Eurasia collision occurred around 50 Ma. Rowley (1996) and Clift et al. (2003) proposed a very similar age-estimate based on a review of stratigraphic data. However, more recent palaeomagnetic, biostratigraphic and sedimentological studies suggested that India and Eurasia were still distinctly separated at 50–55 Ma and that the collision occurred around 35 Ma (e.g. Aitchison et al., 2007). More specifically, Jiang et al. (2015) argued that a Tethyan seaway still existed between India and Eurasia until 38–34 Ma, suggesting that the final collision of India with Eurasia must have occurred during or after the Pliocene. This late Eocene age for the collision was later confirmed by several others recent studies as well (e.g. Najman et al., 2010; Bouilhol et al., 2013). In addition, Van Hinsbergen et al. (2012) proposed a model involving two collisions: a 'soft' collision between Greater India and Eurasia at 50 Ma and a 'hard' collision of India with Eurasia at 25 Ma, suggesting that the collision is more complex than it was originally described.

Within southern Central Asia, widespread tectonic activity has been documented as transpiring since 25 Ma (e.g. Hendrix et al., 1992; Yin et al., 1998) which corresponds well with the proposed timing of the 'hard' collision between India and Eurasia (Van Hinsbergen et al., 2012) as well as with the timing of enhanced crustal thickening in Tibet (e.g. Yin and Harrison, 2000). However, recent thermochronological data on major fault zones indicate that Cenozoic tectonic activity may have affected southern Central Asia since the early Eocene (~55–45 Ma) (e.g. Glorie et al., 2011a), intensifying during the late Oligocene—early Miocene (~25–20 Ma) and again during the late Miocene—early Pliocene (~10–3 Ma) as a response to subsequent regional shortening (e.g. Sobel et al., 2006; Macalady et al., 2014).

The onset of major intra-plate mountain building within Central Asia however already occurred during the early Mesozoic (e.g. Dumitru et al., 2001; De Grave et al., 2007, 2011; Glorie et al., 2010). In fact, most of the Central Asian topography formed during the Mesozoic (De Grave et al., 2013; Jolivet et al., 2013). However, the dynamics of the pre-Himalayan tectonic uplift and deformation within Central Asia are not fully understood either. After a long period of Palaeozoic accretions (e.g. Xiao et al., 2004, 2010, 2013), the crustal architecture of Central Asia was episodically reactivated from the late Triassic onwards (e.g. Jolivet et al., 2007; De Grave et al., 2013).

An important phase of regional late Jurassic—early Cretaceous uplift and exhumation has been documented throughout most of Central Asia, which is thought to be associated with the collisions of Gondwana-derived Cimmerian Blocks to Eurasia (e.g. Yin and Harrison, 2000; Jolivet et al., 2001) and/or the elusive Mongol-Okhtots orogeny in the East (e.g. Cogné et al., 2005; Jolivet et al., 2009; Glorie et al., 2012a). Hence, in a similar way as described for the Cenozoic exhumation of Central Asia, stress-propagation from the southern Eurasian margin induced deformation and mountain building in Central Asia during the Mesozoic as well (e.g. De Grave et al., 2007; Glorie et al., 2010). The Mesozoic Central Asian mountainous landscape was likely widespread and may have been almost continuous from the southern plate margin at that time, to deep in the continental interior. In this regard, it has been suggested recently that much of the Tibetan topography already existed prior to the India-Eurasia collision (e.g. Clark, 2011; Hetzel et al., 2011). Furthermore, preserved early Mesozoic geomorphic features, such as internally drained plateaus or old erosion surfaces, testify to abundant Mesozoic topography in Central Asia as well (e.g. Hetzel et al., 2002; Jolivet et al., 2007; De Grave et al., 2011).

This paper aims to synthesise and discuss the exhumation history of two key-regions within the Central Asian edifice: (1) the Kyrgyz Tianshan (southern Central Asia; Figs. 1 and 2) the Siberian Altai (northern Central Asia; Fig. 1). Both regions will be examined and compared using a broad-scale multi-method thermochronology survey and focussing on (1) the regional relief, (2) major dissecting fault zones which record more recent exhumation events (e.g. Jolivet et al., 2010; Glorie et al., 2011a, 2012a,b) and (3) preserved landscape relics which archive more ancient exhumation events (e.g. Jolivet et al., 2007; De Grave et al., 2011). This approach enables a complete reconstruction of the thermal history and allows discussing the timing of punctuated reactivation events as a response to collisions and crustal shortening at the plate margins. It is furthermore attempted to comment on the timing and extent of Mesozoic and Cenozoic deformation within Central Asia as a response to distant tectonic activity at the Eurasian margins.

2. Thermochronological datasets

During the last decade, the Kyrgyz Tianshan and Siberian Altai-Sayan have been studied intensively, resulting in several recent publications of extensive thermochronological datasets. This
Figure 2. Digital elevation model for the Kyrgyz Tianshan with indication of geological feature (major structures, granitoid intrusions, Precambrian basement rocks and Mesozoic–Palaeogene sediments) and thermochronological ages from Bullen et al. (2001, 2003); Sobel et al. (2006); Glorie et al. (2010, 2011a); De Grave et al. (2011, 2012, 2013); Macaulay et al. (2013, 2014); De Pelsmaeker et al. (2015). Thermochronological ages (TFT in white font, ZHe in white underlined/italic, AFT in black font, AHe underlined/italic) are colour coded into major age-groups (purple = Permian–Triassic, green = Jurassic, yellow = Cretaceous, orange = late Cretaceous–early Palaeogene, red = Palaeogene, pink = Neogene). Where multiple consistent data was obtained for the same location, an average is given. Structures for which late Cretaceous–Cenozoic (partially) reset ages were obtained are displayed in red (STSS = South Tianshan Suture, SarF = Sarydjaz Fault, NL = Nikolaev Line, CTF = Central Terskey Fault, MTF = Main Terskey Fault, ZF = Zaili Fault, KAF = Karakunug-Almaty Fault—simplified after De Grave et al., 2013; Macaulay et al., 2014). Blue outlined insets: 3D elevation model and age-elevation profiles for the Song-kul plateau. The age-elevation trends are coloured following the colour-code of the major age-groups and show preserved fast cooling/exhumation during the Triassic and reactivation during the late Jurassic–early Cretaceous (modified from De Grave et al., 2011). Orange outlined insets: Simplified cross-section through the topography surrounding lake Issyk-kul (orange dashed lines on the map) with indication of thermochronological data (vertical sample sections). Samples taken from the fault-escarpments show clear evidence for Cenozoic fault-induced exhumation during the Oligocene (CTF, SarF, KAF) and late Miocene–Pliocene (ZF, MTF and STSS). The Nikolaev line (NL) shows no evidence for Cenozoic fault-reactivation (modified from De Grave et al., 2013). Purple outlined insets: Radial plots (Vermeesch, 2009) for AFT ages obtained for Cenozoic sediments from the Trans-Alai region. Data is coloured following the colour-code of the major age-groups. These plots record exhumation of the southwest Tianshan during the Triassic, late Cretaceous, Miocene and Pliocene.
section aims to synthesise the data from Bullen et al. (2001, 2003), Sobel et al. (2006), Glorie et al. (2010, 2011a), De Grave et al. (2011, 2012, 2013), Macaulay et al. (2013, 2014) and De Pelsmaeker et al. (2015) for the Kyrgyz Tianshan and from De Grave and Van den haute (2002), De Grave et al. (2007, 2008, 2009, 2014) and Glorie et al. (2012a) for the Siberian Altai-Sayan in order to examine and compare the cooling and exhumation history of both regions on a regional scale. Figs. 2 and 3 show simplified geological maps of the basement architecture of the Kyrgyz Tianshan and Siberian Altai-Sayan respectively, superimposed on digital elevation models.

The timing of thermal events through ~60–120 °C (e.g. Wagner and Van den haute, 1992 and references therein). Additional titanite fission track (TFT) ages date the timing of thermal events between ~275–285 °C (e.g. Kohn et al., 1993; Jacobs and Thomas, 2001). Where available, apatite (AHe) and zircon (ZHe) U-Th-Sm/He cooling ages are shown, indicating the timing of thermal events between ~45–75 °C for apatite (e.g. Ehlers and Farley, 2003) and ~170–190 °C for zircon (e.g. Reiners et al., 2004). More recent studies indicate that the closure temperature of the ZHe system is dependent on the level of radiation damage (which is largely a function of the Uranium concentration) and can vary at least between ~130–200 °C (e.g. Wolfe and Stockli, 2010).

The section below discuss the regional trends in the thermochronological data and aim to connect the resulting thermal history of the Kyrgyz Tianshan and the Siberian Altai-Sayan with tectonic events at the plate margins. The reader is referred to the references listed above for more details on the individual datasets.

2.1. Low-temperature thermochronology of the Kyrgyz Tianshan

Throughout the entire Kyrgyz Tianshan, a large number of late Jurassic–Cretaceous (~160–80 Ma) AFT (and more limited ZHe and AHe) ages were obtained (Fig. 2: pale green and yellow ages). These cooling ages dominate in the middle Kyrgyz Tianshan, especially along the northern and western margin of lake Issyk-kul and between the South Tianshan Suture (STSS) and the Central Terskey Fault (CTF; Fig. 2). In the southwest, the basin is largely covered by thick deposits of Mesozoic-Cenozoic sediments and thermochronological data on exposed basement rocks is thus largely lacking. However, for few isolated granitoid outcrops in the southwest, similar late Jurassic–Cretaceous cooling ages were obtained, indicating that most of the Kyrgyz Tianshan basin exhumed during the Mesozoic (e.g. De Grave et al., 2013). For some areas within the Kyrgyz Tianshan edifice, anomalously older cooling ages were found. Titanite (TFT) and apatite (AFT) fission track data from the Song-kul plateau (insets left in Fig. 2) yield middle to late Triassic (~231–193 Ma) and late Triassic–early Jurassic basement ages (~206–183 Ma) respectively (Fig. 2: green and purple ages). Similar early Jurassic (~188–174 Ma) AFT ages were obtained just north of the South Tianshan Suture at the margin of the Naryn Basin (Fig. 2). These ages suggest local preservation of early Mesozoic Kyrgyz Tianshan relief (De Grave et al., 2011; Glorie et al., 2011a). Although these older relief expressions are only locally preserved, the region of preserved Triassic–early Jurassic could be more extensive, spanning most of the covered basement underneath the Naryn Basin (Fig. 2).

Along several E–W striking fault zones, much younger, Cenozoic AFT, ZHe and AHe ages were obtained (Fig. 2: red and pink ages). These ages are colour coded in red in Fig. 2. For the South Tianshan Suture (STSS), cooling ages range from ~60 to ~7 Ma with the older (early Palaeogene: ~60–50 Ma) ages at higher elevations and the younger (late Palaeogene–Neogene: ~30–7 Ma) ages at lower elevations within the fault escarpments (Fig. 2: map and profile B–B’; Glorie et al., 2011a). Within the exposed sections along the Sarydzaj Fault (Sarf), Eocene–Oligocene cooling ages were obtained (~45–23 Ma) with the youngest (~23 Ma) at lower elevations (Glorie et al., 2011a; Macaulay et al., 2014). The Central (CTF) and Main (MTF) Terskey Fault yield Cenozoic cooling ages as well. Within the CTF, Palaeogene AFT ages were obtained ranging from ~60 Ma at high elevations until ~26 Ma at lower elevations. AHe ages for the same samples are generally younger and range from ~20–11 Ma. For the MTF, mainly Neogene AFT and AHe ages were obtained ranging from ~22 to ~7 Ma in vertical profile (Fig. 2: map and profile B–B’). Note that the sense of displacement changes along strike of the CTF (e.g. De Grave et al., 2013; Macaulay et al., 2013). In the west, the cooling ages along the CTF escarpment change abruptly from ~65 to ~5 Ma (Fig. 2: map and profile A–A’).

Within the southern slopes of the Kyrgyz Range (south of the Issyk-Ata (IAF) Fault, NW in Fig. 2) and the Alai Range (north of the Turkestan Fault (TF), SW in Fig. 2), cooling ages range from ~25–4 Ma (Bullen et al., 2001, 2003; Sobel et al., 2006). In the vicinity of the Zaili (ZF) and Karakung-Almaty (KAF) faults in the far north of the map (Fig. 2: near the Kyrgyz-Kazakh border), cooling ages of ~75 Ma (~29–15 Ma) were found respectively (De Grave et al., 2013; De Pelsmaeker et al., 2015). Hence most of the exposed flanks of faulted blocks throughout the Tianshan yield a Palaeogene and/or Neogene cooling signal. At the summits of the faulted blocks throughout the entire study area, cooling ages mainly vary from ~120 Ma (i.e. a preserved Cretaceous signal) to ~60 Ma.

Thermochronological data on detrital sediments within the intramontane basins in the Kyrgyz Tianshan are far less abundant and limited to a few ages from Neogene sediments in the Alai and Ferghana Basin (Fig. 2: near the Kyrgyz-Tajik border; De Grave et al., 2012) and the Chu Basin (Fig. 2: north of the IAF; Bullen et al., 2001). The main age components are similar in both basins, indicating cooling at ~230–200, ~165–145, ~80–65, ~50, ~25–10, and ~5–3 Ma, mimicking the cooling signals observed in the basement.

2.2. Low-temperature thermochronology of the Siberian Altai-Sayan

For the Siberian Altai-Sayan almost exclusively Cretaceous–early Palaeogene (~135–55 Ma) AFT and AHe cooling ages were obtained (Fig. 3: yellow and orange ages). Available TFT cooling ages within the Siberian Altai are significantly older and range between the early Permian (~299–230 Ma) and early Jurassic (~190 Ma) (Fig. 3: green and purple ages). Interestingly, a distinctive trend can be observed within the geographic distribution of both the AFT and TFT datasets. Where early–middle Cretaceous (~130–75 Ma) AFT and Permian–middle Triassic (~299–230 Ma) TFT cooling ages are found throughout the entire study area, the younger late Cretaceous–early Palaeogene (~75–55 Ma) AFT and late Triassic–early Jurassic (~225–190 Ma) TFT ages occur only in close vicinity to or within major fault zones (Glorie et al., 2012a; De Grave et al., 2014). This is illustrated in Fig. 3 by the occurrence of the orange-coded cooling ages near the Charysh-Terekta-Ulagan-Sayan Suture (CTUSS) (Glorie et al., 2012a), the West Sayan fault zone (De Grave et al., 2014), and the fault-bounded margins of the Teletskoye Basin (De Grave et al., 2009) and a fault branch of the Shapsal fault zone (De Grave et al., 2014) (Fig. 3). These fault systems are colour coded in red as they show evidence for late Cretaceous–Palaeogene fault activity. In addition, age-elevation profiles for the Siberian Altai indeed show more rapid cooling trends within the fault zones during the late Triassic–early Jurassic and during the late Cretaceous–early Palaeogene (Fig. 3: inset).
Figure 3. Digital elevation model of the Siberian Altai-Sayan with indication of geological features (major structures, granitoid intrusions, ophiolite rocks and Mesozoic sediments) and thermochronological ages from De Grave and Van den haute (2002); De Grave and Buslov (2007, 2008, 2009, 2014); Glorie et al. (2012a). The thermochronological ages (TFT in white font, AFT in black font, AHe in underlined/italic font) are colour coded into major age-groups (purple = Permian–Triassic, green = Jurassic, yellow = Cretaceous, orange = late Cretaceous–early Palaeogene, red = Palaeogene, pink = Neogene). Structures for which late Cretaceous–Cenozoic (partially) reset ages were obtained are displayed in red. Inset: Age-elevation profiles for the Siberian Altai (area contoured by blue dashed lines) showing nearly horizontal trends for the regional TFT and AFT data and nearly vertical trends for the TFT, AFT and AHe data obtained along major fault zones. The age–elevation trends are coloured following the colour-code of the major age-groups and indicate major fault activity during the early Jurassic and late Cretaceous–early Palaeogene (modified from Glorie et al., 2012a).
Few regions yield slightly older AFT cooling ages. For the Chu-
lyshman plateau (bordered by the Shapshal fault zone in the east),
late Jurassic—early Cretaceous (~155–100 Ma) cooling ages were
obtained which are thought to reflect preservation of slightly older
relief within the Siberian Altai (De Grave et al., 2008), similar as
described for the Song-kul plateau in the Kyrgyz Tianshan.

At two locations along the CTUSS and the West Sayan fault zone,
anomalously young (late Oligocene: ~26 Ma and Pleistocene: 2–1
Ma) AHe data were obtained (Glorie et al., 2012a; De Grave et al.,
2014). The significance of these young ages is debatable given
their low reproducibility, however they may indicate that Neogene
fault activity may have reset the AHe clocks during the Pleistocene.
These ages are however confirmed by Quaternary (~2.5–0.2 Ma)
$^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar ages on paralavas (combustion metamorphism) within
the Kuznetsk Coal Basin (branch of the West-Siberian basin, just
north of the Altai-Sayan study area; Fig. 1) and the Junggar basin
(just south of the Altai-Sayan study area; Fig. 1) (Novikov et al.,
2008, in review). These observations together with the occurrence
of recent fault activity along e.g. the CTUSS (Fig. 3: Chuya
basin seismic zone; Lunina et al., 2008) and displaced Quaternary
glacial features (Dehandschutter et al., 2002) suggest that the Altai-
Sayan is affected by neotectonic (especially Pleistocene to recent)
fault-reactivations.

3. Regional versus fault-induced cooling

As discussed above, for both the Kyrgyz Tianshan and Siberian
Altai-Sayan, the youngest thermochronometric data were generally
obtained within major fault zones. For the Kyrgyz Tianshan,
Palaeogene—Neogene cooling ages are almost exclusively found in
close vicinity to major fault zones, while further away from the
major structures, ‘background’ regional Mesozoic cooling ages
dominate. For the Siberian Altai-Sayan, latest Cretaceous—early
Palaeogene cooling ages were found in the major structures in
comparison to the regional Mesozoic cooling ages. For both regions,
different processes are required to explain the nature and preser-
vation of the Mesozoic versus Cenozoic cooling signals.

The different mechanisms that induced regional versus localised
cooling via fault-reactivation are illustrated in Figs. 4 and 5.
Regional slow/moderate cooling signals in combination with the
production of significant quantities of detrital sediments in adja-
cent basins can be explained by denudation as a response to
regional uplift and/or base-level drop. Fig. 4 illustrates the rela-
tionship between regional cooling and denudation (modified after
Wagner and Van den haute, 1992; Summerfield and Brown, 1998).
After a period of intense regional tectonic uplift, a rock volume
(green cube), initially (time $t_0$) at ~2.5 km depth with respect to
sea level (~90 °C for a ‘normal’ geothermal gradient), is trans-
ported to a depth of ~1.5 km with respect to sea level at time $t_1$.
The isotherms are passively uplifted along with the rock volume and
thus no cooling is registered. As a consequence of the increased
relief, denudation of ~1 km of rock sets in. Hence, the mass of the
crust reduces and temporary isostatic disequilibrium develops at
time $t_2$. To correct for this unstable situation, isostatic rebound
and thermal relaxation takes place at time $t_3$, which will conse-
quently cool the sample down to ~60 °C in this example. The ther-
mochronometric clocks will register the cooling of the rock volume
between time $t_1$ and time $t_3$ and, in case of the AFT method, the
cooling age will only be frozen in the rock sample when time $t_3$
has been reached. However, since there can be quite a major time lag
between the start and end of the denudation process, the resulting
cooling age does not necessarily date the initiation of the tectonic
event. Slow denudation and associated exhumation during this
time lag allows the thermochronometric clocks to be partially reset
as the rocks are thus moving slowly through the so-called partial
retention zones (PRZ) associated with each of these clocks. In other
words, due to this time lag the resulting cooling age will be regis-
tered somewhere between $t_1$ and $t_2$, while the tectonic event
took place at time $t_1$. Only when denudation was rapid and extensive
enough (largely controlled by climatic conditions or landscape
properties such as slope stabilities), the thermochronometric clocks
will register the associated cooling phase (e.g. Summerfield and
Brown, 1998). However, the response of cooling to regional denu-
dation is often rather slow/moderate, resulting in a wide range of
apparent cooling ages, which may explain the large variety in
Mesozoic cooling ages within both the Kyrgyz Tianshan and Sibe-
rian Altai-Sayan. When denudation rates are very slow, such as
within internally drained plateaus or regions with little local slope
(e.g. Summerfield and Brown, 1998), older cooling events may be
preserved in the thermochronological record of the basement.
These stable landscape remnants can be found within active
mountain belts such as Central Asia (e.g. Jolivet et al., 2007; De
Grave et al., 2011) or Tibet (e.g. Hetzel et al., 2002).

In contrast, Cenozoic cooling in Central Asia is widely modelled
as being more rapid and this requires vast quantities of Cenozoic
strata in adjacent basins to explain the cooling signals with the
mechanism described above. Given that most of the young (Ceno-
zoic) cooling ages are obtained within major fault-zones (currently

![Figure 4](image-url). Schematic cartoon, illustrating the relationship between regional cooling and denudation (modified after Wagner and Van den haute, 1992; Summerfield and Brown, 1998). After a period of intense regional tectonic uplift, a rock volume (green cube), initially (time $t_0$) at ~2.5 km depth with respect to sea level (~90 °C for a 'normal' geothermal gradient), is transported to a depth of ~1.5 km with respect to sea level at time $t_1$. The isotherms are passively uplifted along with the rock volume and thus no cooling is registered. As a consequence of the increased relief, denudation of ~1 km of rock sets in. Hence, the mass of the crust reduces and temporary isostatic disequilibrium develops at time $t_2$. To correct for this unstable situation, isostatic rebound and thermal relaxation takes place at time $t_3$, which will consequently cool the sample down to ~60 °C in this example. The thermochronometric clocks will register the cooling of the rock volume between time $t_1$ and time $t_3$ and, in case of the AFT method, the cooling age will only be frozen in the rock sample when time $t_3$ has been reached. However, since there can be quite a major time lag between $t_1$ and $t_3$, the resulting cooling age does not necessarily date the initiation of tectonic uplift. See text for more details.
reactivated as large-scale strike-slip and thrust faults), it is suggested that fault induced deformation is responsible for fast exhumation and associated cooling (e.g. Glorie et al., 2011a). Both the Cenozoic Kyrgyz Tianshan and Siberian Altai-Sayan largely exhumed as a response to crustal shortening. For the Kyrgyz Tianshan, the mountain ranges are thought to be exhumed 'out-of-sequence' within a large-scale flower-structure (e.g. De Grave et al., 2013; Macauley et al., 2014), exposing 'squeezed-out' basement-cored uplifts (hanging wall rocks) along inherited strike-slip faults. These inherited strike-slip faults became reactivated as steeply dipping reverse faults, often exposing steep fault escarpments (e.g. Selander et al., 2012). Similarly for the Siberian Altai-Sayan, the present relief is largely controlled by the reactivation of suture-shear zones as major thrust or transpressive systems (e.g. Dehandschutter et al., 2002; Thomas et al., 2002; Glorie et al., 2012a).

The relationship between rapid cooling and exhumation via faulting is illustrated in the cartoon in Fig. 5 where a stack of lithospheric-scale faults divide the crust into a number of fault-bounded blocks. The mechanism is explained for a stack of normal faults that exhum the footwall during extension (panel A, modified after Stockli et al., 2000; Stockli, 2005), as well as for a stack of strike-slip faults which are reactivated as reverse faults (e.g. Selander et al., 2012) that exhume the hanging wall during compression (panel B). Before fault-induced exhumation occurs, the cooling ages registered along the fault escarpments reflect the thermal structure of the crust. For rocks that are hotter than ~120 °C for the AFT clock and ~75 °C for the AHe clock, cooling is not registered and all previous cooling events are erased (0 Ma; red zone – total reset). Rocks that are colder than ~60 °C for the AFT clock and ~45 °C for the AHe clock record a preserved cooling age \( t_0 \) (blue zone – total retention), related with an older cooling event. In between those temperature brackets, both clocks record a meaningless cooling age (yellow zone – partial retention) in between 0 and \( t_0 \) Ma. The extent of this yellow zone of partial retention depends on the local geothermal gradient. During faulting at time \( t_1 \), the footwall (panel A) or hanging wall (panel B) rocks become quickly exposed in the fault escarpment, cooling nearly the entire rock-sequence into the total retention zone (blue zone in Fig. 5). As a result, rocks that were originally in the total reset (red) zone are exhumed rapidly through the partial retention zone (yellow), into the total retention zone (blue) and will thus record the timing of faulting \( t_1 \). Rocks that were already in the total retention zone before the faulting event will maintain their original cooling age \( t_0 \). In a vertical section, the lowermost samples will thus likely date the timing of faulting, while those near the top will yield either a meaningless PRZ age or the timing of an older exhumation event (depending on the preservation potential of the upper part of the fault escarpment). Given that the geothermal gradient is generally higher within active faults than in the surrounding crust, the extent of meaningless PRZ data is likely relatively small within exhumed fault escarpments. See text for more details.

Figure 5. Schematic cartoon, illustrating the relationship between localised cooling and exhumation within fault zones. The model is explained for a stack of normal faults that exhum the footwall during extension (panel A, modified after Stockli et al., 2000; Stockli, 2005), as well as for a stack of strike-slip faults which are reactivated as reverse faults (e.g. Selander et al., 2012) that exhum the hanging wall during compression (panel B). Before fault-induced exhumation occurs, the cooling ages registered along the fault escarpments reflect the thermal structure of the crust. For rocks that are hotter than ~120 °C for the AFT clock and ~75 °C for the AHe clock, cooling is not registered and all previous cooling events are erased (0 Ma; red zone – total reset). Rocks that are colder than ~60 °C for the AFT clock and ~45 °C for the AHe clock record a preserved cooling age \( t_0 \) (blue zone – total retention), related with an older cooling event. In between those temperature brackets, both clocks record a meaningless cooling age (yellow zone – partial retention) in between 0 and \( t_0 \) Ma. The extent of this yellow zone of partial retention depends on the local geothermal gradient. During faulting at time \( t_1 \), the footwall (panel A) or hanging wall (panel B) rocks become quickly exposed in the fault escarpment, cooling nearly the entire rock-sequence into the total retention zone (blue zone in Fig. 5). As a result, rocks that were originally in the total reset (red) zone are exhumed rapidly through the partial retention zone (yellow), into the total retention zone (blue) and will thus record the timing of faulting \( t_1 \). Rocks that were already in the total retention zone before the faulting event will maintain their original cooling age \( t_0 \). In a vertical section, the lowermost samples will thus likely date the timing of faulting, while those near the top will yield either a meaningless PRZ age or the timing of an older exhumation event (depending on the preservation potential of the upper part of the fault escarpment). Given that the geothermal gradient is generally higher within active faults than in the surrounding crust, the extent of meaningless PRZ data is likely relatively small within exhumed fault escarpments. See text for more details.
sample(s) that date the timing of faulting. These samples will, if exposed, likely come from the bottom section of the escarpment as samples from below the exhumed partial retention zones are invariant in age and directly date the timing of inception of footwall/hanging wall cooling and faulting (e.g. Stockli et al., 2000). Note that active faults are often regarded as ‘heat pumps’, characterised by extremely high local geothermal gradients during faulting (e.g. Meneghini et al., 2012). This effect is largely neglected in the cartoons in Fig. 5. Although the effect of this heat-pumping on thermochronological data in fault zones is not well understood, it may be significant as the exposure to the partial retention zone during faulting may be significantly shorter as a result of these extreme local geothermal conditions. In other words, the section of meaningless mixing ages within these fault zones is generally much smaller than in a slowly cooled terrane.

It is thus of vital importance to understand the basement architecture in order to comment on the geographical distribution of thermochronometric data across mountain ranges. Tectonically-induced far-field stresses will likely first affect the major fault zones which act as zones of weakness within the continental interior. Resulting fault reactivations can induce localised cooling of the exposed basement and will therefore rapidly reset the thermochronometric clocks (Fig. 4). This process will induce apparent anomalously young cooling ages in the fault escarpments. When these cooling ages are well-constrained, preferably by multiple independent thermochronometric clocks, they hold key information on the deformation history of the study area and therefore should not be neglected.

In the case of the Kyrgyz Tianshan, Macaulay et al. (2014) identified ‘anomalous ages’ in the AFT data both north and south of Issyk-kul lake as they appeared to be too young compared to other data in the same sampling transects. These authors therefore assumed that ‘these samples had analytical problems which lead to erroneous ages’. These ‘anomalous’ young ages were obtained on basement samples taken in the exposed escarpments of the Karakung-Almaty (KAF) and Main Terskey (MTF) faults (~23 and ~5 Ma samples in profile A–A’, Fig. 2). The ~5 Ma AFT cooling age in the Main Terskey fault is debatable as it is not reproduced by other thermochronometric clocks. However, given that the AFT age was consistent for 60 individual apatite crystals (De Grave et al., 2013) it is unlikely affected by analytical problems. The ~23 Ma AFT age in the KAF (near the Kazakh-Kyrgyz border) is confirmed by its ~15 Ma AHe age, indicating that an event of rapid Neogene cooling was registered in the fault-escarpment (Fig. 2). More recently ~17–10 Ma AFT ages were obtained for samples within the adjacent Zaili Fault escarpment (De Pelsmaeker et al., 2015). These data indicate that both the KAF and ZF were reactivated during the Neogene, similar as other major structures within the Kyrgyz Tianshan (e.g. the South Tianshan suture-shear zone; e.g. Glorie et al., 2011a). This Neogene fault activity is not surprising given that both faults are located within a zone of high seismic activity (e.g. Torzin et al., 2009; De Pelsmaeker et al., 2015). The southern slopes of the Kunger Range are not within this zone of high seismic activity and more likely form part of a tilted palaeo-continental mountain belt, these cooling events are thought to represent far-field effects of the tectonic activity at the plate margins (e.g. De Grave et al., 2007).

4. Discussion and comparisons

4.1. Timing of exhumation of the Kyrgyz Tianshan and Siberian Altai-Sayan

In order to assess the timing of cooling as response to exhumation within the Kyrgyz Tianshan and Siberian Altai-Sayan, all available thermochronological data are plotted in a time-temperature diagram for both regions (Fig. 6). Representative thermal history models, which provide an estimate of the relative cooling rates associated with each cooling event, are indicated as well. Meaningful age-elevation profiles are provided as insets in Figs. 2 and 3 to further constrain the relative cooling rates.

4.1.1. Triassic—early Jurassic

In some specific locations within the Kyrgyz Tianshan, Triassic—early Jurassic cooling was recorded. Most notable is the Song-kul plateau (Fig. 2), where Triassic—early Jurassic TFF, AFT and AHe ages were obtained. Post early Mesozoic denudation of the Song-kul basement was limited and uplift of the entire basin created an internally drained plateau, allowing preservation of these old TFF and AFT basement ages. This is attested to by the fact that the upper section of exhumed fossil AFT and TFF partial annealing zones (PAZ) are preserved in the basin-vergence mountain slopes surrounding the Song-kul plateau. The current morphology of the Song-kul block was hence already established after the early Mesozoic cooling event (discussed further below) (De Grave et al., 2011). Both the age-elevation profiles (Fig. 2) as well as representative thermal history models (Fig. 6) indicate that...
Figure 6. Summary of all available apatite and titanite fission track (AFT/TFT) and apatite and zircon U-Th-Sm/He (AHe/ZHe) data in time-temperature space for the Kyrgyz Tianshan (above) and the Siberian Altai-Sayan (below). Representative cooling models are indicated as well as an estimate of the relative cooling rates. The thermochronometric data is compared with the timing of the main collisional events at the distant plate-margins in order to speculate on the driving forces of the cooling pulses. Seq. refers to additional sedimentary constraints with h. = hiatus, c. = (alluvial) conglomerates, based on sedimentological data from the Tarim (Dumitru et al., 2001 and references therein), Chu (Bullen et al., 2001 and references therein) and Issyk-kul basin (Cobbold et al., 1994) for the Tianshan and from the Kuznetsk (Davies et al., 2010), West-Siberian (Vyssotski et al., 2006) and Chuya basins (Buslov et al., 1999). Using the thermochronometric and sedimentological data, estimates were made of the topographic evolution of the Kyrgyz Tianshan and Siberian Altai-Sayan (Topo.). For the Tianshan, these estimates compare well with Jolivet et al. (2013). Pooled age-density plots are shown as well which depict the main cooling pulses associated with the regional and local relief expressions. Refer to Figs. 2 and 3 for references to the original data.
the preserved Triassic—early Jurassic cooling was rapid and induced significant exhumation at that time. Late Triassic—Jurassic sediments are arguably not highly abundant within the Kyrgyz Tianshan compared to the early-middle Triassic and Cretaceous sedimentary record and are limited to restricted sequences in e.g. the Ferghana Basin (e.g. Sanders and Long, 1994). Presumably, most of the Triassic—Jurassic sedimentary record has been reworked by subsequent exhumation events. The Triassic—early Jurassic cooling coincides with the occurrence of a major alluvial conglomerate unit (~215 Ma) in the stratigraphic record of the adjacent Tarim Basin (Fig. 1; Dumitru et al., 2001 and references therein) and Kuqa Basin (Jolivet et al., 2013 and references therein). Furthermore, Triassic cooling ages were also found within detrital apatites from Mesozoic sediments in e.g. the Chu and Ferghana basins, indicating that a record of the rapid Triassic—early Jurassic exhumation is preserved within the intramontane basin sediments as well (Fig. 2; Bullen et al., 2001, 2003; De Grave et al., 2012).

Within the Siberian Altai-Sayan, Permian—early Jurassic TFT ages were obtained (Figs. 3 and 6) which show a shallow trend in the age-elevation profile (Fig. 3). These ages are indicative for slow cooling, presumably related with post-magmatic cooling of the Permian—Triassic intraplate intrusions in the Siberian Altai basin (Glorie et al., 2011b, 2012a). Intrusions sampled in close vicinity of the CTTUS however yield significantly younger, late Triassic—early Jurassic (~240–195 Ma) TFT ages and show a trend of rapid cooling in age-elevation profile (Figs. 3 and 6). Hence, the Siberian Altai was affected by a period of rapid late Triassic—early Jurassic exhumation and fault reactivation (Glorie et al., 2012a), similar as described for the Kyrgyz Tianshan. However, this cooling event is not preserved in the AFT data for the Siberian Altai. Late Triassic—early Jurassic AFT ages are only found in specific locations within the Altai-Sayan such as the preserved old relief of the Gobi and Mongolian Altai (Jolivet et al., 2007; Vassallo et al., 2007). This Triassic—late Jurassic basement cooling corresponds with a sedimentary hiatus in the West-Siberian and Kuznetsk basins, to the north of our study area (Fig. 6). Underneath this unconformity, signs of compressive deformation were observed in the sediments, indicating that an important late Triassic—early Jurassic orogen must have existed within the Siberian Altai-Sayan (e.g. Le Heron et al., 2008; Davies et al., 2010).

4.1.2. Late Jurassic—late Cretaceous/early Palaeogene

During most of the Jurassic (~180–160 Ma), both the Kyrgyz Tianshan and Siberian Altai experienced a period of tectonic quiescence, resulting in extensive peplanation of the Triassic—early Jurassic relief (e.g. Jolivet et al., 2007, 2013). Remnants of an associated planation surface are preserved in several locations within the Tianshan (e.g. Jolivet et al., 2010). The Kyrgyz Tianshan experienced renewed cooling since the late Jurassic (~160 Ma) which coincides with the widespread occurrence of conglomerate deposits in the adjacent Tarim and Junggar basins (Jolivet et al., 2013 and references therein). As shown in Fig. 6, this cooling phase seems to be more or less continuous from the late Jurassic until the late Cretaceous—early Palaeogene. Representative thermal history models (Fig. 6) indeed show that the middle—late Mesozoic was mainly characterised by a period of protracted slow cooling. Nevertheless, three phases of accelerated cooling can be identified within this time period. A first pulse is defined by ZHe and Aft ages at ~160–145 Ma and corresponds to the occurrence of a major alluvial conglomerate in the sedimentary record of the Tarim and Junggar basins (Jolivet et al., 2013, Fig. 6). This cooling pulse likely induced pulse relief in the Kyrgyz Tianshan which is preserved in several locations (pale green ages in Fig. 2). The large spread in ~145–120 Ma AFT and AHe data likely reflects slow cooling and prolonged residence in the AFT and AHe partial retention zones. AFT data seems to be more densely concentrated around ~120–95 Ma which corresponds well with a major hiatus in the sedimentary record of the Tarim Basin (Fig. 6, Dumitru et al., 2001 and references therein). For several samples, similar ~120–90 Ma AFT and AHe ages were obtained and accelerated cooling was observed in associated thermal history models. These ‘middle’ Cretaceous cooling ages are in fact the most common age population within the Kyrgyz Tianshan. From ~90–75 Ma, AFT and especially AHe data is scarcer, suggesting steady slow cooling or tectonic quiescence. A subsequent third pulse of more rapid cooling/exhumation is evident by a dense cluster of AFT data at ~75–60 Ma and increasing cooling rates in thermal history models (Fig. 6; De Grave et al., 2013). Latter pulse coincides with the occurrence of a new alluvial conglomerate in the Tarim Basin (Dumitru et al., 2001). These coarse, molasse-type sediments and stratigraphic hiatuses from the same periods are widespread in intramontane depressions within the Tianshan orogen as well (e.g. the Issyk-kul Basin in Kyrgyzstan; Cobbold et al., 1994).

Late Mesozoic cooling within the Altai-Sayan starts in the late Jurassic as shown by limited AFT age data. However, more than 90% of all obtained AFT and AHe ages are Cretaceous—early Palaeogene, suggesting that most of the present-day regional Altai-Sayan landscape is largely a relic of a late Mesozoic relief (e.g. Glorie et al., 2012). In specific locations this Mesozoic relief has been overprinted by subsequent events, however associated denudation was generally not extensive enough to reset the thermochronometric clocks during these later events (discussed further below). Late Jurassic cooling AFT ages correspond well with a large stratigraphic hiatus within the adjacent Kuznetsk Basin (e.g. Davies et al., 2010, Fig. 6), suggesting that the Altai-Sayan exhumed at that time. Abundant AFT age data suggest nearly continuous cooling throughout the Cretaceous—early Palaeogene (Fig. 6), however, as discussed above, two different trends can be recognised within associated age-elevation profiles (Fig. 3). A slow cooling trend can be observed representing protracted residence within the partial annealing zone during the early Cretaceous. Associated thermal history profiles indeed show slow cooling at that time (e.g. Glorie et al., 2012; Fig. 6). Hence, the middle Cretaceous (~130–100 Ma) cooling likely induced only minor relief in the Siberian Altai-Sayan, which corresponds with the occurrence of several minor stratigraphic hiatuses (during the Barremian—early Albian) in the eastern part of the West-Siberian basin (Vysotski et al., 2006). Cooling rates increase since ~100–90 Ma as indicated by both thermal history profiles (Fig. 6) as well as by a steepening in age-elevation trends and mimicking AFT and AHe ages (Fig. 3). Interestingly, the late Cretaceous—early Palaeogene cooling ages were only found within large-scale fault zones, indicating that the late Cretaceous—early Palaeogene cooling and exhumation is largely structurally controlled (Glorie et al., 2012; De Grave et al., 2014; Fig. 3). As a result, significant relief was created during the late Cretaceous—early Palaeogene and most of this relief is still preserved within the Altai-Sayan. This event did not produce vast amounts of sedimentary detritus in the adjacent basins as associated denudation was only localised within the fault scarps, which is conform with the fault-induced exhumation model discussed above. The timing of fault reactivation is constrained to ~95–75 Ma (depending on the exact location) by the age-elevation profiles (Fig 3), cooling history models (Fig. 6) and a major sedimentary hiatus (during the late Campanian) within the West-Siberian Basin (Vysotski et al., 2006).

4.1.3. Palaeogene—Neogene

For the Kyrgyz Tianshan, a period of renewed fast cooling is observed in the thermochronological data (AFT, ZHe, AHe) during the early Palaeogene (~55–45 Ma). In fact, most of the published
thermochronological data for the Kyrgyz Tianshan is Cenozoic in age (although this is mostly biased by sampling strategies). These cooling ages were only found in close vicinity to major fault zones, indicating that Palaeogene cooling is mainly controlled by fault reactivations (Fig. 2). Jolivet et al. (2010) recognised fault-induced reactivation focussed on large inherited structures around ~60 Ma in the Chinese Tianshan as well, indicating that Palaeogene fault reactivation occurred throughout the entire Tianshan. This fast cooling event clearly affected only the weaker fault zones in the Tianshan edifice, while in more rigid parts, Mesozoic cooling ages are preserved. Similar as discussed above, these fault reactions are not associated with large-scale denudation and therefore, the Palaeogene sedimentary record is generally rather restricted in adjacent foreland and intramontane basins. Both the thermal history models and the AFT, ZHe and AHe ages indicate that cooling was rapid at that time (Fig. 6; e.g. Glorie et al., 2011).

Most of the Cenozoic cooling ages obtained within the major fault zones, however, are late Palaeogene—Neogene. Oligocene—Pliocene (~33–3 Ma) AFT, AHe and even ZHe ages were reactivated within the faulted basement and associated thermal history models, show that this period was characterised by fast cooling (Fig. 6). The timing of onset of accelerated cooling cannot easily be derived based on thermochronological data, given that—presumed fault reactivations—likely preserve an exhumed section of the partial retention zone associated with each thermochronological clock (as discussed above). Combining similar cooling ages from different thermochronometric methods with rapid cooling steps in the thermal history models and the occurrence of sedimentary hiatuses and alluvial gravels (Fig. 6), it is suggested that the onset of the modern Kyrgyz Tianshan orogeny occurred during the Oligocene—early Miocene (~33–22 Ma) with an apparent intensification in the late Miocene (~12–8 Ma) and the Plio—Pleistocene (~5 Ma). The specific location of these cooling ages within major fault zones demonstrates that the deformation associated with the modern mountain building has not migrated steadily into all parts of the Kyrgyz Tianshan, but remained tectonically confined to pre-existing basement structures. The pre-existing basement architecture has therefore a dominant influence on the intracratonic deformation within the Tianshan and the data presented here, in our opinion, are a clear expression of this.

The extent of both fault reactivation events and associated mountain ridge uplift varies along strike (e.g. Glorie et al., 2011; Macaulay et al., 2014). This can be demonstrated by the variation in cooling ages (ZHe, AFT, AHe) along strike of the South Tianshan Suture (STSS). For both the eastern (Ilnychek region) and the western (Atbash region) along the STSS, mainly Neogene (~20–5 Ma) cooling ages were obtained, while within the centre of this fault zone, only early Palaeogene cooling ages are preserved (Glorie et al., 2011; Fig. 2).

For the Siberian Altai-Sayan, only limited Cenozoic cooling ages were obtained, indicating that most of the modern relief is a relic of the Mesozoic landscape. Steeper mountains near major fault zones have undergone more recent uplift, which is in most cases not reflected in the thermochronological data. The lack of Cenozoic cooling ages is likely due to insufficient denudation to reset the thermochronometric clocks. Limited early Neogene AFT ages were likely sampled from within the preserved partial retention zone and are thus probably meaningless. They nevertheless coincide with a stratigraphic hiatus and subsequent conglomerates and coarse sand deposits in the Kurai-Chuya Basin, which may indicate that the Altai was being uplifted and exhumed at that time (e.g. Delvaux et al., 1995). Late Pliocene—Pleistocene (~3–1 Ma) AFT and AHe ages are thought to represent more reliable cooling ages and may therefore indicate the timing of recent fault reactivation (Glorie et al., 2012; De Grave et al., 2014). These Plio—Pleistocene cooling ages were only obtained at specific locations along the Charysh-Terekta-Ulagan-Sayan and West Sayan fault zone (Fig. 3). As explained above, the fault reactivation model does not require large quantities of associated Plio—Pleistocene detrital deposits in adjacent basins, however, the Plio—Pleistocene sedimentary record in adjacent sedimentary basins is characterised by a sedimentary hiatus at that time (e.g. Buslov et al., 1999). Structural analysis in the Sayan Mountains confirms that inherited basement structures were reactivated during the Plio—Pleistocene (Arzhannikova et al., 2011). Moreover, tectonic activity within these fault zones is still very active today as attested by the occurrence of historical and recent earthquakes along the northern margin of the Chuya basin for example (e.g. Lunina et al., 2008).

4.2. Linking intracontinental deformation with plate-margin processes

The thermochronological datasets of both the Kyrgyz Tianshan and the Siberian Altai-Sayan record several cooling events during the Mesozoic—Cenozoic. These cooling pulses reflect increased regional denudation of the landscape or local fault reactivations as discussed above. Throughout the Mesozoic—Cenozoic, the Eurasian continent was subjected to several phases of compression related to the convergence and collision of (micro-)continental blocks or island-arcs at the southern plate margin. In addition, processes such as orogenic collapse, slab-break off and rifting/extension may have induced deformation in the Eurasian interior as well. This section aims to contrast the punctuated cooling history, captured within the thermochronological data, with the documented tectonic history of the Eurasian plate in order to speculate on the causes of the punctuated intracontinental deformation within Central Asia.

4.2.1. Triassic—early Jurassic

Preserved old landscape relics within both the Kyrgyz Tianshan and the Siberian Altai record a Triassic—late Jurassic cooling event. This basement cooling event is coeval with the onset of so-called Cimmerian collisions on the southern Eurasian margin. During the middle Triassic, the Palaeo-Tethys Ocean started to close, resulting in the accretion of several Cimmerian tectonic units (e.g. Golonka, 2004). In this respect, the Cimmerian Qiangtang block collided with the Kunlun terrane at the southern Eurasian margin during the middle to late Triassic (~230–190 Ma). This collision occurred along the Jinsha suture south of Tarim, in present-day Tibet and the Pamirs (e.g. Ratschbacher et al., 2003; Schwab et al., 2004; Zhai et al., 2011). It is thought that the Qiangtang collision with Eurasia induced fault reactivations and basin exhumation within Central Asia (Fig. 6). This is especially the case for the Kyrgyz Tianshan which had a proximal location to the collision zone (e.g. De Grave et al., 2011, 2013). In addition, the Triassic collision of a related Cimmerian unit, the Turan plate to the west of the Pamir block (e.g. Alexieiev et al., 2009), and extension and subsidence in the Tarim Basin (e.g. Zhang et al., 2010) may also have played a role in the early Mesozoic reactivation in the Kyrgyz Tianshan.

The role of the early Cimmerian collision of the Qiangtang (and Turan) blocks to Eurasia with respect to the Triassic—early Jurassic exhumation pulse in the Siberian Altai-Sayan is not well understood. Due to the more distal location of the Siberian Altai-Sayan with respect to the early Mesozoic Eurasian plate-margin, the compressional stresses associated with the Qiangtang collision had to propagate further into the continental interior in order to affect the Siberian Altai-Sayan. However, during the Triassic—early Jurassic, the West Siberian basin started to subside, creating a base-level drop to the northwest of the Siberian Altai-Sayan (Allen et al., 2006; Vyssotski et al., 2006). In fact, most of Siberia was affected by
extensional tectonics at that time, which is likely related with a pulling effect of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean which started to subduct at the eastern margin of Siberia (e.g. Golonka et al., 2003). This is further underscored by palaeomagnetic data on sediments from the Taimyr fold belt in Arctic Siberia, revealing that middle–late Triassic (~230–220 Ma) deformation occurred in this region (Torsvik and Andersen, 2002). It is hence likely that the prevailing extensional deformation during the Triassic affected the Siberian Altai-Sayan as well.

Alternatively, the older Triassic (early–middle Triassic) cooling ages obtained for the Kyrgyz Tianshan and especially for the Altai-Sayan could be linked with the final amalgamation of the Central Asian Orogenic Belt, prior to its reactivation. Xiao et al. (2013) suggests that the terminal amalgamation (including orogenic collages in the Chinese Tianshan) occurred during the middle Triassic, which coincides with the locally preserved Triassic cooling signal in certain landscape features (e.g. De Grave et al., 2011) and within fault zones (e.g. Glorie et al., 2011a, 2012a).

4.2.2. Late Jurassic–late Cretaceous/early Palaeogene

Abundant thermochronological data indicate that a late Mesozoic Tianshan orogen was built as a response to the punctuated accretion of several Cimmerian blocks to the Mesozoic Eurasian margin. In contrast to the early Mesozoic event that is only locally preserved within the Kyrgyz Tianshan and in the intramontane Kyrgyz Tianshan detrital record, this late Mesozoic event affected the entire Tianshan, across terrane boundaries. As discussed above, three main pulses of late Mesozoic regional cooling can be distinguished which can be linked with distinct tectonic events at the southern Eurasian plate margin (Fig. 6). The first (late Jurassic–early Cretaceous, ~160–145 Ma) cooling pulse is only registered and/or preserved within a handful of samples. Although the significance of this cooling pulse is debatable, it is coeval with the late Jurassic–early Cretaceous (~150–120 Ma) collision of the Cimmerian Lhasa Block with Eurasia (Kapp et al., 2007). It is thought that this collision exerted distant tectonic effects onto the Kyrgyz Tianshan (e.g. De Grave et al., 2013). However, this interpretation is debatable since this collision only had minor influence on the cooling and exhumation of eastern Tibet and Qaidam, more close to the collision zone (e.g. Jolivet et al., 2001, 2013; Roger et al., 2010). The second (mid-Cretaceous, ~120–95 Ma) cooling pulse is more extensively preserved throughout the Kyrgyz Tianshan and cannot easily be linked to distant compressional tectonic forces. Several recent studies document the occurrence of coeval ~110 Ma bimodal volcanic rocks within northern and Central Lhasa, just south of the Kyrgyz Tianshan. These rocks are thought to be formed in an extensional setting related with slab break-off of the subducting Bangong–Nujiang Tethyan Ocean lithosphere (e.g. Sui et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2014). The mid-Cretaceous cooling event within the Kyrgyz Tianshan can therefore be interpreted as an isostatic response to the slab-break-off model. However, more data is required to confirm this hypothesis. The third (late Cretaceous–early Palaeogene, ~75–60 Ma) cooling pulse occurred largely coeval with the collision of the Kohistan-Dras island-arc (Treloar et al., 1996) and the Karakoram Block to Eurasia (~80–70 Ma; Schwab et al., 2004). Similar as discussed for the collisions of the Qiangtang and Lhasa terranes to Eurasia, the Kohistan-Dras and Karakoram collisions may have induced exhumation and cooling within the Kyrgyz Tianshan (e.g. De Grave et al., 2013).

Within the Siberian Altai-Sayan, few late Jurassic AFT ages are locally preserved on the summits of the current landscape. Given that the Lhasa collision seemed to have had only a minor effect on the proximal Kyrgyz Tianshan, it is unlikely that this collision had any effect at all on the Siberian Altai-Sayan. Similar ages were also found in Gobi Altai (Vassallo et al., 2007) and on the margins of the Baikal rift (van der Beek et al., 1996; Jolivet et al., 2009), to the east of the Siberian Altai-Sayan and it is therefore suggested that this cooling signal can be related with the late Jurassic initiation of the Mongol-Okhotsk Orogeny at the eastern plate margin (present-day co-ordinates) (Fig. 6; Delvaux et al., 1995; Cogné et al., 2005; Jolivet et al., 2009). The early–mid Cretaceous (~130–100 Ma) cooling signal probably reflects a protracted residence within the partial annealing zone (as discussed above) and is therefore likely not significant. The associated slow cooling may however reflect a dynamic topographic response to either increased loading on the crust from the growing Mongol-Okhotsk Orogen or the slab break-off model described above. Cooling rates intensified since ~100–90 Ma when large-scale fault reactivation took place within the Siberian Altai-Sayan. The origin of this deformation event is not clear, however, it seems to have occurred coeval with the initiation of extensional tectonics in the southern Baikal rift zone and the Barguzin Basin (Fig. 6; Tsekhovsky and Leonov, 2007; Jolivet et al., 2009). The cause for this intracontinental extension is debatable itself, however it has been suggested that this may be a tectonic response to orogenic collapse of the Mongol-Okhotsk belt (Jolivet et al., 2009). The ~100–90 Ma cooling pulse has been detected in several adjacent locations to the Siberian Altai-Sayan, e.g. along the Irtysch fault in the Chinese (Yuan et al., 2006) and Kazakh (Rudny Altai (Glorie et al., 2012b), on the faulted southern margin of Lake Baikal (Jolivet et al., 2009) and along the East Gobi fault zone in southeastern Mongolia (Webb and Johnson, 2006), suggesting that fault reactivations related with extensional tectonics were prevalent throughout most of northern Central Asia at that time.

4.2.3. Palaeogene–early Neogene

In close vicinity of major fault zones within the Kyrgyz Tianshan, rapid early Palaeogene (~55–45 Ma) cooling is observed in the thermochronological data. This fast cooling event clearly affected only the weaker fault zones in the Tianshan edifice, while in more rigid parts, Mesozoic cooling ages are preserved and widespread peneplanation occurred during this period. The driving force for this exhumation phase, focused on the pre-existing basement structures, is still debated but most likely it is a result of the accretion an island-arc system the Eurasian (Tethyan) margin and the associated pronounced northwards thrusting of the Pamir nappes (e.g. Aitchison et al., 2007). In a similar way, Van Hinsbergen et al. (2012) described this event as the so-called ‘soft’ collision of ‘Greater’ India with Eurasia, which likely represents the accretion of a peripheral intra-oceanic island-arc system at the northern Indian margin to Eurasia. Aitchison et al. (2000, 2007) argued that this island-arc system is different from the Kohistan-Dras arc described above and represents an entirely separate intra-oceanic island-arc system with correlative ophiolitic suites in the Ladakh region (e.g. the Spong arc). Following Mahêo et al. (2006), this peripheral island-arc system could have been the so-called South Ladakh arc which is thought to have accreted onto the Eurasian margin around ~65–55 Ma. We use this name for the early Cenozoic arc at the southern Eurasian margin (fragmented along the Yarlung Tsangpo suture) in further discussion.

In addition, distant tectonic forces at the southwestern Eurasian margin may have contributed to the Palaeogene deformation and associated exhumation within the Tianshan as well. The occurrence of abundant Palaeocene–Eocene continental arc magmatism (known as the Palaeogene ‘flare-up’) and Eocene metamorphic core complexes across Iran suggests that this region was under extension during the Palaeogene, which was likely driven by slab retreat and rollback of the subducting Neo-Tethys Ocean (Agard et al., 2011; Verdel et al., 2011; Chiu et al., 2013). Both these extensional forces from the southwest, related with the geodynamic evolution of the Neo-Tethys, and the compressional forces from the southeast,
related with the accretion of an island-arc (the South Ladakh arc?) to Eurasia, may have propagated towards southern Central Asia, inducing reactivations of inherited fault systems within the Kyrgyz Tianshan. Others suggested that the fault reactivations are more likely a far-field effect of the closure of the Mongol-Okhotsk Ocean to the northeast of the Kyrgyz Tianshan (e.g. Wang et al., 2008; Jolivet et al., 2010, 2013). Although this possibility cannot be ruled out based on the discussed datasets, we prefer the more proximal plate-margin processes discussed above as the main contributors for the Palaeogene Tianshan reactivation.

Abundant late Palaeogene—Neogene thermochronological data testify that neotectonic activity is recorded in the major fault zones within the crystalline basement of the Kyrgyz Tianshan which are presumably linked with renewed tectonic reactivation as a far-field effect of the India-Eurasia collision. The data presented here suggests that the onset of the modern Kyrgyz Tianshan orogeny occurred during the Oligocene—early Miocene (~33–22 Ma) which is coeval with the suggested timing of final closure of the Neo-Tethys at ~35–34 Ma (e.g. Aitchison et al., 2007; Jiang et al., in press) (‘hard’ India collision in Fig. 6). This timing of basement exhumation has recently been confirmed by several authors for the southern Chinese Tianshan and northern Tarim margin (e.g. ~36 Ma, Yu et al., 2014). More close to the India-Eurasia collision zone, Zhao et al. (2015) recently suggested that the uplift of the Central Lhasa terrane occurred at ~37–30 Ma, indicating that far-field deformation related with the India-Eurasia collision can be traced through the Lhasa terrane, over the northern Tarim margin to the (Kyrgyz) Tianshan. Apparent intensification in basement cooling during the late Miocene (~12–8 Ma) is likely a result of an increased magnitude of shortening (e.g.Sobel et al., 2006; Glorie et al., 2010, 2011a; Macaulay et al., 2014). Given that basement cooling and uplift at that time is abundant throughout most of Central Asia (e.g. uplift of the southern Lhasa terrane at ~15–12 Ma, Zhang et al., 2015; rapid basement cooling at ~13–7 Ma in the northeastern Pamir, Cao et al., 2013; exhumation in the Zagos belt at ~12–8 Ma, Agard et al., 2011), the intensification of shortening likely affected most of southern Central Asia, possibly as the result of mantle delamination under Tibet and/or the Tianshan (e.g. Li et al., 2009; Molnar and Stock, 2009; Macaulay et al., 2014) and/or the collision of Arabia with Eurasia (e.g. Agard et al., 2011; Chiu et al., 2013; Zhang et al., submitted). This accelerated deformation within Central Asia also coincides with the onset of normal faulting in Tibet (~14 Ma, e.g. Blisniuk et al., 2001) which concurs with the model by Aitken (2011) that the rise and subsequent collapse of Tibet may have contributed to intensified recent deformation into southern Central Asia as well. India-Eurasia convergence is currently ongoing and it has been proposed that subduction of India underneath Eurasia is a chief driving force for this convergence (Capitanio et al., 2010; Müller, 2010). Abdrahkmatov et al. (1996) calculated present-day crustal shortening rates of ~20 mm/yr in the Tianshan, demonstrating that deformation remains very active and even accelerated during the last ~5 Ma, consistent with the Plio—Pleistocene (~5 Ma) intensification of cooling within the Kyrgyz Tianshan.

The lack of late Palaeogene—Neogene thermochronological data for the Siberian Altai-Sayan indicates that the subducting Neo-Tethys and subsequent India-Eurasia collision likely had little to no effect on the modern reactivation of northern Central Asia. Renewed deformation by compressive reactivation of inherited fault systems within the Altai-Sayan is not registered until the Plio—Pleistocene by both thermochronometry as well as structural analysis (e.g. Thomas et al., 2002; Arzhannikova et al., 2011). This Plio—Pleistocene reactivation corresponds with a contemporaneous intensification of rifting in the Baikal region (Petit and Déverchère, 2006; Jolivet et al., 2009). Whereas the India-Eurasia collision itself had likely little influence on the exhumation of the modern Siberian Altai-Sayan, its ongoing convergence, the initiation of the collapse of the Tibetan Plateau and the delamination of lithospheric crust underneath Tibet (discussed above) may have induced the propagation of far-field compressive stresses to the north, reactivating inherited structures within the Siberian Altai-Sayan and within the Baikal region (e.g. Jolivet et al., 2009; Glorie et al., 2012a). Additional tectonic forces such as the rotation and eastward motion of the Amur plate since the Pliocene (e.g. San’koyev et al., 2009; Ashurkov et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2011) may however have contributed to the Neogene reactivation of the Altai-Sayan region as well.

The discussed possible links between deformation/exhumation within Central Asia and plate-margin processes are currently only based on coinciding tectonic events that speculate on stress propagation pathways from the plate margins to the Eurasian interior. Our growing understanding of the dynamic history of old (subducted) oceanic plates together with the increasing number of thermochronological data across Central Asia (including Tibet) may potentially allow to model the dynamic response and the stress propagation pathways from the Eurasian margin to the Central Asian continental interior in the future. Given the current existence of a significant gap in the thermochronological data across Central Asia, this modelling approach is not attempted for this paper. Targeting those specific sites for future thermochronological research is therefore the main aim to further test these hypothesised links between the exhumation of Central Asia and the tectonic history of the Eurasian margin, postulated above.
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